



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

 Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON MARCH 6, 2007 BEGINS ON PAGE 204.]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. BURKE: WE'RE ASKING SUPERVISOR DON KNABE TO LEAD US IN
7	INVOCATION. WOULD EVERYONE PLEASE STAND? WE WILL NOW BE LED IN
8	THE INVOCATION BY SUPERVISOR DON KNABE.
9	
10	SUP. KNABE: PLEASE JOIN ME IN AN ATTITUDE OF PRAYER, PLEASE.
11	OUR MOST KIND AND GRACIOUS HEAVENLY FATHER, WE COME BEFORE YOU
12	THIS MORNING TO GIVE YOU THANKS. WE THANK YOU FOR YESTERDAY,
13	WE THANK YOU FOR TODAY AND WE THANK YOU FOR TOMORROW. WE ASK
14	THAT YOU WATCH OVER THESE DELIBERATIONS, GUIDE US, THROUGH
15	YOUR WILL, AS WE REACH OUT TO THOSE IN NEED AND ALSO TO TAKE
16	THE TIME TO SAY THANK YOU TO THOSE THAT HAVE BEEN HELPFUL. WE
17	ASK THAT YOU BLESS THE WORKFORCE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AS THEY
18	MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR 10 MILLION RESIDENTS AND WE ASK THAT YOU
19	WATCH OVER ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS HERE IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
20	ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
21	SO, AS WE MOVE FORWARD IN THIS MEETING, WE ASK FOR YOUR
22	CONTINUED GUIDANCE, DIRECTION AND PROTECTION AND ALL GOD'S
23	PEOPLE SAID AMEN.
2.1	



- 1 SANTIAGO ENRIQUEZ, JR.: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, PLEASE FOLLOW ME
- 2 IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WE'LL HAVE A PRESENTATION BY SUPERVISOR
- 5 ANTONOVICH, WHO HAS THE PLEDGE VETERAN? I'LL MAKE THE
- 6 PRESENTATION. WE ARE VERY PLEASED TODAY TO HAVE FROM THE FIRST
- 7 DISTRICT OUR PLEDGE VETERAN, SANTIAGO ENRIQUEZ, JR. AND THE
- 8 GUEST OF THE FIRST DISTRICT. HE IS FROM THE CALIFORNIA
- 9 NATIONAL GUARD, A SERGEANT. HE'S BEEN IN THE MILITARY FROM
- 10 1993 TO THE PRESENT AS A SERGEANT AND HE SERVES IN A COMPANY
- 11 40, BRIGADED SUPPORT BATTALION, AND HE'S RECEIVED THE ARMY
- 12 COMMENDATION MEDAL, ARMY ACHIEVEMENT MEDAL, GOOD CONDUCT
- 13 MEDAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE SERVICE MEDAL, HUMANITARIAN SERVICE
- 14 MEDAL, ARMY NCOPPOF DEVELOPMENTAL MEDAL, ARMY SERVICE MEDAL,
- 15 ARMY OVERSEAS. HE-- YOU DID SERVE OVERSEAS, RIGHT? YEAH. HE
- 16 WAS IN KOREA. AND HE HAS BEEN IN THE DISTRICT FOR 37 YEARS,
- 17 WENT TO WOODROW WILSON HIGH SCHOOL AND SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE IN
- 18 WALNUT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE WITH US AND
- 19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA WILL BE HERE SHORTLY SO SHE CAN TAKE A
- 20 PHOTOGRAPH AND PRESENT YOUR CERTIFICATE BUT I'LL DO ONE RIGHT
- 21 NOW. [APPLAUSE]

22

23 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL START THE AGENDA.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR PRO TEM AND
- 2 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 4,
- 3 NOTICES OF CLOSED SESSION. ON ITEM CS-2, COUNTY COUNSEL IS
- 4 REQUESTING THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO MAY 8TH, 2007. MAY
- 5 8TH. 8.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THIS WILL BE CONTINUED TO MAY
- 8 8TH.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY
- 11 DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEMS 1D AND 2D.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE PUBLIC WORKS
- 17 FINANCING AUTHORITY ITEM 1-F

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 23 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEM 1-P.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 14.
- 5 ON ITEM 1, THERE'S A REQUEST FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 6 HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 3, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 7 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD AND, ON ITEM NUMBER 10,
- 8 SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO
- 9 HER OFFICE AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA ALSO REQUESTS THAT HER
- 10 SUBSTITUTE MOTION BE REFERRED BACK TO HER OFFICE. THE REST ARE
- 11 BEFORE YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 14 MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 15
- 17 THROUGH 18. ON ITEM 15, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE
- 18 PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. AND, ON ITEM 18, SUPERVISOR
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MARCH
- 20 13TH, 2007.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 18 IS CONTINUED FOR TWO
- WEEKS.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ITEM 16 AND 17 ARE BEFORE YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: ON 16 AND 17, MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 3 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: EXCUSE ME. DID YOU-- I THOUGHT THE EXECUTIVE
- 6 OFFICER INDICATED ON 18 IS CONTINUED ONE WEEK.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: ONE WEEK.

9

10 SUP. KNABE: ONE WEEK.

11

12 SUP. BURKE: 18 IS CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE. ON ITEM 19,
- 15 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM THE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 16 ITEM. CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ON ITEM 20, AS INDICATED
- 17 ON THE POSTED AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 18 SERVICES REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO
- 19 MARCH 13TH, 2007.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 20 IS CONTINUED FOR ONE
- 22 WEEK TO MARCH 13TH.

23

24 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 21.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: COUNTY COUNSEL, ITEM 22.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ITEM 23.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 24 THROUGH 29. ON
- 15 ITEM 24, THIS ALSO INCLUDES THE REVISED LANGUAGE ON THE
- 16 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ALSO REQUESTS
- 17 THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM 25, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 18 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. 26 THROUGH 29 ARE
- 19 BEFORE YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HUMAN RESOURCES, ITEM 30.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 31 AND 32.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PROBATION, ITEMS 33 AND 34.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEALTH, ITEM 35 AND 36. ON ITEM 35,
- 15 SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK
- 16 TO MARCH 13TH, 2007 AND THERE'S ALSO A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER
- 17 OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL HOLD IT.

20

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEMS 37 AND 38. ON
- 22 ITEM...

23

24 SUP. BURKE: ON 36, DID WE--



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OH, I'M SORRY.

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE: ON 36, MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 4 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEMS 37 AND 38. ON
- 7 ITEM 37, THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 8 SERVICES IS REQUESTING THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO
- 9 MARCH 13TH, 2007.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.

12

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ITEM 38 IS BEFORE YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

- 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 39 THROUGH 59. ON ITEM
- 19 44, THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD
- 20 THIS ITEM AND, ON ITEM 51, THE DATE FOR THE HEARING SHOULD BE
- 21 CHANGED FROM APRIL 24TH, 2007, TO MAY 22, 2007.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: FIRST NOTING THE CHANGE FROM NUMBER 51 FROM APRIL
- 24 24TH TO MAY 22ND. ON THE REMAINDER ITEMS AND ON THAT ITEM,



- 1 MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 2 ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PAGE 28, SHERIFF, ITEM 60.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 61.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS, ITEMS 62
- 15 THROUGH 65. ON ITEM 62, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT THIS
- 16 ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MARCH 13TH, 2007.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 62 CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND 63 THROUGH 65 ARE BEFORE YOU.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ON ITEM 66,
- 2 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS AN
- 3 ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 4 REVENUE AND FINANCE OF THE LOS
- 4 ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO CLAIMS AND ACTIONS.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SEPARATE MATTER, ON ITEM 67, AS INDICATED
- 10 ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS
- 11 THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MARCH 13TH, 2007.

12

13 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, 67 IS CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS 68 AND 69. ON ITEM
- 16 68, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 17 CONTINUED TO APRIL 13-- OR, EXCUSE ME, APRIL 3RD, 2007.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: WITHOUT OBJECTION, 68 IS CONTINUED TO APRIL 3RD.

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ON ITEM 69, WE'LL HOLD THIS FOR A
- 22 PUBLIC HEARING. MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 23 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 24 OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE



- 1 MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ITEM
- 2 70-A.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH-- SECONDED
- 5 BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 70-B.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY BURKE, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 10 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND, ON 70-C, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD
- 13 LIKE TO BE ADDED AS A CO-AUTHOR ON THIS RECOMMENDATION AND IT
- 14 IS BEFORE YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 20 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 21 DISTRICT NUMBER 4.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: I'M GOING TO ASK THAT WE CALL UP A VERY SPECIAL
- 24 PERSON WHO HAS TO LEAVE EARLY AND THEN WE'LL DO THE STARS
- 25 ALSO. SO I'D LIKE TO CALL UP PAT HARVEY. WE HAVE AGNES



- 1 STEVENS, FOUNDING DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL ON WHEELS, MATT ROB,
- 2 COORDINATOR AND VOLUNTEERS AND MRS. STEPHENS-- I'M READING THE
- 3 WRONG THING. OKAY. I'LL DO THIS FIRST. OKAY. MR. STEPHENS
- 4 FOUNDED SCHOOL ON WHEELS MORE THAN A DECADE AGO WITH A MISSION
- 5 TO ENHANCE EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN
- 6 FROM KINDERGARTEN THROUGH THE 12TH GRADE. WITH MORE THAN
- 7 10,000 HOMELESS CHILDREN IN THE LOS ANGELES SCHOOL DISTRICT,
- 8 HER GOAL HAS BEEN TO REDUCE GAPS IN EDUCATION AND PROVIDE
- 9 CHILDREN WITH THE HIGHEST EDUCATION POSSIBLE. SCHOOLS ON
- 10 WHEELS IS LOCATED IN THE HEART OF SKID ROW AND NUMEROUS
- 11 LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY. WITH THE HELP OF OVER 300
- 12 VOLUNTEERS, APPROXIMATELY 1,000 CHILDREN ARE TUTORED EACH
- 13 YEAR. NOT ONLY DO THE TUTORS WORK WITH THE STUDENTS BUT THEY
- 14 ALSO HELP PARENTS TO COMPLETE NECESSARY PAPERWORK TO GET THEIR
- 15 CHILDREN TO SCHOOL, IN ADDITION, ASSISTING THEM IN OBTAINING
- 16 BIRTH CERTIFICATES AND IMMUNIZATION RECORDS. K-CAL 9 NEWS
- 17 ANCHOR PAT HARVEY RECENTLY FEATURED SCHOOL ON WHEELS AND THE
- 18 REMARKABLE JOB BEING DONE BY AGNES STEPHENS' STAFF AND
- 19 VOLUNTEERS TO EDUCATE HOMELESS CHILDREN HERE IN LOS ANGELES.
- 20 LET'S TAKE A LOOK. [VIDEO PLAYS]

- 22 PAT HARVEY: SURPRISING NUMBER, MORE THAN 10,000 CHILDREN IN
- 23 L.A. SCHOOLS ARE HOMELESS. MANY LITERALLY LIVE ON STREET
- 24 CORNERS, IN CARS OR SHELTERS. TONIGHT, WE WANT TO TELL YOU



- 1 ABOUT A WOMAN WHO IS TRYING TO CHANGE THE COURSE OF THEIR
- 2 LIVES THE ONLY WAY SHE KNOWS HOW: BY TEACHING.

3

- 4 PAT HARVEY: IT LOOKS LIKE A STREET SCENE IN A THIRD WORLD
- 5 COUNTRY. IT'S NOT. IT'S FIVE MINUTES FROM THE FINANCIAL
- 6 DISTRICT AND CITY HALL. IT IS L.A. SKID ROW, WHAT SOME HAVE
- 7 LABELED THE BIGGEST SOCIAL DISASTER IN THE NATION.

8

- 9 PAT HARVEY: IF YOU'RE SCARED TO WALK DOWN HERE, THEN THINK
- 10 ABOUT THE 7-YEAR-OLD GIRL THAT'S WALKING AROUND DOWN HERE
- 11 AMONGST SEX OFFENDERS AND DRUG DEALERS.

12

- 13 PAT HARVEY: SQUEEZED BETWEEN THE DESPERATION AND THE MISERY IS
- 14 A TINY STOREFRONT FULL OF DREAMS. [LAUGHTER CHILDREN]

15

16 **SPEAKER:** THAT WAS FUNNY!

17

- 18 PAT HARVEY: THIS IS THE HOME OF SCHOOL ON WHEELS, AN
- 19 EDUCATIONAL TRIAGE FOR L.A.'S HOMELESS CHILDREN.

20

21 SPEAKER: CAN YOU COLOR YOUR DINOSAUR THERE?

- 23 AGNES STEVENS: THE ONLY WAY OUT FOR MANY OF THESE CHILDREN IS-
- 24 I MEAN, FOR ALL OF THEM, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, IS
- 25 EDUCATION.



1

- 2 PAT HARVEY: 71-YEAR-OLD AGNES STEVENS IS THE FOUNDER. THIS
- 3 RETIRED TEACHER HAS WALKED THESE STREETS FOR 13 YEARS,
- 4 LITERALLY TUTORING CHILDREN LIVING IN SHELTERS, CARS, EVEN
- 5 CARDBOARD BOXES.

6

- 7 PAT HARVEY: THERE ARE FAMILIES THAT ARE LIVING IN CARDBOARD
- 8 BOXES.

9

10 **AGNES STEVENS:** EXACTLY.

11

12 PAT HARVEY: THAT'S NOT OUT OF YOUR REACH.

13

14 AGNES STEVENS: NO, NO.

15

16 PAT HARVEY: MATT RAAB WORKS WITH AGNES.

17

- 18 MATT RAAB: MANY TIMES, I'VE SEEN HER DO THINGS AND THOUGHT
- 19 THAT SHE IS FEARLESS BUT WHAT SHE SAYS ABOUT IT IS, IF YOU
- 20 CAN'T BE HERE, HOW CAN WE POSSIBLY HAVE THEM LIVING ON THE
- 21 STREETS DOWN HERE?

- 23 PAT HARVEY: ONE OF THOSE CHILDREN IS 10-YEAR-OLD BRIANNA, SAN
- 24 JULIAN STREET IS LITERALLY HER BACKYARD. TELL ME, WHAT DO YOU
- 25 THINK ABOUT THIS PLACE? DO YOU LIKE IT?



1 BRIANA: I LOVE IT. 2 3 PAT HARVEY: YOU DO? WHAT'S SO WONDERFUL ABOUT IT? 4 5 BRIANA: SCHOOL ON WHEELS IS SO HELPFUL AND THEY HELP ME WITH 6 7 THEIR HOMEWORK AND NOW I'M, LIKE, THE SECOND SMARTEST ONE IN 8 MY CLASS. 9 PAT HARVEY: AND SUCCESS STORIES EXTEND BEYOND DOWNTOWN. AT 10 RUBY'S PLACE, A HOMELESS SHELTER IN SOUTH L.A., WE MET BYRON. 11 BYRON: <INAUDIBLE> 12 13 PAT HARVEY: HE RECENTLY STARTED WITH SCHOOL ON WHEELS. 14 15 16 BYRON: LAST YEAR, I WAS GETTING AN "F" IN MY CLASS AND NOW I'M STARTING TO GETTING "B"S. 17

18

- 19 PAT HARVEY: WHAT?! THAT IS TERRIFIC. WELL, THEN YOU CAN EVEN
- 20 WORK TOWARD AN "A", HUH? SO IT REALLY MAKES A DIFFERENCE FOR
- 21 YOU?

22

23 BYRON: MM HM.



- 1 PAT HARVEY: BUT MAINTAINING THESE SUCCESS STORIES IS TOUGH.
- 2 MANY OF THESE KIDS SIMPLY DISAPPEAR THROUGHOUT THE SCHOOL
- 3 YEAR. VOLUNTEERS TRY TO KEEP IN CONTACT WITH THEM WHEREVER
- 4 THEY END UP, EVEN IF IT'S A STREET CURB.

5

- 6 MATT RAAB: EVEN IF EVERYTHING ELSE IN THEIR WORLD IS CHAOTIC,
- 7 THEY HAVE ONE CONSISTENT PERSON IN THEIR LIFE THAT IS WITH
- 8 THEM TO HELP THEM WITH THEIR SCHOOLWORK, TO TELL THEM THAT
- 9 THAT IS THE KEY TO ESCAPING HOMELESSNESS.

10

- 11 AGNES STEVENS: YOU'VE MET MEAN BRIGHT KIDS TODAY. THEY WILL
- 12 CONTRIBUTE, THEY MIGHT CONTRIBUTE TO AREAS OF SCIENCE, OF
- 13 EDUCATION, OF DISCOVERY, JUST BEING GREAT STUDENTS AND THAT'S
- 14 WHY YOU SHOULD CARE.

15

- 16 PAT HARVEY: THE PROGRAM'S 300 VOLUNTEERS TUTOR TO OVER 1,000
- 17 HOMELESS KIDS EACH YEAR. THEY'D LIKE TO REACH MORE. IF YOU'D
- 18 LIKE TO KNOW HOW YOU CAN HELP, JUST GO TO K-CAL 9.COM.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: WELL, IT'S OUR PLEASURE TO PRESENT THIS
- 21 COMMENDATION TO AGNES STEPHENS FOR THE WORK SHE DOES, HELPING
- 22 THOSE WHO REALLY, REALLY NEED IT AND I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU TO
- 23 SAY A WORD FOR US. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]



- 1 AGNES STEVENS: FIRST, I WANT TO THANK YVONNE BURKE FOR THE
- 2 HONOR AND PRIVILEGE JUST TO BE HERE TODAY. WE REALLY DEEPLY
- 3 APPRECIATE IT, AND-- THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HERE. SECONDLY, I
- 4 WANT TO GIVE A BIG HAND TO OUR STAFF AS PART OF OUR STAFF IS
- 5 HERE AND ALL THE WORK-- THEY DO ALL THE WORK AND THEY WORK OUT
- 6 OF TOUGH CIRCUMSTANCES. THEY'RE EXTREMELY DEDICATED AND THIS
- 7 IS OUR SCHOOL... [APPLAUSE]

- 9 AGNES STEVENS: AND I'M SO GLAD TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 10 THANK PAT HARVEY FOR THE PIECE AT K-CAL. THAT HAS REACHED
- 11 MILLIONS OF PEOPLE, ACTUALLY, TO-- SO THEY CAN HEAR ABOUT THE
- 12 HOMELESS CHILDREN. I THINK MY BIGGEST MESSAGE IS THAT SO MUCH
- 13 TALK IS BEING DONE ABOUT HOMELESSNESS THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE
- 14 COUNTY AND MANY COUNTIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY BUT ESPECIALLY
- 15 HERE IN YOUR AREAS, TO NEVER-- AND I KNOW YOU WON'T BUT, IN
- 16 TALKING ABOUT IT, TO NEVER, EVER FORGET THAT THERE'S CHILDREN
- 17 THERE K THROUGH 12 WHO ALSO COME UNDER HOMELESSNESS AND THEY
- 18 STRUGGLE SO MUCH TO RECEIVE AN EDUCATION AS THEY GO FROM
- 19 SCHOOL TO SCHOOL AND FROM PLACE TO PLACE. AND, FINALLY, I
- 20 THINK OUR BIGGEST REQUEST IS YOU HAVE CONTACTS WITH
- 21 BUSINESSES, SO KIND OF ASK THEM TO BECOME VOLUNTEERS SO THEY
- 22 CAN-- GIVE US A CHANCE TO GO IN AND TALK TO THEM BECAUSE WE
- 23 NEED HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS MORE VOLUNTEERS, AND YOU HAVE THE
- 24 CONNECTIONS TO DO THAT, HOPEFULLY, AND SO, ONCE AGAIN, THANK



- 1 YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING A CHANCE TO BE A VOICE FOR THE
- 2 HOMELESS. [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WELL, MANY OF US WOULD NEVER HAVE KNOWN ABOUT THIS
- 5 IF IT HASN'T BEEN FOR PAT HARVEY, WHO BROUGHT IT TO OUR
- 6 ATTENTION AND BROUGHT IT TO THE ATTENTION OF LOS ANGELES AND,
- 7 REALLY, TO MANY PEOPLE THROUGHOUT THE NATION. SHE ALWAYS SAYS
- 8 THINGS THAT ARE WONDERFUL FOR OUR COMMUNITY, SHE'S VERY
- 9 INVOLVED WITH THE COMMUNITY, IS ALWAYS THERE AND WE WANT TO
- 10 COMMEND HER FOR BRINGING TO OUR ATTENTION AND HIGHLIGHTING THE
- 11 GOOD THINGS THAT GO ON, THE IMPORTANT THINGS THAT GO ON AND
- 12 THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO KNOW ABOUT AND WE NEED TO EMPHASIZE
- 13 AND THE PEOPLE WE NEED TO HONOR. PAT. [APPLAUSE]

- 15 PAT HARVEY: SUPERVISOR BURKE, MY FRIEND, THANK YOU SO MUCH.
- 16 MIKE ANTONOVICH, DON KNABE, GLORIA MOLINA, GOOD TO SEE YOU ALL
- 17 THIS MORNING. I JUST WANT TO COMMEND AGNES FOR HER WORK WITH
- 18 SCHOOL ON WHEELS. I WANT TO THANK MY PRODUCER, KAREN FOCHE,
- 19 WHO ACTUALLY BROUGHT THIS TO OUR ATTENTION AND I WANT TO SHARE
- 20 A BRIEF STORY WITH YOU. THIS STORY ACTUALLY RAN ON THE DAY
- 21 THAT ANNA NICOLE SMITH DIED AND MY FIRST INCLINATION WAS ONE
- 22 ,OF OBVIOUSLY, SADNESS FOR MISS SMITH AND HER FAMILY BUT I
- 23 ALSO THOUGHT, OH, WELL, WE'RE NOT GOING TO AIR THIS STORY,
- 24 THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE TIME TO AIR THIS STORY BECAUSE WE'RE
- 25 GOING TO FOCUS ON ANNA NICOLE SMITH AND, AS IT HAPPENED, WE



- 1 DID AIR THE STORY, IT FOLLOWED A STORY ABOUT MISS SMITH AND I
- 2 THOUGHT WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT, THIS IS A GOOD THING, BECAUSE
- 3 INSTEAD OF THINKING IT WAS GOING TO GET LOST IN THE NEWS OR
- 4 THE STORY OF THE DAY, I THINK ACTUALLY MORE PEOPLE SAW THIS
- 5 STORY. SO IT ACTUALLY WORKED OUT IN AIRING IT ON THE DAY THAT
- 6 WE DID, SO THAT, TO ME, MEANT, AS A JOURNALIST, THAT GOOD
- 7 THINGS REALLY DO HAPPEN OUT OF THINGS THAT ARE SO TRAGIC.
- 8 HERE'S A STORY THAT NEEDED TO BE HEARD, MORE PEOPLE NEEDED TO
- 9 KNOW ABOUT IT AND THE BEST THING ABOUT THIS OF ALL FRIENDS IS
- 10 THAT YOU CAN VOLUNTEER FOR FREE, JUST VOLUNTEER YOUR SERVICES
- 11 FOR AN HOUR AND YOU'RE HELPING KIDS AND WE'RE HELPING
- 12 OURSELVES AS A RESULT OF THAT BECAUSE, THAT WAY, WE BRING
- 13 OTHER PEOPLE UP AND THAT ONLY UPLIFTS US AS WELL, SO THANK YOU
- 14 AGAIN FOR THE RECOGNITION, I DO APPRECIATE IT AND KEEP THE
- 15 STORIES COMING BECAUSE WE'LL DO MORE OF THEM. THANK YOU. [
- 16 APPLAUSE]

- 18 SUP. BURKE: NOW I'M NEW TO THIS STARS SO I'M GOING TO-- IT'S
- 19 MY PLEASURE TODAY TO INTRODUCE THE MARCH 2007 L.A. COUNTY
- 20 STARS IN THE CATEGORY OF SERVICE EXCELLENCE, AND I HOPE I HAVE
- 21 THE RIGHT-- I'M CALLING THE RIGHT PEOPLE FIRST. FIRST, WE HAVE
- 22 CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES DEPARTMENT, COMMUNICATION AND MARKETING
- 23 DIVISION. THE TEAM, COMPRISED OF CARLOS ESEFES, RICK APOSTAL,
- 24 MARTY BRANTSCOMB, ORALIA MORCORDOVA, WILLIAM DIMAS, VIVIAN MY,
- 25 CINDY SAM, DONNA WARE, MINUSH SAHAD AND AL REYES. THEY STAGED

13

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THE MOST SUCCESSFUL CHILD SUPPORT AWARENESS MONTH OUTREACH
- 2 PROGRAM IN THE HISTORY OF THE DEPARTMENT LAST YEAR. THE TEAM
- 3 ENGAGED OVER 105 COMMUNITY AND FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATION
- 4 LEADERS IN DIALOGUE CONCERNING THE MOST EFFECTIVE METHODS FOR
- 5 PROVIDING CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES TO L.A. CONSTITUENTS. THEY
- 6 ALSO STAGED THE FIRST SUMMIT FOR OVER 60 ESCROW AND TITLE
- 7 COMPANY PROFESSIONALS WHO ARE INTEGRAL PARTNERS IN THE
- 8 ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT, COLLECTING OVER 40.1 MILLION FOR CSSD
- 9 FAMILIES. THE OUTREACH SERVED TO INCREASE THE DEPARTMENT'S
- 10 VISIBILITY IN THE COMMUNITY AND EXPAND ITS SERVICES TO DIVERSE
- 11 AND UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS. CONGRATULATIONS AND WE HAVE MR.
- 12 BROWNING HERE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

14 SUP. BURKE: NEXT, WE HAVE BETTY MARLOW FROM THE COUNTY PUBLIC

- 15 LIBRARY. MISS MARLOW IS THE COMMUNITY LIBRARY MANAGER OF THE
- 16 EAST RANCHO DOMINGUS LIBRARY, WHICH IS LOCATED IN AN
- 17 UNINCORPORATED AREA NEAR COMPTON. THROUGH HER EFFORTS, THE
- 18 LOCAL OUALITY OF LIFE HAS BEEN ENRICHED BY EDUCATIONAL AND
- 19 CULTURAL OFFERINGS. LAST YEAR, SHE IMPLEMENTED TWO SIGNIFICANT
- 20 SERVICES: FAMILY PLACE, A PROGRAM DEVOTED TO MAKING THE
- 21 LIBRARY FAMILY FRIENDLY TO PRESCHOOLERS AND THEIR PARENTS; AND
- 22 A HOMEWORK CENTER, WHICH FEATURES HOMEWORK HELPERS, COMPUTERS,
- 23 LIBRARY MATERIALS TO ASSIST CHILDREN WITH THEIR SCHOOLWORK.
- 24 MISS MARLOW SERVES VARIOUS POPULATIONS AND ENSURES THAT



- 1 MATERIALS AND SERVICES AT THE LIBRARY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF
- 2 ALL GROUPS. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: LASTLY, IT'S OUR PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE THE SKID
- 5 ROW ASSESSMENT TEAM FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 6 SERVICES. THE TEAM CONSISTS OF DOLORES CHAVEZ, MANUEL DE LA
- 7 CRUZ, ANGELICA GIAGO, COACHY ZERON, CAESAR VALDEZ, DAVID
- 8 MAORGA, RYAN SAMBRANO, LUCIA NAVARRO, JOSE CHAVEZ, YOLANDA
- 9 WISCOE, PATRICIA TORRES, REGINALD NUJUKO AND FRANCIS KUDOY. IN
- 10 2006, THE TEAM ASSISTED A TOTAL OF 1,186 HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS
- 11 BY PROVIDING FAMILIES OR INDIVIDUALS WITH IMMEDIATE NEEDS
- 12 ASSESSMENT, CALWORKS APPLICATIONS AND PROBLEM RESOLUTION ON
- 13 ONGOING CASES, WELFARE-TO-WORK AND DIRECT LINKING THE FAMILIES
- 14 TO OTHER AVAILABLE SERVICES. BY COLLABORATING WITH THE LOS
- 15 ANGELES HOMELESS SERVICE AUTHORITY SCHOOL DISTRICTS, HOMELESS
- 16 LIAISONS, HOMELESS COURT, THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
- 17 FAMILY SERVICES, MENTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH, THE TEAM HAS
- 18 PROVIDED INVALUABLE SERVICES TO THE HOMELESS POPULATION OF THE
- 19 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. CONGRATULATIONS AND I WANT TO TELL YOU
- 20 HOW MUCH WE APPRECIATE THE JOB YOU'RE DOING, YOU'RE TRULY
- 21 IMPACTING AND HELPING THOSE PEOPLE WHO NEED THE HELP.
- 22 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, WHILE YOU'RE TAKING THE PICTURE, I
- 25 JUST WANT TO SAY IT'S INTERESTING THAT WE LOOKED AT THE

8

10

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 HOMELESS VIDEO THAT PAT HARVEY DID BUT THIS TEAM IS OUT THERE
- 2 ALL THE TIME, 24 HOURS A DAY. THEY DO FULL ASSESSMENTS OF
- 3 THESE FAMILIES AND THESE CHILDREN AND PROVIDE SERVICES
- 4 WHEREVER THEY CAN AND THEIR GOAL IS TO KEEP CHILDREN OUT OF
- 5 SKID ROW AND THEY'RE DOING AN ABSOLUTELY OUTSTANDING JOB AND
- 6 THEY SHOULD BE COMMENDED FOR THE OUTSTANDING WORK THAT THEY
- 7 DO, SO CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU ALL. [APPLAUSE]

9 SUP. BURKE: YOU'RE UP FIRST, SUPERVISOR KNABE.

11 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LADIES AND

- 12 GENTLEMEN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO INVITE UP-- WE'LL WAIT UNTIL
- 13 WE CLEAR THE AREA HERE. ALL RIGHT. MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 14 BOARD, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'D LIKE TO INVITE UP MR. ART
- 15 WIBLE. TODAY, WE ARE HONORING ART ON HIS RETIREMENT AS THE
- 16 PUBLISHER OF THE DAILY BREEZE NEWSPAPER, AS WELL AS HIS
- 17 COMMUNITY SERVICE. ART WAS BORN IN NEW YORK, RECEIVED HIS
- 18 BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN JOURNALISM FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF
- 19 MICHIGAN AND BEGAN HIS CAREER AT THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE. HE
- 20 JOINED COPLAND NEWSPAPERS IN 2001, SERVING AS THE PUBLISHER OF
- 21 THE SOUTH BAY'S DAILY BREEZE NEWSPAPER UNTIL THIS PAST
- 22 DECEMBER, WHEN IT WAS SOLD TO THE HEARST CORPORATION. IN A
- 23 TIME OF INDUSTRY DECLINE, ART MORE THAN DOUBLED THE MARKET
- 24 SHARE FOR THE DAILY BREEZE THROUGH THE ACQUISITION OF OTHER
- 25 LOCAL PAPERS, AS WELL AS, MOST IMPORTANTLY, INCREASED



- 1 CIRCULATION NUMBERS. DAILY BREEZE JOURNALISTS HAVE ALSO WON
- 2 NUMEROUS AWARDS FOR REPORTING EXCELLENCE AND EXEMPLIFYING TO
- 3 MAKING A DIFFERENCE PHILOSOPHY THAT WAS STRESSED BY ART. IN
- 4 ADDITION TO HIS WORK WITH THE PAPER, ART HAS BEEN A GOOD
- 5 FRIEND TO THE COMMUNITY IN MANY REGARDS. HE SERVED AS A BOARD
- 6 MEMBER OF OVER A DOZEN LOCAL NONPROFIT GROUPS, INCLUDING THE
- 7 SOUTH BAY ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP, THE SOUTH BAY POLICE AND FIRE
- 8 MEMORIAL FOUNDATION, THE BEACH CITY'S HEALTH DISTRICT, VARIOUS
- 9 LOCAL CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE AND MANY OTHER GROUPS AND
- 10 ORGANIZATIONS AND, THANKFULLY, HE'S NOT GOING TO GIVE UP ON
- 11 ALL THAT, SO WE REALLY APPRECIATE THE FACT HE'S GOING TO STAY
- 12 INVOLVED. BUT, ART, ON BEHALF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES AND THE
- 13 10 MILLION RESIDENTS HERE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WE WANT TO
- 14 THANK YOU FOR 46 YEARS OF GREAT JOURNALISM AND APPRECIATE YOUR
- 15 FAIRNESS IN YOUR REPORTING AND THE GREAT NEWSPAPER THAT YOU
- 16 WERE A PART OF FOR SO MANY YEARS, SO CONGRATULATIONS. [
- 17 APPLAUSE]

- 19 ART WIBLE: JUST A COUPLE OF BRIEF COMMENTS. IT'S KIND OF A
- 20 LITTLE BIT SAD AFTER 46 YEARS TO BE GIVING IT UP. I WAS
- 21 ACTUALLY IN CHARGE OF THINGS FOR A LITTLE WHILE AND I'M
- 22 FINDING OUT NOW, IN MY SECOND MONTH OF RETIREMENT, THAT, AT
- 23 HOME, I'M NOT. SO ANY OF YOU KNOW HOW THAT WORKS? SO, FIVE
- 24 KIDS AND EIGHT GRANDKIDS ARE CALLING BUT, WITH SOME SADNESS, I
- 25 LEAVE BUT WE'RE HANGING IN THERE AND I JUST WANT TO SAY, IN



- 1 CONCLUSION, HAVING BEEN IN NEW YORK AND CHICAGO AND SOME OTHER
- 2 BIG MARKETS, WE'VE WORKED WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THE
- 3 POLITICAL ARENA AND STANDING RIGHT HERE ON MY RIGHT IS A GUY
- 4 WHO REALLY CARES, REALLY INVOLVED AND WE'VE BEEN PROUD AT THE
- 5 DAILY BREEZE AND IN THE SOUTH BAY TO WORK WITH DON KNABE. HE'S
- 6 THE BEST. SO WE THANK YOU, DON, FOR ALL YOU'RE DOING IN ALL OF
- 7 OUR COMMUNITIES AND WE HOPE TO SEE MORE OF YOU THERE. THANK
- 8 YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

9

11

10 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MADAM CHAIRMAN, TODAY, WE'RE GOING TO

- 13 RECOGNIZE A SPECIAL TIME DURING THIS WEEK AS KURT FLOREN, WHO
- 14 IS OUR DIRECTOR OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, AGRICULTURAL
- 15 COMMISSIONER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, IS GOING TO JOIN AS WE
- 16 PROCLAIM THE WEEK OF MARCH 1ST THROUGH THE 7TH AS WEIGHTS AND
- 17 MEASURES WEEK, NOT ONLY THROUGH OUR COUNTY BUT THROUGHOUT THE
- 18 NATION, EVERY STATE AND MUNICIPALITY IS ALSO JOINING IN THIS
- 19 EFFORT. THE RECOGNITION OF THE FIRST WEIGHTS AND MEASURE LAW
- 20 WAS PASSED BACK IN 1799 BY THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS. OUR
- 21 COUNTY HAS CHOSEN THIS YEAR'S THEME, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, THE
- 22 FOUNDATION OF COMMERCE IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY. THE AGRICULTURAL
- 23 COMMISSIONER, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES DEPARTMENT, ROUTINELY
- 24 CONDUCTS UNDERCOVER INSPECTIONS AT RETAIL FACILITIES. THESE
- 25 INSPECTIONS HAVE UNCOVERED AND CORRECTED PROBLEMS, FORTIFYING



- 1 THE INTEGRITY OF THE SALES PROCESS AND ENHANCING CONSUMER
- 2 AWARENESS. AND ONE OF THE GREAT JOBS THAT THEY DID A COUPLE
- 3 YEARS AGO WAS POINTING OUT THE QUALITY OF BOTTLED WATER COMING
- 4 FROM VENDING MINUTES WHERE WE FOUND THAT MANY OF THOSE VENDING
- 5 MINUTES HAD DIRTY FILTERS AND WERE REALLY NOT UP TO STANDARDS
- 6 AND, THROUGH GOOD ACTIONS BY THAT DEPARTMENT, THOSE VENDING
- 7 MACHINE OPERATORS UPGRADED THEIR MACHINES BY CLEANING THE
- 8 FILTERS. SO, KURT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY, WE GIVE YOU THIS.
- 9 SAY A FEW WORDS. [APPLAUSE]

- 11 KURT FLOREN: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, I APPRECIATE
- 12 THE RECOGNITION OF THIS 208TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FIRST WEIGHTS
- 13 AND MEASURES LAW IN THE U.S. ALL OF US IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 14 EVERY DAY USE AND PURCHASE COMMODITIES THAT ARE BASED ON
- 15 WEIGHTS AND MEASURES. WE HAVE OVER 220,000 WEIGHING AND
- 16 MEASURING DEVICES. OF THOSE, OVER 50,000 ARE GAS PUMPS AT
- 17 ABOUT 2,000 DIFFERENT GAS STATIONS. WE HAVE COUNTLESS NUMBERS
- 18 OF PACKAGED COMMODITIES THAT ARE BOUGHT AND SOLD BOTH LOCALLY
- 19 AND NATIONWIDE AND THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. AND, OF COURSE, WE
- 20 HAVE SCANNERS THAT ARE INSTALLED AT ABOUT 9,000 DIFFERENT
- 21 RETAILERS AND ALL OF THESE HAVE TO BE TESTED FOR ACCURACY. THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES,
- 23 HAS A TEAM OF 55 INSPECTORS WHO WORK DILIGENTLY TO ENSURE THAT
- 24 ACCURACY AND WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THE WORK THEY DO AND



- 1 APPRECIATE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO RAISE PUBLIC AWARENESS ABOUT
- 2 THE IMPORTANCE OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TAKE CARE. HAVE A GOOD WEEK. NOW WE HAVE A
- 5 LITTLE TERRIER MIX, SHE'S 10 WEEKS OLD, HER NAME IS C.C. AND
- 6 LITTLE C.C. IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. AN ANYBODY WHO WOULD LIKE
- 7 TO ADOPT LITTLE C.C., YOU CAN CALL 562-728-4644 OR ANYBODY IN
- 8 THE AUDIENCE WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT LITTLE C.C. SEE
- 9 EVERYBODY? LITTLE C.C.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: IF THAT CONCLUDES OUR PRESENTATIONS -- OH, I'M
- 12 SORRY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: I NEVER HAVE PRESENTATIONS. AND THEN WE'LL HAVE
- 15 THE SENATOR UP. IT'S MY HONOR THIS MORNING TO MAKE A VERY
- 16 SPECIAL PRESENTATION TO SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN WITH THE COUNTY
- 17 FOR A LONG TIME. THAT'S OUR VERY OWN LISA NUNEZ. SHE IS A
- 18 LONG-TIME COUNTY EMPLOYEE. SHE BEGAN HER COUNTY CAREER WITH
- 19 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES IN 1970 AS AN
- 20 ELIGIBILITY WORKER IN THE BELVEDERE DISTRICT OFFICE. SHE
- 21 JOINED THE DEPARTMENT'S COMPUTER SERVICES DIVISION, WHERE SHE
- 22 WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTING MANY INNOVATIVE AND
- 23 SUCCESSFUL COMPUTER SYSTEMS WHICH ARE STILL IN USE TODAY AND
- 24 STILL TRYING TO MAKE THEM ALL WORK, RIGHT? LISA CONTINUED TO
- 25 CLIMB THE RANKS AND EVENTUALLY BECAME THE C.S.D. DIVISION



- 1 CHIEF. LISA THEN WENT ON TO OVERSEE THE CALWORKS PROGRAM IN
- 2 1996, WHERE SHE ESTABLISHED POLICIES FOR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE,
- 3 SUBSTANCE ABUSE, MENTAL HEALTH, CHILD CARE, DIVERSION AND HOME
- 4 CALL VISITATION PROGRAM. IN 2002, LISA JOINED THE COUNTY'S
- 5 C.A.O. OFFICE AND SHE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR DIRECTING ALL
- 6 ACTIVITIES RELATED TO MACLAREN'S CHILDREN'S CENTER. AND, IN
- 7 2003, LISA WAS PROMOTED TO HER CURRENT POSITION OF D.P.S.S.
- 8 CHIEF DEPUTY. OVER THE YEARS, LISA DEDICATED COUNTLESS HOURS
- 9 ON MANY CRITICAL ISSUES, INCLUDING THE OVERSIGHT OF MACLAREN
- 10 CHILDREN'S CENTER, OVERSEEING THE SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 11 WELFARE PROGRAMS AND CHILDCARE PROGRAMS AND MANAGING THE
- 12 LEADER CONTRACT. SHE WAS OUICK TO RESPOND WHEN WE SAW THE
- 13 DESPERATE NEED FOR SERVICES FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES IN SKID ROW
- 14 AND SHE WAS PART OF ROLLING OUT THOSE NEW PROGRAMS. NONE OF
- 15 THESE TASKS THAT SHE UNDERTOOK WERE EASY BUT LISA, AGAIN, IS
- 16 INNOVATIVE, SHE'S CREATIVE, SHE'S VERY TENACIOUS AND A VERY,
- 17 VERY HARD WORKER. MY STAFF AND I GOT TO KNOW AND ADMIRE LISA
- 18 THROUGH WORKING ON THE MACLAREN ISSUE. HER LEADERSHIP AND
- 19 MANAGEMENT SKILLS WERE INVALUABLE AND SHE MADE SURE THE
- 20 DEPARTMENTS WHICH PROVIDE SERVICES TO FOSTER YOUTH WERE
- 21 PROVIDING COORDINATED AND QUALITY CARE. SHE HELPED SELECT AND
- 22 TRAIN THE CASE MANAGERS, WHO DEVOTED MUCH NEEDED INDIVIDUAL
- 23 ATTENTION TO EVERY CHILD LIVING AT MACLAREN. AFTER 36 YEARS,
- 24 HARD TO BELIEVE, WITH THE COUNTY OF L.A., LISA NUNEZ HAS
- 25 TOUCHED COUNTLESS LIVES AND HAS GENUINELY MADE A DIFFERENCE



- 1 FOR THOUSANDS OF RESIDENTS, FAMILIES AND THEIR CHILDREN. SHE'S
- 2 RECEIVED MANY AWARDS OVER THE YEARS, INCLUDING BEING NAMED THE
- 3 2000 HISPANIC WOMAN OF THE YEAR BY THE MEXICAN-AMERICAN
- 4 OPPORTUNITY FOUNDATION AND TODAY, OF COURSE, THE BOARD, WITH
- 5 REGRET, BUT I GUESS IT'S GREAT FOR YOU, WANTS TO SAY GOOD-BYE
- 6 BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY, WANTS TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR VERY
- 7 OUTSTANDING LEADERSHIP THROUGH THE COUNTY, ONE OF THE
- 8 STRONGEST AND BEST LEADERS I'VE SEEN IN THIS AREA. WE
- 9 APPRECIATE YOUR DILIGENCE AND YOUR HARD WORK. WE REALLY ADMIRE
- 10 YOUR TENACITY. CONGRATULATIONS AND GOOD WISHES FOR THE FUTURE.
- 11 [APPLAUSE]

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: LISA'S HAD A COUPLE OF BOSSES BUT I'M GOING TO
- 14 CALL ON BRYCE, INITIALLY, AND THEN I'LL ASK DAVID TO SAY A FEW
- 15 WORDS. BRYCE?

- 17 BRYCE YOKOMIZO: I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES HAS OVERCOME A NUMBER OF MAJOR, MAJOR
- 19 CHALLENGES IN RECENT YEARS AND SO MUCH OF OUR SUCCESS HAS BEEN
- 20 DIRECTLY ATTRIBUTED TO THE LEADERSHIP AND REALLY THE HARD WORK
- 21 OF LISA NUNEZ AND WE REALLY, REALLY, ON BEHALF OF THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT, APPRECIATE HOW MUCH SHE HAS DONE FOR LOS ANGELES
- 23 COUNTY. WE WANT TO ALSO THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND
- 24 SUPERVISOR MOLINA FOR THIS RECOGNITION OF LISA THIS MORNING.
- 25 IT IS VERY, VERY WELL DESERVED. [APPLAUSE]



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: DAVID?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: LET ME JUST SECOND EVERYTHING THAT BRYCE SAID
- 5 AND MULTIPLY IT A HUNDRED TIMES. LISA'S JUST ABSOLUTELY
- 6 INCREDIBLE PUBLIC SERVANT. THE COUNTY IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE
- 7 TO REPLACE HER. I'VE DONE EVERYTHING I CAN TO TRY TO GET HER
- 8 TO STAY BUT I THINK SHE'S DETERMINED TO LEAVE. MACLAREN, ALL
- 9 GOOD THINGS WENT THROUGH MACLAREN AND LISA AND BRYCE BOTH JUST
- 10 DID AN INCREDIBLE JOB AT MACLAREN AND YOU REALLY MADE BRYCE
- 11 LOOK GOOD OUT AT D.P.S.S. WE'RE GOING TO MISS YOU A LOT, LISA.
- 12 YOU'VE JUST CONTRIBUTED SO MUCH TO L.A. COUNTY. IT'S BEEN A
- 13 PLEASURE WORKING WITH YOU. [APPLAUSE]

- 15 LISA NUNEZ: OKAY. WELL, FIRST LET ME SAY THAT I'M VERY
- 16 OVERWHELMED. THE COUNTY HAS BEEN MY LIFE FOR THE PAST 36
- 17 YEARS-- MORE THAN 36 YEARS AND, WHILE I RAISED TWO SONS, I DID
- 18 IT WHILE WORKING IN JOBS THAT I LOVED. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO
- 19 THANK THE BOARD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND FOR
- 20 YOUR WONDERFUL STAFF, FOR ALL OF THEM, FOR LUISA AND MARTHA
- 21 AND HEDARDO AND WENDY AND NICK AND LINDA AND FOR RUSTY AND
- 22 HELEN AND ALL OF THOSE THAT CAME BEFORE THEM. THANK YOU. THANK
- 23 YOU FOR SUPPORTING US AND THANK YOU PUSHING US BECAUSE IT MADE
- 24 A DIFFERENCE. I HAPPENED TO BE VERY FORTUNATE IN THAT I WORKED
- 25 FOR THE BEST DEPARTMENT IN THE COUNTY AND I WORKED FOR THREE



- 1 BOSSES THAT I RESPECT, FOR BRYCE AND MR. TANAKA AND FOR DAVID
- 2 THAT HELPED-- THAT SUPPORTED ME IN WHATEVER I DID. THANK YOU.
- 3 AND, BRYCE, FOR THE LAST FOUR YEARS, I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR
- 4 ALLOWING ME TO STAND BY YOU IN DOING WHAT WE DID. D.P.S.S. IS
- 5 A SPECIAL PLACE IN THAT IT'S NOT JUST A JOB, IT'S A FAMILY AND
- 6 I HAVE FRIENDS THAT I WILL KEEP FOREVER AND COLLEAGUES THAT I
- 7 WORKED WITH THAT ARE WONDERFUL AND WILL DO ANYTHING FOR THE
- 8 COUNTY AND FOR THE FAMILIES WE SERVE. AND, PERSONALLY,
- 9 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR ALL OF YOUR
- 10 SUPPORT OVER THE YEARS. YOU ARE TRULY A ROLE MODEL FOR
- 11 HISPANIC WOMEN, YOUNG AND OLD ...(VOICE WAVERING)... OLDER
- 12 (LAUGHTER) AND, WHILE MACLAREN WAS CERTAINLY MY MOST
- 13 CHALLENGING JOB FOR THAT ONE YEAR, THROUGH YOUR PERSONAL
- 14 INVOLVEMENT, WE WERE ABLE TO CLOSE MAC AND TO FIND PERMANENT
- 15 HOMES FOR 150 OF THE MOST VULNERABLE AND NEEDY KIDS IN THE
- 16 COUNTY. AND, YEARS BEFORE THAT, IT WAS HER VISION OF CAREERS
- 17 AND CHILDCARE -- CHILD CARE GRANT AND LOAN PROGRAM, CHILD CARE
- 18 FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AND, ALONG WITH SUPERVISOR
- 19 BURKE, THE AFTER-SCHOOL ENRICHMENT PROGRAM THAT HAS HELPED
- 20 KIDS, THOUSANDS OF CHILDREN ALL OVER THE COUNTY. THANK YOU. I
- 21 CAME TO THE COUNTY BECAUSE I WANTED TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE, AND
- 22 I LEAVE FEELING THAT I'VE DONE THAT. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]
- 24 LISA NUNEZ: I'VE GOT TWO GRANDKIDS, NEW GRANDKIDS, SO I'M
- 25 GOING TO SPEND TIME WITH THEM.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: OH, GOOD FOR YOU. CONGRATULATIONS. THANK YOU SO
- 3 MUCH.

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: ONE LAST PRESENTATION AND I'M GOING TO BE JOINED
- 6 IN THIS PRESENTATION WITH SUPERVISOR DON KNABE, IF HE CAN MAKE
- 7 IT THROUGH THIS BARRICADE WE'VE CREATED HERE. (LAUGHTER)
- 8 TODAY, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND I ARE VERY PROUD TO RECOGNIZE THE
- 9 NOGALES HIGH SCHOOL NOBLE MARCHING BAND, WHICH HAS RECEIVED
- 10 THE NUMEROUS STATE AND NATIONAL RECOGNITIONS THROUGHOUT THE
- 11 YEAR. WE'RE VERY PROUD TO WELCOME THE BAND DIRECTOR, BRAD
- 12 POLLACK, ROLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT, DR.
- 13 MARIA OTT IS HERE, HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPAL DR. NANCY PADILLA,
- 14 AND TWO BAND MEMBERS, HARVEY ARVAGAS AND JENOVI GASADO.
- 15 GIOVANNI, EXCUSE ME. WOW, WHAT A BIG NAME. COME ON UP. THE
- 16 NOGALES HIGH SCHOOL NOBLE MARCHING BAND WAS NAMED THE 4-A
- 17 DIVISION STATE CHAMPIONS AT THE WESTERN BAND ASSOCIATION STATE
- 18 MARCHING CHAMPIONSHIPS IN FRESNO, CALIFORNIA. THE TEAM TRULY
- 19 PERSONIFIES CONSISTENCY, HARD WORKING AND DEDICATION, AS THEIR
- 20 SCHOOL IS PROUD TO HANG THE STATE CHAMPIONSHIP BANNER.
- 21 CONGRATULATIONS. AT THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BAND AND ORCHESTRA
- 22 ASSOCIATION TOURNAMENT, THEY COMPETED AGAINST BANDS MUCH
- 23 LARGER IN SIZE AND RECEIVED THE SILVER MEDAL, WHILE THE COLOR
- 24 GUARD TOOK FIRST PLACE AND THE DRUM LINE SECOND. THE BAND ALSO
- 25 WON NUMEROUS AWARDS AT OTHER TOURNAMENTS SUCH AS EARNING FIRST

11

16

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 PLACE AT THE RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, SWEEPSTAKES AT BOTH
- 2 VALLEY VIEW AND MISSION VIEJO, AND FIRST PLACE FOR THE COLOR
- 3 GUARD DRUM LINE AND MUSIC AT TRABUCO HILLS. SO ON BEHALF OF
- 4 THE ENTIRE BOARD, WE'RE PROUD TO PAY TRIBUTE TO THE NOGALES
- 5 HIGH SCHOOL NOBLE REGIMENT BAND AND COLOR GUARD OF THE ROWLAND
- 6 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. WE CALL IT THE LITTLE BAND THAT COULD
- 7 FROM LA PUENTE HAS DONE IT. CONGRATULATIONS, YOU GUYS. VERY,
- 8 VERY DESERVING. [APPLAUSE]

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: DON, DO YOU WANT TO SAY A FEW WORDS?

12 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I JUST-- WE'RE SO PROUD OF THE ROWLAND

- 13 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. THEY JUST CONTINUE TO DO A GREAT JOB
- 14 AND NOGALES IS JUST OUTSTANDING AND WE JUST WANT TO SAY
- 15 CONGRATULATIONS. WE'RE PROUD OF THEM. [APPLAUSE]

17 BRAD POLLACK: HELLO. THANK YOU FOR HAVING US. THIS IS AN

- 18 AWESOME HONOR TO BE CONSIDERED. WE JUST WANTED TO MAKE THE
- 19 STATE FINALS THIS YEAR AND JUST BE A PART OF IT AND TO END UP
- 20 WINNING THE STATE CHAMPIONSHIPS WITH SUCH AN HONOR FOR OUR
- 21 SCHOOL AT NOGALES AND FOR THE ROWLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 22 AND IT IS AN HONOR HAVING US HERE, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WAS
- 23 SO PROUD OF OUR KIDS. I'M GOING TO MISS THEM. I HAVE ABOUT 24
- 24 SENIORS THIS YEAR, SO WE HAVE A LOT OF RECRUITING TO DO AND
- 25 JUST TO CONTINUE DOING OUR SUCCESS. WE WORK REALLY HARD AND



- 1 OUR GOALS ARE JUST TO HAVE FUN AND TO BE PROUD OF ALL THE HARD
- 2 WORK THAT WE DO TO REACH OUR GOALS AND JUST TO HAVE THAT
- 3 SUCCESS WITH THE TROPHIES WAS JUST ICING ON THE CAKE. SO THANK
- 4 YOU AGAIN AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE BACK HERE NEXT YEAR. THANK
- 5 YOU.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: SUPERVISOR BURKE. MS. BURKE?

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT, SUPERVISOR KNABE, YOU'RE UP FIRST. DO
- 10 YOU MIND CALLING 24?

11

12 SUP. KNABE: I'D BE HONORED TO CALL 24.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: AFTER YOU DO THE REST OF YOUR THINGS. AFTER YOUR
- 15 ADJOURNMENTS. [LAUGHTER]

16

17 SUP. KNABE: I MIGHT CALL 24 UP FIRST.

18

19 **SUP. BURKE:** HUH?

20

21 SUP. KNABE: COULD I CALL 24 UP FIRST?

- 23 SUP. BURKE: <LAUGHTER> YES. WELL, I'LL CALL THEM. WE MAY HAVE
- 24 TO WAIT ON SOME OF THE-- WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SPEAKERS. WE'RE
- 25 JUST GOING TO CALL UP SENATOR ALARCON AND THEN WE WILL CALL



- I THE REST OF THE SPEAKERS BECAUSE THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 2 SPEAKERS HERE AND WE'LL CALL THEM A LITTLE BIT LATER.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: OH, OKAY.

5

6 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: GOOD MORNING.

7

8 SUP. KNABE: GOOD MORNING.

- 10 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: WELL, THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
- 11 SPEAK. THERE ARE OTHER ACTIVITIES GOING ON THAT I HAVE TO PAY
- 12 ATTENTION TO TODAY BUT SOMETIMES PRIORITIES BRING YOU TO
- 13 PLACES THAT YOU MUST BE RATHER THAN WHERE YOU MIGHT
- 14 ALTERNATIVELY BE. SO IT IS VERY MUCH MY PLEASURE TO BE BEFORE
- 15 YOU TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO SUPPORT THE MOTION WITH REGARD TO
- 16 IMPLEMENTATION OF SB-1773. I'VE BEEN BEFORE THIS BOARD AND
- 17 EXPRESSED MY PERSONAL CONCERN WITH REGARD TO THIS BILL. MY SON
- 18 WAS KILLED IN A CAR ACCIDENT IN 1987 AND I LEARNED, NOT TOO
- 19 LONG AGO, PROBABLY ABOUT FIVE OR SIX YEARS AGO, THAT THE SAN
- 20 FERNANDO VALLEY DOES NOT HAVE A PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CARE CENTER
- 21 IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. AND SO IT WAS SHORTLY AFTER THAT
- 22 THAT I SET ABOUT TRYING TO FIND ALTERNATIVE WAYS TO INCREASE
- 23 FUNDING FOR TRAUMA CARE AND SB-1773 PROVIDED AN OPPORTUNITY
- 24 FOR THE COUNTIES TO DO JUST THAT. OF COURSE, THIS BILL SUNSET
- 25 IN 2009, AND I'LL COME BACK TO THAT IN A MINUTE, BUT THIS



- 1 WOULD PROVIDE APPROXIMATELY \$31 MILLION TO THE TRAUMA CARE
- 2 SYSTEM IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND, IN DOING SO, WOULD REDUCE
- 3 THE NEED FOR SERVICES THAT WOULD OTHERWISE COST MORE MONEY.
- 4 THIS FUND-- WE ALSO ESTABLISHED, IN THIS BILL, RICHEY'S FUND,
- 5 MY SON'S NAME WAS RICHEY AND I'M VERY HONORED THAT HIS LEGACY
- 6 WILL LIVE ON IN THIS FUND, THAT WILL DEDICATE 15% OF THE
- 7 DOLLARS OF THE 31 MILLION TO PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CARE. AGAIN, IN
- 8 THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, THERE IS NO SPECIFIC PEDIATRIC TRAUMA
- 9 CARE. OF COURSE, IN URGENT SITUATIONS, I THINK EVERY CASE IS
- 10 URGENT, I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DESCRIBE IT BUT I CAN SAY THAT, ON
- 11 OCCASION, NORTHRIDGE AND HOLY CROSS AND ST. JOE'S DO PROVIDE
- 12 TRAUMA CARE TO PEDIATRIC PATIENTS BUT IT'S NOT A 24-HOUR
- 13 OPPORTUNITY. IT DEPENDS WHEN IT OCCURS, IT DEPENDS ON THE
- 14 SITUATION BUT WE DO KNOW THAT MANY, MANY CASES, LIKE IN THE
- 15 CASE OF MY SON, WE USED CITY HELICOPTERS TO TRANSPORT THE
- 16 PATIENTS TO HENRY MAYO OR U.C.L.A. AND QUITE OFTEN CHILDREN'S
- 17 HOSPITAL PUTTING, AGAIN, PEOPLE IN GREATER RISK. IN FACT, THE
- 18 LAST ACCIDENT OF A HELICOPTER IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WAS
- 19 TRANSPORTATION OF AN EMERGENCY PATIENT AND SEVERAL PEOPLE LOST
- 20 THEIR LIVES AS A RESULT OF THAT ACCIDENT. IT ALSO ADDS TO THE
- 21 COST OF THESE-- TO TRANSPORT THESE FOLKS. I'M HOPEFUL THAT
- 22 THIS OPPORTUNITY WILL PROVIDE FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE A
- 23 PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CARE CENTER IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. I
- 24 SPOKE TO THE DIRECTOR OF TRAUMA CARE AT NORTHRIDGE HOSPITAL,
- 25 AND NOT TO QUOTE HIM WITH HARD FACTS, HE DID NOT HAVE ANY



- 1 RESEARCH, BUT HE SAID THAT HIS ESTIMATE WAS THAT MAYBE WE'RE
- 2 WITHIN THREE TO \$5 MILLION A YEAR IN BEING ABLE TO EQUIP
- 3 NORTHRIDGE HOSPITAL TO BE ABLE TO MANAGE THIS PROGRAM IN A
- 4 RESPONSIBLE WAY. AND I THINK THAT'S WELL WITHIN STRIKING ZONE
- 5 OF PROVIDING THAT SERVICE TO ONE OF THE LARGEST CONCENTRATIONS
- 6 OF PEOPLE IN THE COUNTRY, 2 MILLION PEOPLE, WHO ARE WITHOUT
- 7 PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CARE. BUT, IN GENERAL, THIS WILL PROVIDE \$31
- 8 MILLION TO THE TRAUMA CARE SYSTEM, WHICH IS SEVERELY HAMPERED
- 9 BY-- I DON'T NEED TO TELL YOU ALL THE REASONS, YOU KNOW THE
- 10 REASONS BETTER THAN I, HAVING HAD THIS RESPONSIBILITY FOR
- 11 MANY, MANY YEARS. SO I AM VERY PLEASED THAT GOVERNOR
- 12 SCHWARZENEGGER SIGNED THIS BILL. IT TOOK A COUPLE OF YEARS TO
- 13 GET IT THERE. I DO WANT TO ADDRESS ONE ISSUE RELATIVE TO THAT.
- 14 THE FIRST GO ROUND, THE GOVERNOR DID NOT SIGN THE BILL BUT,
- 15 AFTER INCLUDING A SUNSET CLAUSE IN DECEMBER OF 2009, THE
- 16 GOVERNOR WAS WILLING TO SIGN THE BILL AS A TEMPORARY RELIEF
- 17 MEASURE BUT I WILL WORK VERY HARD TO AUTHOR, IF I'M IN THE
- 18 LEGISLATURE, I'M HOPING NOT TO BE BUT, IF I AM, I WOULD AUTHOR
- 19 THAT BUT I WOULD WORK VERY HARD TO FIND AN AUTHOR FOR
- 20 LEGISLATION TO EXTEND THE SUNSET AND HAVE, I BELIEVE, MANY
- 21 COLLEAGUES WHO WOULD BE HAPPY TO INTRODUCE SUCH LEGISLATION.
- 22 SO, WITH THAT, I WOULD URGE THAT, FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL LOS
- 23 ANGELINOS-- THE ONE THING I WOULD SAY ABOUT TRAUMA CARE THAT I
- 24 NEGLECTED TO SAY AND THAT IS THIS THAT THIS IS ONE TYPE OF
- 25 CARE THAT DOESN'T DISCRIMINATE IN TERMS OF WHO IS UNACCESSIBLE



- 1 TO IT. IF YOU ARE STRICKEN WITH A HEART ATTACK IN THE MIDDLE
- 2 OF BRENTWOOD, IT DOESN'T MATTER HOW MUCH MONEY IS IN YOUR
- 3 WALLET, IT'S NOT GOING TO GET YOU TO THE HOSPITAL ANY FASTER,
- 4 IT'S NOT GOING TO INCREASE YOUR ODDS. IN FACT, GIVEN THE
- 5 CRISIS THAT WE'RE SUFFERING IN TRAUMA CARE IN THE COUNTY, YOUR
- 6 ODDS ARE INCREASING EACH AND EVERY DAY, UNLESS WE IMPLEMENT
- 7 MEASURES LIKE THIS, THAT YOU WILL NOT GET THE SERVICES THAT
- 8 COULD PERHAPS SAVE YOUR LIFE. AND SO THIS IS FOR EVERYONE'S
- 9 BENEFIT, PARTICULARLY IT FOCUSES SOME ATTENTION ON THE NEED
- 10 FOR EXPANDED PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CARE, AND I AM VERY GRACIOUS TO
- 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WHO HAS SUPPORTED THIS MEASURE FROM
- 12 DAY ONE. I ALSO WOULD MENTION THAT, OBVIOUSLY, WE HAVE THE
- 13 RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THAT WE CAN HOLD THIS PROGRAM
- 14 ACCOUNTABLE AND TO-- AND EFFICIENT. ONE OF THE DIFFICULTIES I
- 15 HAD, I SHOULD MENTION, IN THE LEGISLATION, WAS TO DEMONSTRATE
- 16 THAT, IN FACT, WE WERE BEING EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE WITH OUR
- 17 MONEY AND I TRUST THAT YOU HAVE MADE NOTE OF THAT CONCERN AND
- 18 WILL ADDRESS IT AS YOU MOVE FORWARD. SO I HOPE THAT YOU WILL
- 19 UNANIMOUSLY VOTE FOR THIS. YOU HAVE MY COMMITMENT TO SEE THIS
- 20 THROUGH WHEREVER I AM IN THE ELECTED OFFICIALDOM BECAUSE THIS
- 21 IS SOMETHING THAT IS PERSONAL TO ME BUT ALSO IT IS SOMETHING
- 22 THAT I THINK, AS A PUBLIC POLICY ISSUE, IS CERTAINLY A
- 23 PRIORITY CONCERN FOR ALL OF US WHO SERVE IN PUBLIC OFFICE. SO
- 24 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION, I APPRECIATE THE
- 25 OPPORTUNITY TO COME FORWARD BEFORE THE FULL MATTER WILL BE



- 1 HEARD AND THEN I CAN GET ABOUT THE BUSINESS OF THE DAY. THANK
- 2 YOU VERY MUCH.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: DO YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE THE PHYSICIANS WHO ARE
- 5 HERE WHO WILL NOT BE STAYING BUT...

6

7 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: OH, I WASN'T AWARE OF THAT. DAVID?

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: I CAN CALL THE NAMES OF THOSE WHO SIGNED UP AND
- 10 LATER THOSE WHO ARE GOING TO SPEAK. DR. GERALD REICH, LAWRENCE
- 11 STOCK, DR. RICKY BUSH, DR. TRU EDWARDS, DR. JASON GREENSPAN--
- 12 WELL, JASON GREENSPAN IS FROM MISSION COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND
- 13 MARK BELL IS FROM EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT OF VALLEY PRESBYTERIAN
- 14 CHURCH AND SOME OF THEM I'M SURE WILL BE WANTING TO BE HEARD.

15

16 **SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON:** HOSPITAL.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: HOSPITAL, YES.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR?

21

- 22 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: I BELIEVE WE ALSO HAVE PHYSICIANS
- 23 FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY WHO WILL BE HERE.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: WILL BE HERE WHEN WE CALL THE MATTER UP.



1

2

SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: GREAT. THANK YOU.

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, IF I COULD JUST THANK THE SENATOR
- 5 FOR HIS LEADERSHIP ON THIS, I KNOW IT'S BEEN A PERSONAL
- 6 PASSION FOR YOU AND I REMEMBER WHEN YOU CAME HERE WHEN WE WERE
- 7 LOOKING AT TRAUMA FUNDS AND WERE CONCERNED ABOUT TRAUMA ISSUES
- 8 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, I THINK YOU UNDERSTAND FIRSTHAND HOW
- 9 ABSOLUTELY IMPORTANT IT IS, BUT YOU ALSO REALIZE THE EXPENSE
- 10 FOR A HOSPITAL OR EVEN THE DESIGNATION AS A TRAUMA FACILITY.
- 11 WE KNOW THEY'RE VITAL AND NECESSARY AND HOPEFULLY, WITH
- 12 PUTTING THESE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS ON THE TABLE, WE ARE
- 13 ENCOURAGING MORE OF THE HOSPITALS, THE NONPROFITS AND OTHERS
- 14 TO JOIN US IN TRYING TO EXTEND THAT NETWORK OF TRAUMA
- 15 FACILITIES THROUGHOUT OUR COMMUNITIES. LIKE YOU SAID, YOU
- 16 KNOW, IT'S SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE JUST, YOU KNOW, DON'T REALIZE
- 17 IT UNTIL THEY ABSOLUTELY NEED IT AND IT IS A VERY-- IT'S A
- 18 LIFE-SAVING SERVICE THAT IS SO VALUABLE BUT THE ONLY WAY WE
- 19 CAN KEEP IT GOING IS BY MAKING SURE WE HAVE DOLLARS ON THE
- 20 TABLE TO AT LEAST CREATE THE INCENTIVES OR AT LEAST TO
- 21 REIMBURSE THEM COMPLETELY FOR MANY OF THE PRIVATES AND
- 22 NONPROFITS. SO WE THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP AND
- 23 GIVING US AN OPPORTUNITY TO AT LEAST EXTEND THESE ADDITIONAL
- 24 DOLLARS TO OTHER HOSPITALS THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN JOINING
- 25 THAT TRAUMA SYSTEM.



1

- 2 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M ABSOLUTELY
- 3 CERTAIN THAT WE WILL SAVE LIVES BY PASSAGE OF THIS MEASURE.
- 4 THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DO WE DEVELOP A SYSTEM WHERE THE MONEY
- 9 FOLLOWS THE PATIENT AND DOESN'T FOLLOW THE BUREAUCRACY?
- 10 BECAUSE I NOTICE THE FORMULA BREAKDOWN, IT'S NOT A HUNDRED
- 11 PERCENT GOING INTO TRAUMA CARE.

- 13 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: OH, YOU MEAN THE 10%? THAT WAS--
- 14 ACTUALLY, YOUR EMERGENCY SERVICES DIRECTOR WOULD BE IN A
- 15 BETTER POSITION TO ADDRESS THAT OUESTION. WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE
- 16 THAT IT DOES TAKE SOME LEVEL OF ADMINISTRATION BUT YOU'RE
- 17 ABSOLUTELY CORRECT, THERE'S NOBODY THAT WANTS TO SEE A MORE
- 18 EFFICIENT SYSTEM THAN I. FRANKLY, THAT IS YOUR CHALLENGE. THAT
- 19 IS NOT MY FIELD OF EXPERTISE BUT I KNOW I CAN CONVEY TO YOU
- 20 THAT THE STATE AND MY COLLEAGUES IN THE LEGISLATURE AND THE
- 21 GOVERNOR'S OFFICE CLEARLY EXPRESSED THEIR CONCERN ABOUT THE
- 22 EFFICIENCIES OF THE SYSTEM. AND SO THAT'S ABSOLUTELY A CONCERN
- 23 BUT, NOTWITHSTANDING THAT, MY COLLEAGUES AND EVEN IN-- THE
- 24 GOVERNOR, HIS STAFF, WHEN WE WERE EXPLAINING THE 10% FEE, THEY



- 1 UNDERSTOOD THAT THIS MEASURE WAS, IN FACT, A GREAT BENEFIT AND
- 2 WERE WILLING TO ACCEPT THE 10% FEE WITH SCRUTINY TO FOLLOW.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT PORTIONS OF FUNDING IS UNDER THE
- 5 DISCRETION OF E.M.S.?

6

- 7 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: OOH, YOU KNOW, I DON'T THINK I AM THE
- 8 BEST PERSON TO SPEAK ON THAT. IT WOULD BE THE-- YOUR EMERGENCY
- 9 SERVICES PERSON.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: WE WILL HAVE A FULL HEARING ON THIS, SUPERVISOR.
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO CALL UP-- AND ALL I JUST WANTED TO DO WAS
- 13 ANNOUNCE THOSE PEOPLE WHO MIGHT HAVE TO LEAVE, I UNDERSTOOD,
- 14 AND BUT THOSE PEOPLE -- THOSE PHYSICIANS WHO CAN STAY, WE WILL
- 15 GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD.

16

17 SENATOR RICHARD ALARCON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR KNABE, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22

23 SUP. KNABE: YOU'RE WELCOME.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: I'LL GIVE THESE BACK.



- 2 SUP. KNABE: MR. CHAIR, I HAVE A FEW ADJOURNMENTS BEFORE I CALL
- 3 UP SOME ITEMS. FIRST OF ALL, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 4 BERNIE EINSON, A LONG-TIME FRIEND WHO PASSED AWAY. HE WAS A
- 5 VETERAN, A PROUD MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE. HE HAD
- 6 AN INCREDIBLE SMILE, GREAT ATTITUDE, WAS A LONG-TIME MEMBER OF
- 7 OUR CERRITOS OPTIMIST'S CLUB. HE AND HIS WIFE WERE EARLY
- 8 MEMBERS AS WELL OF THE HUBERT HUMPHREY DEMOCRATIC CLUB. HE
- 9 WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE
- 10 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JOHN KOSTYO. HE WAS A LOS ANGELES POLICE
- 11 DEPARTMENT LIAISON OFFICER FOR THE SAN PEDRO COURTHOUSE. HE
- 12 PASSED AWAY VERY SUDDENLY OF A HEART ATTACK WHILE JOGGING THIS
- 13 PAST WEEKEND. A 37-YEAR VETERAN OF THE L.A.P.D. AND WAS SET TO
- 14 RETIRE IN APRIL OF THIS YEAR. HIS SMILING FACE AND VIBRANT
- 15 PERSONALITY WILL BE MISSED BY ALL WHO KNEW HIM. HE'S SURVIVED
- 16 BY HIS WIFE, SYLVIA, SON, JOHN AND DAUGHTER, LISA. ALSO THAT
- 17 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JESUS PASOS JUNIOR, AN OCEAN LIFEGUARD
- 18 WHO PASSED AWAY ON SUNDAY IN AN CAR ACCIDENT IN WEST HILLS.
- 19 JESUS WAS ONLY 27 YEARS OLD. HE ALSO WAS A LOS ANGELES CITY
- 20 FIREFIGHTER AND HIS FATHER IS A LOS ANGELES CITY FIRE
- 21 ADMINISTRATOR. WE'D LIKE TO EXTEND OUR SYMPATHIES TO HIS
- 22 FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ALICE
- 23 SWEENEY, SISTER OF CARLOS JACKSON, OUR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 24 THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION WHO PASSED AWAY ON MARCH
- 25 1ST. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND OF 52 YEARS, VINCENT, SIX



- 1 CHILDREN, HELEN, PATRICK, KATHLEEN, ANNA MARIE, ROSEMARY,
- 2 VINCENT, JR. AND HER BROTHER, CARLOS. WE WISH CARLOS AND HIS
- 3 FAMILY OUR SINCEREST SYMPATHIES AND INCLUDE ALL MEMBERS. THOSE
- 4 ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

5

6 SUP. BURKE: SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: LET'S SEE. I DID NOT HOLD ANY ITEMS, SO GO TO
- 9 MIKE, I GUESS.

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'D LIKE TO MOVE MY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS IN
- 12 MEMORY OF THE BOARD ADJOURNING TODAY OF SISTER NOREEN HEALY,
- 13 WHO WAS A SISTER OF THE ST. JOSEPH'S OF CARONDELET FOR THE
- 14 PAST 65 YEARS. STEWART EAST, AGUA DULCE PIONEER OF THE YEAR.
- 15 HE HAD A CAREER IN THE MOTION PICTURE INDUSTRY AS A STAND MAN
- 16 STAND-IN, WAS ACTIVE IN THE AGUA DULCE AND SANTA CLARITA
- 17 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS. HE CONTRIBUTED SIGNIFICANT TIME TO THE AGUA
- 18 DULCE SENIORS CENTER. IN ADDITION, HE WAS A READING TUTOR WELL
- 19 INTO HIS 90S AT OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION YOUTH CAMPS.
- 20 ROBERT "BOB" ROWLAND ROYCE, SUPERINTENDENT FOR LOS ANGELES
- 21 COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT FOR OVER 30 YEARS AND HE PASSED AWAY ON
- 22 FEBRUARY 23RD. THOMAS "TUCK" TRAINER, OWNER OF THE TRAINER
- 23 TIRE COMPANY THAT HAD SERVICES AND STORES FROM SIMI VALLEY TO
- 24 SAN DIEGO. HEADED UP THE PRIVATE BRANDS DIVISION FOR FIRESTONE
- 25 TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY AND WAS A MEMBER OF THE SUPPORT OF THE



- 1 RONALD REAGAN LIBRARY. MAX CAYLEY YOST. HE WORKED IN THE
- 2 AEROSPACE INDUSTRY FOR OVER 40 YEARS, ALSO HAD BEEN A TEACHER
- 3 AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND WORKED ON COMMUNITY SAFETY AT THE
- 4 DEVONSHIRE POLICE STATION IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. THE
- 5 HONORABLE MARY GOODE ROGAN, WHO WAS A RETIRED SUPERIOR COURT
- 6 JUDGE. SHE WAS ALSO AT ONE TIME PRESIDENT OF THE NATIONAL
- 7 CHARITY LEAGUE, PRESIDENT OF THE BURBANK BAR ASSOCIATION,
- 8 SOCIETY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, L.A. COUNTY BAR AND THE SAN
- 9 FERNANDO BAR ASSOCIATION. GEORGE KENNEY, SPORTS WRITER,
- 10 CARTOONIST FOR THE VALLEY GREEN SHEET AND THE SOUTH PASADENA
- 11 REVIEW. HE PASSED AWAY ON FEBRUARY 28TH. DONNIE BROOKS, THE
- 12 LOS ANGELES RESIDENT, HE WAS A WELL-KNOWN SINGER, HAD MANY OF
- 13 THE TOP 10 HITS. ONE OF HIS TOP SONGS WAS "MISSION BELL" IN
- 14 1960 AND HE WAS RECOGNIZED IN THE ROCKABILLY HALL OF FAME.
- 15 LOUIS MILACICH, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 83, ACTIVE IN THE
- 16 SLOVAK COMMUNITY AND ST. STEVEN'S SERBIAN ORTHODOX CATHEDRAL
- 17 AND ALSO IN THE SLOVAK COMMUNITY AND UNDERGROUND CONSTRUCTION.
- 18 THOMAS MCNEILL, RETIRED COMMANDER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 19 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. HE HAD RETIRED IN 1986. CORPORAL BLAKE
- 20 HOWEY, UNITED STATES MARINE, WHO WAS KILLED IN IRAQ. ARMY
- 21 SPECIALIST LOUIS KIM, WHO WAS ALSO KILLED IN IRAQ. DOROTHY
- 22 TASTLE PAMKOWSKI, WHO WAS A FASHION COORDINATOR, RESIDENT IN
- 23 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: SO ORDERED.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ON ITEM NUMBER 3, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO READ

- 3 THE REVISED MOTION WHICH WOULD STATE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE
- 4 C.A.O. WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND
- 5 PUBLIC HEALTH TO REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS ON WORKING WITH THE
- 6 STATE REGARDING THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROGRAM,
- 7 CHANGES THAT WOULD IMPROVE THE HEALTHCARE PLAN FOR CHILDREN IN
- 8 FOSTER CARE, HOW TO BEST BEND FUNDING STREAMS TO MAXIMIZE
- 9 FEDERAL AND STATE REIMBURSEMENT AND TO MINIMIZE NET COUNTY
- 10 COSTS FOR THE P.H.N. SERVICES, WHETHER IN HOME OR OUTSIDE OF
- 11 THE HOME, HOW OTHER COUNTY FUNDS AND MANAGE THEIR P.H.N.
- 12 SERVICES, THUS ENSURING SEAMLESS SERVICE DELIVERY REGARDLESS
- 13 OF A CHILD'S PLACEMENT STATUS, WHETHER A CENTRALIZED POINT OF
- 14 AUTHORITY, EITHER D.C.F.S. OR PUBLIC HEALTH, WOULD MAXIMIZE
- 15 SERVICE EFFICIENCY. 5. A MEANS OF TRACKING THE IMPACT THAT
- 16 PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE SERVICES ON IMPROVED OUTCOMES AND SAFETY,
- 17 PERMANENCY AND THE REDUCED RELIANCE ON DETENTION. SO I'D SO
- 18 MOVE AS AMENDED.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE, AS
- 21 AMENDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND NUMBER 19.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: THAT WAS HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. OKAY.
- 2 DR. CLAVREUL, WOULD YOU LIKE TO COME FORWARD? AND YOU HAVE A
- 3 NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT YOU'VE HELD. NUMBER 1, 19, 25 AND 35.
- 4 WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK ON ALL OF THOSE AT ONE TIME? DO YOU
- 5 HAVE AN OBJECTION?

6

- 7 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 8 NO, I WOULD NOT LIKE TO SPEAK TO ALL AT ONE TIME. I THINK
- 9 YOU'RE STARTING TO DO THAT REPEATINGLY LATELY AND THAT'S NOT
- 10 AN APPROPRIATE WAY TO DEAL WITH THE BROWN ACT BUT I WOULD LIKE
- 11 TO AT LEAST SPEAK TO MOST OF THEM.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. JUST INDICATE WHICH ONES YOU'RE
- 14 SPEAKING ON.

- 16 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: OKAY. NUMBER ONE, HAVING TO DO WITH
- 17 THE H.I.V. COMMISSION, YOU KNOW, I HAVE TOLD YOU REPEATINGLY
- 18 THAT I FEEL IT IS TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE THAT THE H.I.V.
- 19 COMMISSION IS THE ONE GROUP WHO PRESENTS PROSPECTIVE
- 20 COMMISSIONERS. I FEEL THIS IS A BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHO MUST
- 21 AND SHOULD NOMINATE THE PEOPLE FOR THE COMMISSION AND I DON'T
- 22 THINK IT'S IN THE SCOPE OF THE COMMISSION TO DO THAT. AND IT'S
- 23 OBVIOUS MANY OF THE PEOPLE THEY ARE, YOU KNOW, APPOINTING HAVE
- 24 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, EVEN THOUGH THEY SAY THEY DON'T. THEY



- 1 PROVIDE SERVICES OR RECEIVE SERVICES FROM THE COMMISSION.
- 2 THAT'S NUMBER 1.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. IT'S MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 5 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ON NUMBER 19 AND 25, THEY'RE BOTH
- 8 RELATING TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY. I AM STILL AWAITING THE
- 9 COMPLETION OF THE REQUEST OF INFORMATION OF RECORD INFORMATION
- 10 I REOUESTED MONTHS AGO. I THINK THAT YOU CONTINUALLY BRING
- 11 ITEMS ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY WHEN YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW,
- 12 ACCORDING TO YOUR OWN RECORDS, WHAT SERVICE YOU ARE RECEIVING
- 13 AND WHAT CONTRACT YOU HAVE OUTSTANDING. AND I THINK IT WOULD
- 14 BE KIND OF NICE, WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT MILLIONS OF
- 15 DOLLARS, THAT WE HAVE AN APPROPRIATE VIEW AND KNOWLEDGE OF
- 16 HAVING THE CONTRACT OUTSTANDING AND WHAT KIND OF SERVICES ARE
- 17 PROVIDED. FROM MY OUTSIDE LOOK, IT'S OBVIOUS, MOST OF THE
- 18 TIME, YOU HAVE DUPLICATION OF SERVICES AND SO ON, SO I STILL
- 19 WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE FULL REPORT. YOU ARE MANY, YOU KNOW,
- 20 MANY WEEKS LATE, ALMOST MONTHS LATE. I WANT TO KNOW HOW MANY
- 21 MORE VENDORS UNKNOWN WE HAVE IN THIS COUNTY. AND IT WOULD BE
- 22 NICE IF WE STOPPED ALLOCATING MONEY FOR INFORMATION
- 23 TECHNOLOGY. THE OTHER ITEMS I HELD...



- 1 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA
- 2 ON 19. WITHOUT OBJECTION. AND WE'LL NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION,
- 3 WHEN IT'S AVAILABLE, SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO YOU OR THEY SHOULD
- 4 PROVIDE YOU THE INFORMATION.

5

6 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I'M SORRY?

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: THEY SHOULD PROVIDE YOU THE INFORMATION IF YOU
- 9 REQUESTED IT.

- 11 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I WOULD REALLY APPRECIATE THAT. IT HAS
- 12 BEEN OUITE OUTSTANDING. ON NUMBER 35, YOU KNOW MY ALLERGIC
- 13 RESPONSE TO SOLE VENDOR CONTRACTS AND, AGAIN, WE ARE FACED
- 14 WITH A SOLE VENDOR CONTRACT. ON STD AND PROGRAMS HAVING TO DO
- 15 WITH, YOU KNOW, SYPHILIS AND SO ON, THAT'S AN OXYMORON. I
- 16 MEAN, IT'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF INDIVIDUAL GROUPS PROVIDING
- 17 FOR THAT SERVICES, AND I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE, ONCE AGAIN,
- 18 FACED WITH A SOLE VENDOR CONTRACT FROM SOMEBODY WHICH, YOU
- 19 KNOW, I DON'T KNOW THEIR BACKGROUND BUT I HAVE NOT SEEN
- 20 OVERWHELMING INFORMATION ABOUT THEM. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AN
- 21 ALLOCATION OF 700-- OVER \$700,000 AND HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO
- 22 TAKE BEFORE THEY IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM? I MEAN, YOU KNOW,
- 23 EVERY DAY, SOMEBODY ELSE IS CONTAMINATED WITH SYPHILIS. I
- 24 THINK THAT'S A SERIOUS PROBLEM AND I THINK WE SHOULD ADDRESS



- 1 IT WITH A COMPANY WHO CAN MOVE FAST ON IT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 2 ATTENTION.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: NOW, ON 25, DID YOU SPEAK ON THAT OR ARE YOU
- 5 COMING BACK?

6

- 7 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I SPOKE IT WITH 19 BECAUSE IT WAS
- 8 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.

9

10 **SUP. BURKE:** AND 25?

11

- 12 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT'S WHAT I-- 25 AND 19 ARE BOTH ON
- 13 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY KNABE ON
- 16 25. WITHOUT OBJECTION. ON 35, WE'LL HOLD IT BECAUSE THERE'S
- 17 ANOTHER SPEAKER. DO YOU WANT ME TO CALL THE OTHER SPEAKER NOW?
- 18 MIKI JACKSON. ON ITEM 35.

- 20 MIKI JACKSON: HELLO. IT'S STILL MORNING, SO GOOD MORNING. I
- 21 WANTED TO SAY THAT THIS -- THIS MOTION BEFORE -- OR BEFORE YOU --
- 22 IT WILL BE BEFORE YOU NEXT-- NEXT WEEK, IT HAS TAKEN A
- 23 RIDICULOUS AMOUNT OF TIME TO GET TO THE PLACE WHERE WE'RE
- 24 ABOUT TO START. YEAH, I SEE SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S FACE. (LAUGHS)
- 25 IT WAS HER AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WHO MADE THE MOTION BACK



- 1 IN JUNE OF '06 TO GET A REPORT AND A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR A
- 2 CAMPAIGN AGAINST SYPHILIS IN 30 DAYS. NATURALLY, IT WAS THE
- 3 PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SO THEY GOT IT IN SEPTEMBER. NOW
- 4 HERE WE ARE LOOKING TO HAVE A MOTION COME BEFORE THE BOARD TO
- 5 APPROVE A CONTRACT WITH A ADVERTISING AGENCY THAT WILL BEGIN
- 6 IN JUNE OF '07, WHICH MEANS IT WON'T EVEN REALLY BE OUT, IF
- 7 WE'RE LUCKY, 'TIL THE END OF '07. WE ASKED, WHEN WE BEGAN
- 8 THIS, TO PLEASE LET THE COMMUNITY HANDLE THIS. THE COMMUNITY
- 9 COULD HAVE HAD A PROGRAM OUT IN A COUPLE OF MONTHS. IT'S
- 10 REALLY NOT ROCKET SCIENCE. STARBUCKS, MCDONALD'S, THEY'D ALL
- 11 BE DEAD IF IT TOOK THEM OVER A YEAR TO LAUNCH AN ADVERTISING
- 12 CAMPAIGN. BELIEVE ME, I USED TO BE IN THE BUSINESS. YOU CAN DO
- 13 IT PRETTY QUICK. AND, IN THE MEANTIME, WE'VE BEEN AMASSING
- 14 SYPHILIS CASES, SURPRISE, SURPRISE. WE'VE NOW INCREASED 12% TO
- 15 1,337 CASES. NOW, 12% MAY NOT SOUND LIKE A LOT BUT TRY TO GET
- 16 A 12% COMPOUND INTEREST RATE ON A SAVINGS ACCOUNT. THEY WON'T
- 17 GIVE IT TO YOU BECAUSE IT COMPOUNDS FASTER THAN YOU CAN
- 18 REALIZE. WE'LL SOON BE AT DOUBLE AT THIS RATE. WE ONLY HAD 423
- 19 CASES IN 2001. DOESN'T IT JUST SEEM LIKE YESTERDAY THAT
- 20 EVERYBODY WAS SO WORRIED ABOUT THE CHANGE OF THE MILLENNIUM IN
- 21 2000? HERE WE ARE, JUST CLICKING ALONG. WE HAVE 15% OF THE
- 22 CASES OF SYPHILIS IN THE UNITED STATES HERE IN LOS ANGELES. WE
- 23 DO NOT HAVE 15% OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES HERE IN
- 24 LOS ANGELES. I THINK IT'S SOMETHING LIKE WE HAVE SOMETHING
- 25 LIKE 2%, SO WE'RE JUST A LITTLE OUT OF WHACK. SOMEBODY COULD



- 1 SAY, WELL, YOU KNOW, IT'S A BIG CITY, IT'S VERY URBAN, LOTS OF
- 2 PEOPLE. DOESN'T WORK. NEW YORK COUNTY, NOW THERE'S A PLACE
- 3 WITH A BIG POPULATION, TWICE THE POPULATION OF L.A. COUNTY. WE
- 4 HAVE 2-1/2 TIMES THE NUMBER OF SYPHILIS CASES AS NEW YORK
- 5 COUNTY. WE ARE NOT MORE PRONE TO INFECTION IN LOS ANGELES
- 6 COUNTY. WHAT IS GOING ON? I THINK IT'S THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 7 DEPARTMENT. I THINK IT IS THE PREVIOUS HEALTH DEPARTMENT. I
- 8 THINK THAT THEY HADN'T SOLVED IT BEFORE. YOU PUT THE SAME
- 9 PEOPLE IN CHARGE. THEY HAVEN'T SOLVED IT AGAIN, THEY'VE FAILED
- 10 US. WE'RE LOOKING AT A DISASTER OF SYPHILIS. WE'RE LOOKING AT
- 11 A DISASTER IN OTHER S.T.D.S. WE NEED TO TAKE THESE THINGS TO
- 12 THE COMMUNITY. WE NEED TO GET THE COMMUNITY WORKING ON THEM.
- 13 MOST OF THIS MONEY THAT WAS APPROPRIATED IS SIMPLY BEING SPENT
- 14 BY THE DEPARTMENT ON ITS CONTRACTOR, WHOEVER IT WANTS TO MAKE
- 15 CHECKS TO. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THEM, THEY MAY
- 16 BE EXCELLENT. AND TO HIRE MORE PERSONNEL, WHICH TAKES FOREVER
- 17 AND A DAY. THANK YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ARE THERE OUESTIONS OR
- 20 COMMENTS? IS THERE A MOTION? OH, IT'S GOING TO BE CONTINUED.
- 21 ALL RIGHT. IT'S GOING TO BE-- CONTINUANCE TO?

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MARCH 13TH.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: MARCH 13TH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, CONTINUED TO MARCH
- 2 13TH. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER ITEMS?

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.

5

6 SUP. BURKE: WERE YOU HOLDING-- DID WE ALREADY TAKE UP 3?

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE DID 3. THAT WAS THE FIRST THING I DID.
- 9 THAT WAS THE PUBLIC HEALTH.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: WE DID THAT. RIGHT.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE JUST HAVE THE MARINA.

14

15 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I HAVE NO ITEMS THAT I'VE CALLED BUT I'D
- 18 LIKE TO DO SOME ADJOURNMENTS. IN READING THE "L.A. TIMES" THIS
- 19 MORNING, IT WAS VERY SAD TO HEAR ABOUT BOB HATTOY'S PASSING.
- 20 MANY OF US AS DEMOCRATS IN THE PARTY HAVE KNOWN BOB HATTOY FOR
- 21 A NUMBER OF YEARS. HE IS A WELL-KNOWN A.I.D.S. RESEARCH
- 22 ADVOCATE AND CERTAINLY AN ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATE. BOB SERVED
- 23 AS REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF THE SIERRA CLUB FROM 1981 TO 1992. HE
- 24 JOINED BILL CLINTON'S PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN AS AN
- 25 ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISOR AND THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION



- IN NEW YORK THAT YEAR. HE SPOKE POINTEDLY ABOUT MAKING
- 2 A.I.D.S. TREATMENT A PRIORITY. AFTER HIS TENURE IN THE CLINTON
- 3 WHITE HOUSE, BOB REMAINED ACTIVE AS A POLITICAL CONSULTANT IN
- 4 THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT. BOB'S HEARTFELT SPEECH AT THE
- 5 DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION AND HIS LIFE'S LEGACY WILL NEVER BE
- 6 FORGOTTEN. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR HEARTFELT CONDOLENCES TO ALL
- 7 OF US WHO KNEW HIM AND CERTAINLY LOVED HIM. HE IS A GREAT GUY.
- 8 I'M SURE THAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WOULD LIKE TO JOIN WITH
- 9 ME IN THE RECOGNITION OF BOB HATTOY. I'D ALSO LIKE TO ASK THAT
- 10 WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF RAYMOND MENDEZ, THE BELOVED GREAT
- 11 UNCLE OF MY LONG-TIME STAFF MEMBER, AVIANA RIBA. MR. MENDEZ
- 12 WAS DEARLY LOVED BY HIS FAMILY AND WAS AN AVID FISHERMAN. HE
- 13 PASSED AWAY UNEXPECTEDLY AT THE AGE OF 56 AND WE WANT TO
- 14 EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO AVIANA AND HER ENTIRE
- 15 FAMILY. I ALSO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF JOSE
- 16 RAMIRO SIMENTAL, THE BELOVED BROTHER OF JOSIE MARQUEZ. JOSIE
- 17 IS THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF OUR CSS WORKFORCE AND COMMUNITY
- 18 SERVICES BRANCH. MR. SIMENTAL WAS A LONG-TIME EAST L.A.
- 19 RESIDENT. HE ATTENDED FORT BOULEVARD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL,
- 20 GARFIELD HIGH SCHOOL AND EAST L.A. COLLEGE AND WE WANT TO
- 21 EXTEND OUR HEARTFELT CONDOLENCES TO THE ENTIRE FAMILY, AS WELL
- 22 AS TO JOSIE. AND FINALLY I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 23 THE MEMORY OF MARIA CAVAZOS, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF WHITTIER.
- 24 SHE'S THE BELOVED GRANDMOTHER OF A DEAR FRIEND, VIVIAN BONZO,
- 25 WHO HEADS UP STREET. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES



- 1 TO VIVIAN AND HER ENTIRE FAMILY. SO THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS
- 2 AND I HAD NO OTHER ITEMS.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: SO ORDERED. ARE THE ITEMS YOU WANT TO CALL UP--
- 5 DID YOU WANT TO CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 24 NOW?

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, WE COULD. LET'S SEE, WHAT TIME IS IT?

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S 11:00.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY SPEAKERS THERE ARE.

12

13 SUP. BURKE: ABOUT FIVE.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: WE MIGHT AS WELL.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL CALL UP ITEM 24.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: WE DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER ITEMS, RIGHT?

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: YES, WE HAVE, OF COURSE, A LONG ITEM WHICH IS
- 22 44...

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 15.



1 **SUP. BURKE:** ...AND 15.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WHY DON'T WE AT LEAST HAVE THE
- 4 SPEAKERS SPEAK AND THEN WE CAN ASK QUESTIONS.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP 15 FIRST AND THEN WE'LL DO THAT. ITEM
- 7 15 WAS HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

- 9 PETER BAXTER: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF YOUR HONORABLE BOARD,
- 10 MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MY NAME IS PETER BAXTER AND
- 11 I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THAT CHIEF
- 12 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, MR. DAVID JANSSEN, IS FACING A
- 13 SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN HIS RESPONSIBILITIES, PENDING THE
- 14 ORDINANCE BEING PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL.
- 15 OF IMMEDIATE CONCERN IS THE HITHER TO SOLITARY RESPONSIBILITY
- 16 OF THE CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR ALL MATTERS, INCLUDING
- 17 TECHNOLOGY, AFFECTING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. AS SOON AS THE
- 18 PENDING ORDINANCE IS ADOPTED BY YOUR HONORABLE BOARD, A SECOND
- 19 COUNTY OFFICER BECOMES AT ONCE RESPONSIBLE FOR SUCH TECHNOLOGY
- 20 BEING EMPLOYED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. SIMILARLY, IN TERMS OF
- 21 THE REGISTRAR OF ORDERS, THE PENDING PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, BY
- 22 DIRECT VOTE OF THE ELECTORATE, COMES UNDER SCRUTINY WITH THE
- 23 ELECTORAL COLLEGE. THE CONSTITUTION STATES SPECIFICALLY THAT
- 24 THE PRESIDENT SHALL BE ELECTED BY THE ELECTORAL COLLEGE AS A
- 25 RESPONSIBLE CONSTITUTIONAL AGENCY, SEPARATE FROM A DIRECT



- 1 ELECTION BY THE PEOPLE. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES
- 2 IS WRITTEN WITH THE SAME CLASSIC ATTENTION AS IS, FOR EXAMPLE,
- 3 IN THE KING JAMES VERSION OF THE HOLY BIBLE, IN THE BEGINNING,
- 4 GOD CREATED THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH. THIS IS THE SAME
- 5 CLASSIC LANGUAGE THAT WE ARE ACCUSTOMED TO HEARING FROM
- 6 SHAKESPEARE. THIS WAS THE NOBLEST ROMAN OF THEM ALL. ALL THE
- 7 CONSPIRATORS SAVE ONLY HE DID THAT HE DID IN ENVY OF GREAT
- 8 CAESAR, THE ONLY AND THE GENERAL HONEST THOUGHT IN COMMON GOOD
- 9 TO ALL MADE ONE OF THEM. HIS LIFE WAS GENTLE AND THE ELEMENTS
- 10 SO MIXED IN HIM THAT NATURE MIGHT STAND UP AND SAY TO ALL THE
- 11 WORLD, THIS WAS A MAN, ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.
- 12 I THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT

- 15 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I'LL GO-- I'LL DO MY ADJOURNMENTS AND
- 16 THEN WE'LL FIGURE OUT WHAT ITEMS TO TAKE UP NEXT. I MOVE THAT,
- 17 WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MRS. RUTH ANN
- 18 SHAW, WHO WAS BORN IN CAMDEN, ARKANSAS, AND PASSED AWAY
- 19 RECENTLY AT THE AGE OF 83, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND
- 20 DISTRICT AND THE WIDOW OF THE LATE REVEREND ALVIA A. SHAW, WHO
- 21 WAS A FORMER PASTOR OF FIRST AME CHURCH. SHE WAS THE LOVING
- 22 MOTHER OF ALVIA A. SHAW, JR., PRINCIPAL ANALYST IN THE
- 23 C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND WENDELL A. SHAW OF LOS ANGELES. SHE'S THE
- 24 AUNT OF HONORABLE CANDICE COOPER, CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEALS,
- 25 SECOND DISTRICT, AND CRYSTAL COOPER MORALE, DIVISION MANAGER



- I IN I.S.D.'S CUSTOMER APPLICATION BRANCH. IN ADDITION, SHE WAS
- 2 THE SISTER-IN-LAW OF THE LATE MARCINE SHAW, LONG-TIME DEPUTY
- 3 TO THE LATE SUPERVISOR KENNETH HAHN AND SERVED AS DEPUTY IN MY
- 4 COMPTON DISTRICT OFFICE UNTIL HER RETIREMENT. SHE'LL BE DEEPLY
- 5 MISSED BY ALL OF HER FAMILY. LUTHER JOHNSON WAS A LONG-TIME
- 6 RESIDENT OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 7 FEBRUARY 25TH AT THE AGE OF 90. HE GREW UP IN A SEGREGATED
- 8 SOUTH AND SERVED IN THE ARMY DURING WORLD WAR I, STATIONED IN
- 9 ITALY. HE RETIRED FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, REFUSE
- 10 EQUIPMENT DEPARTMENT, AFTER 25 YEARS OF SERVICE. HE WAS A
- 11 DEVOTED HUSBAND, FATHER AND OUTSTANDING MEMBER OF THE LE MERC
- 12 PARK COMMUNITY AND CONTRIBUTED TO THE CITY AND COUNTY. HE WILL
- 13 ALWAYS BE CHERISHED, HIS MEMORY. HIS THREE CHILDREN ARE
- 14 CHARLES, DEBORAH AND ANTHONY. ALYCE SWEENEY, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 15 MARCH 1ST AT THE AGE OF 70, THE LOVING SISTER OF CARLOS
- 16 JACKSON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 17 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. SHE SUFFERED FROM LUPUS AND
- 18 MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 19 ANGELES WHO RESIDED IN GLENDORA FOR THE LAST 27 YEARS. SHE WAS
- 20 A HOMEMAKER AND LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER HUSBAND OF 52
- 21 YEARS, VINCENT SWEENEY, AND SIX CHILDREN, HELEN, PATRICK,
- 22 KATHLEEN AND TWINS ANNA MARIE AND ROSEMARY AND VINCENT, JR.
- 23 EVA SNIDER, A LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEE WITH THE C.A.O.'S
- 24 OFFICE FOR 30 YEARS, PASSED AWAY FEBRUARY 28TH OF LEUKEMIA.
- 25 SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER HUSBAND, STEVE SNYDER AND



- 1 TWO CHILDREN, WARREN AND CRISTINA. ALYCE MCWILLIAMS HALL WAS
- 2 BORN OCTOBER 28TH, 1923 AND RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 3 83. A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE OF THE SECOND DISTRICT,
- 4 RETIRED FROM LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT IN 1985. SHE WAS ALSO AN
- 5 ACCOMPLISHED MUSICIAN, WROTE AND COMPOSED HER OWN MUSIC, AN
- 6 ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY AND LEAVES TO CHERISH HER
- 7 MEMORY HER CHILDREN EMILY, PATRICK AND EDWARD, JR. GERTRUDE
- 8 ATKINSON KINCEY WAS BORN AUGUST 22ND, 1922 AND PASSED AWAY
- 9 FEBRUARY 14TH. SHE RETIRED FROM U.S. POST OFFICE IN LOS
- 10 ANGELES IN 1985. SHE ENJOYED POETRY AND DEVELOPED A PASSION
- 11 FOR WORKING WITH CERAMICS. A DEVOTED MEMBER OF THE UNITED
- 12 METHODIST CHURCH IN COMPTON, SHE IS THE LOVING MOTHER OF
- 13 DONALD A. KINCEY, VICE PRESIDENT OF CO-AMERICA BANK AND
- 14 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CENTER. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY
- 15 HER TWO SONS, BEDFORD AND GERALD KINCEY. SO ORDERED. I'D LIKE
- 16 TO CHECK WITH THE C.A.O. AND COUNTY COUNSEL AS TO HOW WE
- 17 SHOULD PROCEED.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAM CHAIR, 44 WAS ALSO HELD BY A MEMBER
- 20 OF THE PUBLIC, IF YOU'D LIKE TO CALL THAT ONE UP.

21

22 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. WE'LL CALL ITEM 44. NANCY VERNON MARINO.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IT DOESN'T APPEAR SHE'S HERE. MADAM CHAIR,
- 25 IT DOESN'T APPEAR SHE'S HERE.

1

11

16

19

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. BURKE: WE'RE GOING TO COME BACK ANYHOW. IS SHE HERE OR

GONE?

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IT APPEARS SHE'S-- SHE'S APPARENTLY LEFT.

7 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL PUT THAT OVER UNTIL THE TIME WHEN

- 8 WE COME BACK. WE'LL TAKE IT UP LATER. IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION,
- 9 WE'LL GO INTO CLOSED SESSION AND WE'LL RETURN BACK IN 20
- 10 MINUTES. WELL, COUNTY COUNSEL?

12 LEELA KAPUR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ON THE TWO ITEMS THAT ARE

- 13 PENDING, I UNDERSTAND THAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WANTS TO BE
- 14 HERE, ESPECIALLY FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING, IF HE'S GOING TO VOTE
- 15 ON THAT MATTER, HE SHOULD HEAR THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

17 SUP. BURKE: IS THIS THE LADY ON 44? ALL RIGHT. WE'LL CALL UP

- 18 44.
- 20 NANCY VERNON MARINO: GOOD MORNING, SUPER-- GOOD MORNING,
- 21 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS NANCY VERNON MARINO. THIS IS THE
- 22 INFORMATION THAT I WAS ABLE TO GET TODAY. SOME OF THIS IS
- 23 REGARDING ITEM NUMBER 44. IT WAS NOT AVAILABLE ONLINE. I GOT
- 24 THE MESSAGE THE FILE WAS DAMAGED AND COULD NOT BE REPAIRED. I
- 25 HAD ASKED FOR A CONTINUANCE ON THIS ITEM BECAUSE NO ONE IN THE



- 1 PUBLIC WAS ABLE TO FIND OUT ABOUT THIS PROJECT. HOW CAN I KNOW
- 2 IF I'M FOR OR AGAINST IT? I THINK-- AND I DID CALL THE
- 3 ATTENTION OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE TO THE FACT THAT I WOULD
- 4 LIKE-- IT SEEMED THAT INFORMATION THAT EMANATED FROM THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF BEACHES AND HARBORS MAY HAVE BEEN SOME REASON
- 6 FOR CORRUPTING THE FILES THAT THEY WERE NOT ACCESSIBLE ONLINE.
- 7 BETWEEN THIS AND ITEM NUMBER 69, THERE WERE-- IT WAS VERY
- 8 DIFFICULT TO GET ANY INFORMATION ONLINE FOR THIS WITH ANY
- 9 ABILITY TO REVIEW IT BEFORE THIS HEARING, SO I WOULD
- 10 RESPECTFULLY ASK FOR A CONTINUANCE ON THIS ITEM UNTIL THE
- 11 PUBLIC HAS A CHANCE TO ACCESS AND REVIEW THE DOCUMENTS. THANK
- 12 YOU.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: WHAT NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED IS THAT THERE WAS NOT A
- 15 PROBLEM, RIGHT? I MEAN, IT COULD BE ACCESSED ON THE-- UNLESS
- 16 THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG WITH YOUR COMPUTER. YEAH.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: IS THERE A MOTION?

19

20 SUP. KNABE: I'D MOVE IT. PARDON ME?

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: IT'S MOVED BY KNABE. SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 23 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. NOW, I THINK THAT YOU'VE ASKED
- 24 TO SPEAK ON PUBLIC COMMENT?



- 1 NANCY VERNON MARINO: I WOULD LIKE TO RESERVE THAT FOR THE
- 2 TIME-- WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT, YES, I WILL TALK NOW ON THAT.
- 3 THANK YOU. I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO PREPARE FOR THAT...

4

5 SUP. BURKE: WE'RE GOING TO CALL PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: BUT THEN YOU'RE SIGNED UP FOR PUBLIC HEARING, TOO.

8

- 9 NANCY VERNON MARINO: I'M SIGNED UP FOR ITEM NUMBER 69 AND
- 10 THAT'S A SEPARATE ISSUE AND THEN THE PUBLIC COMMENT IS A
- 11 SEPARATE ISSUE. SO THOSE ARE TWO SEPARATE THINGS, CORRECT?

12

13 SUP. BURKE: YES.

- 15 NANCY VERNON MARINO: ON THE PUBLIC COMMENT, I WOULD LIKE TO
- 16 RAISE THE ISSUE OF THE DIFFICULTY OF THE PUBLIC IN ACCESSING
- 17 INFORMATION ON MANY OF THE ISSUES RELATING TO MARINA DEL REY
- 18 IN PARTICULAR. I HAVE PERSONALLY BEEN SEEKING INFORMATION
- 19 VERY, VERY ACTIVELY AND IT HAS BEEN HARD FOR ME TO GET
- 20 INFORMATION. IT IS NOT BEING GENERALLY FACILITATED TO THE
- 21 PUBLIC TO GET INFORMATION AND WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS I
- 22 WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT AGENDAIZED BEFORE THE BOARD OF
- 23 SUPERVISORS JUST IN A GENERAL TERMS IF OTHER COMMUNITIES ARE
- 24 HAVING DIFFICULTY RECEIVING INFORMATION OR ACCESSING
- 25 INFORMATION ONLINE. I DON'T HAVE ANY WAY TO FIND OUT IF THEY



- 1 DO BUT, IF THERE ARE OTHER COMMUNITIES THAT ARE FINDING IT
- 2 DIFFICULT TO GET THIS INFORMATION, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT
- 3 ADDRESSED. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HAVE THE ISSUE OF PUBLIC
- 4 OUTREACH WHEN THERE ARE MAJOR PROJECTS CONCERNED AGENDAIZED,
- 5 THAT THE PUBLIC NOTICE PROCESS THAT ALLOWS A 30-DAY NOTICE TO
- 6 BE PUT IN THE LOS ANGELES BULLETIN, WHICH IS ONLY AVAILABLE
- 7 DOWNTOWN, IT IS NOT AVAILABLE ANYWHERE ON THE WEST SIDE OF
- 8 L.A., PARTICULARLY IN MARINA DEL REY, AND YET THAT IS
- 9 SUPPOSEDLY SATISFACTORY PUBLIC NOTICE. THE PUBLIC DOES NOT
- 10 REALLY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THESE-- TO REVIEW THIS
- 11 INFORMATION OR EVEN TO KNOW THAT THE INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE
- 12 IF THEY DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO THE PAPER THAT LETS THEM KNOW
- 13 THAT THIS INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE. SO I WOULD LIKE THOSE
- 14 ISSUES ADDRESSED AS A PARTICULAR AGENDA ITEM FOR THE BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THIS PROBLEM IS ENDEMIC TO
- 16 THE SYSTEM AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS ADDRESSED. THANK YOU.
- 18 SUP. BURKE: CHONTEL ROLISON. AND THEN I'LL CALL ARTURO L.
- 19 LIMON. WOULD YOU COME FORWARD, MR. LIMON? AND YOUR TRANSLATOR.
- 20 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

17

- 22 CHONTEL ROLISON: HI. MY NAME IS CHONTEL ROLISON, AND THANK YOU
- 23 SO MUCH FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO STATE MY CASE. IT'S
- 24 REALLY ADDRESSED TO YOU, MRS. MOLINA. I'VE GIVEN BOTH YOU GUYS
- 25 LETTERS SO I FEEL LIKE YOU GUYS HAVE NOT HEARD OR READ THE



- 1 LETTER, SO I'M HERE IN PERSON TO PRESENT IT VERBALLY. MY KIDS
- 2 HAS BEEN IN THE SYSTEM FOR, LIKE, THREE YEARS. I DON'T BELIEVE
- 3 IT'S A SETUP, I DON'T BELIEVE, YOU KNOW, WHAT I'M SAYING
- 4 BECAUSE IT'S BEEN DONE FOR OVER THREE YEARS NOW, GOING ON
- 5 THREE YEARS, I'VE BEEN FIGHTING FOR MY CHILDREN. I'VE
- 6 COMPLETED A CPRP PROGRAM, AS WELL AS A PARENTING PROGRAM. MY
- 7 CHILDREN ARE STILL BEING HELD AGAINST THEIR WILL, AS WELL AS
- 8 MINE, IN THE SYSTEM. I'M LIKE THE 388.26 QUEEN, OKAY? I'VE
- 9 BEEN FILING PETITIONS LEFT AND RIGHT AND I'M STILL NOT GETTING
- 10 ANYWHERE. EVERY DOOR I HAVE STUMBLED ACROSS, BEEN TRYING TO
- 11 OPEN, HAS BEEN SLAMMED PERIODICALLY. JUST ONGOING AND REALLY
- 12 I'M TIRED BUT I CAN'T GET TIRED BECAUSE THESE TWO LITTLE
- 13 INNOCENT BOYS ARE STILL IN THE SYSTEM AND I DON'T WANT THEM TO
- 14 BECOME A PRODUCT OF A PRISON SYSTEM. SO I'M HERE STILL
- 15 FIGHTING AND I'M NOT GETTING ANY HELP. SO IF YOU CAN RECALL
- 16 THE LETTERS THAT I DID GIVE TO YOU, PROBABLY A LITTLE UNDER A
- 17 MONTH AGO, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU READ THEM, LIKE I SAID BEFORE,
- 18 BUT NO ONE HAS GOTTEN BACK TO ME. I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO PURSUE
- 19 AN APPOINTMENT TO MEET YOU PERSONALLY AT YOUR OFFICE. NO ONE'S
- 20 GOTTEN BACK TO ME. I'M ALWAYS GIVEN THE RUNAROUND.

22 SUP. MOLINA: AND WHO DID YOU TALK TO IN MY OFFICE?

- 24 CHONTEL ROLISON: I THINK IT WAS YOUR SECRETARY. I DON'T HAVE
- 25 HER NAME PRESENT WITH ME RIGHT NOW.

21



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: ALL OF MY PEOPLE, WHEN YOU TALK TO SOMEONE, THEY
- 3 GIVE YOU A NAME. WHAT DID THEY SAY TO YOU?

4

- 5 CHONTEL ROLISON: WELL, THAT THEY'LL GET THE LETTER TO YOU. DID
- 6 YOU EVER READ IT?

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: I READ ALL MY MAIL.

9

10 CHONTEL ROLISON: I HAVE A COPY RIGHT HERE.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. YOU NEED TO KNOW THAT WE DO NOT GET
- 13 INVOLVED WHEN A DEPARTMENT HAS MADE A DECISION TO REMOVE YOUR
- 14 CHILDREN. THE ONLY THING WE WILL DO IS SET UP ANOTHER MEETING
- 15 BETWEEN YOU AND THE DEPARTMENT TO EXPLAIN THE SITUATION. I
- 16 WILL NOT INTERVENE. THOSE ARE THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF
- 17 CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND THE COURTS, NOT MY DETERMINATIONS. THE
- 18 ONLY THING WE WILL DO, AND IF WE HAVEN'T DONE SO, WE WILL DO
- 19 SO, IS MAKE ARRANGEMENTS SO THAT YOU CAN MEET WITH THE SOCIAL
- 20 WORKER TO FULLY EXPLAIN.

21

- 22 CHONTEL ROLISON: I STILL SEE MY KIDS ONE HOUR PER-- TWO HOURS-
- 23 TWO HOURS-- ONE TIME A WEEK.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: AND THAT DECISION WAS NOT MADE BY MYSELF NOR
- 2 COULD BE CHANGED. IT CAN ONLY BE DONE THROUGH THE JUDGE AND
- 3 YOU'VE BEEN IN COURT.

4

- 5 CHONTEL ROLISON: THE JUDGE, MY ATTORNEY, NO ONE IS DOING A
- 6 THING. THIS IS WHY I'M HERE.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: THERE IS SOMEONE HERE FROM CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND
- 11 SHE'LL SPEAK WITH YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT, BUT THE POINT IS, IS THAT I WANT YOU TO
- 14 KNOW, NO MATTER WHAT I DID OR WHAT I CAN, I CANNOT INTERVENE
- 15 IN THIS CASE AND WILL NOT. THIS IS A DECISION THAT THE COURTS
- 16 HAVE MADE THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT, THROUGH THE WORK OF SOCIAL
- 17 WORKERS SO DON'T MISUNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S SOMETHING THAT I
- 18 CAN DO TO INTERVENE. WE WILL ASSIST YOU IN GETTING ASSISTANCE
- 19 FROM CHILDREN'S SERVICES THAT YOU CAN UNDERSTAND BUT WE CANNOT
- 20 CHANGE THE OUTCOME THAT THE COURTS HAVE DETERMINED.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. I'M SORRY. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED BUT
- 23 THERE'S SOMEONE WHO WILL TALK TO YOU OVER HERE.

24

25 CHONTEL ROLISON: WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: NEXT, WE WILL CALL KAREEM MUHAMMAD AFTER MR.
- 3 LIMON, WHO HAS A TRANSLATOR.

4

- 5 (VOICE OF INTERPRETER): GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY. I REPRESENT
- 6 AYISO, 1347. WE ARE A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION. WE ARE AN
- 7 ORGANIZATION THAT WORKS WITH THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE HERE TO ASK
- 8 THAT WE NEED ATTENTION TO OUR PARKS. WE'RE 100% VOLUNTEERS.
- 9 THEY'VE BEEN TAKING THE PARK FOR TWO MONTHS BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 10 DOING REPAIRS. IN THE LATE-- LATELY, WE WERE GIVEN THE
- 11 OPPORTUNITY TO USE OTHER PARKS. WE HAVE 450 KIDS IN THE
- 12 LEAGUE. WE CAN'T PRACTICE WITHOUT THE PARK. THE BOYS AND GIRLS
- 13 CLUB HAS OFFERED US PART OF THEIR PARK. BUT IT ONLY HAS A SPOT
- 14 FOR A DIVISION AND THEY GOT FIVE DIVISIONS. WE HAVE FIVE
- 15 DIVISIONS MORE. WE HAVE OTHER CITIES THAT WE HAVE TO GO PLAY.
- 16 THEY DO HAVE SPACE. THE FAMILIES DON'T HAVE ANY TRANSPORTATION
- 17 THEY HAVE MORE THAN THREE KIDS, FOUR. WE NEED TO PRACTICE AND
- 18 WE NEED THE SPACE FOR OUR GAMES SO WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THAT
- 19 FAR. WE WOULD LIKE TO BE PROVIDED WITH ANOTHER PARK. WE WOULD
- 20 ALSO LIKE TO SEE IF YOU COULD HELP US WITH WHATEVER YOU CAN SO
- 21 WE CAN PRACTICE.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: SENOR LIMON, (SPEAKING SPANISH).



- 1 (VOICE OF INTERPRETER): WE HAVE TO FIX THE PARK. WE HAVE GIVEN
- 2 YOU OTHER OPTIONS BUT YOU WANT TO PLAY IN THAT PARTICULAR
- 3 PARK. WE WROTE TO THE GROUP ALREADY AND THEY UNDERSTAND...

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: THE ISSUE HERE IS THAT WE NEED TO TAKE THE PARK,
- 6 THE SOCCER FIELDS AND CLOSE THEM DOWN FOR TWO MONTHS IN ORDER
- 7 TO RE-SEED AND WE'VE MADE OTHER OPTIONS AVAILABLE BUT HE SAYS
- 8 THEY'RE TOO FAR AWAY, SO WE ACCOMMODATE YOU, THIS IS A
- 9 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION, WE'RE PROUD TO HAVE THEM BUT WE DO
- 10 NEED TO RE-SEED THE PARK ON A REGULAR BASIS. (SPEAKING
- 11 SPANISH)

12

- 13 (VOICE OF INTERPRETER): YOU KNOW WE HAVE TO MAINTAIN THE PARK.
- 14 WE GAVE YOU THE OPPORTUNITY TO PLAY. YOU HAVE THE PARK FOR 10
- 15 MONTHS AND WE GIVE YOU OTHER OPTIONS.

16

- 17 (VOICE OF INTERPRETER): ANYTHING YOU CAN DO, HE'S READY FOR--
- 18 THE PROBLEM IS TRANSPORTATION FOR THE COMMUNITY.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT, BUT THERE'S NO OTHER PARK NEARBY THAT WE
- 21 CAN OPEN UP. SO TWO MONTHS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE THE BEST WE
- 22 CAN DO.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MR. MOHAMMED FOLLOWED
- 25 BY RONE C. ELLIS AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO TAKE UP ITEM 24.



- 2 ABDUL KAREEM MOHAMMED: WITH GOD'S NAME, THE MERCIFUL
- 3 BENEFACTOR, THE MERCIFUL REDEEMER, DEAR HONORABLE BOARD OF
- 4 SUPERVISORS, MEMBERS, MY NAME IS ABDUL KAREEM MOHAMMED, I'M
- 5 THE FORMER DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL LEADER,
- 6 BOARD DIRECTOR FOR CENTRAL CITIES, WHICH IS BETTER KNOWN AS
- 7 SKID ROW. I AM A PART OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY AND I AM PROUD.
- 8 I'M HERE TODAY BECAUSE OF A INCIDENT THAT HAPPENED SUNDAY, MAY
- 9 THE 4TH, DURING THE LOS ANGELES MARATHON. THIS WAS MY FIRST
- 10 TIME ACTUALLY PARTICIPATING IN IT AND, TO BE BRIEF, AS I
- 11 ENTERED THE BLUE LINE STATION ON 7TH AND FLOWER, ONE OF THE
- 12 FARE INSPECTORS THAT ARE VERY, VERY, VERY DISRESPECTFUL TO A
- 13 LOT OF THE BUS COMMUTERS, I KNOW THAT GLORIA MOLINA, THAT'S
- 14 HER DISTRICT, SHE'S ALSO THE MTA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON THE
- 15 MTA BOARD AND I RECEIVED MY DISABLED BUS PASS AND I HAD MY
- 16 CANE AND I PROCEEDED TO GET A FARE-- I DON'T WANT TO SPEND ALL
- 17 MY TIME ON THIS BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT THAT LETTER IS IN
- 18 BRIEF ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED, THAT THE FARE INSPECTOR WAS VERY
- 19 DISRESPECTFUL TO MYSELF, SHE CALLED THE DEPUTIES ON ME, THEY
- 20 CAME WITH TWO K-9 DOGS, ABOUT 13 DEPUTIES, I FEARED FOR MY
- 21 LIFE. ALL OF THIS IS ON TAPE. IT STARTED AT 11:21 IN THE
- 22 AFTERNOON ON SUNDAY. I WOULD ADVISE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 23 TO REVIEW THIS TAPE BECAUSE I WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE NO
- 24 SUPERVISOR OR NO OTHER L.A. COMMUTER TO BE TREATED THE WAY HOW
- 25 THEY TREATED ME. AND, GLORIA, I THINK THAT YOU'RE DOING A



- 1 GREAT JOB, YVONNE, I WAS READING IN THE SENTINAL THAT SHE'S
- 2 GOING TO BE RETIRING AFTER 30 YEARS OF SERVICE AND IT TOUCHED
- 3 MY HEART THAT MAYBE WE CAN DO FOR HER WHAT WE DID FOR THE
- 4 MAYOR, O'NEAL, IS TO CAN WRITE HER IN BECAUSE SHE NEEDS
- 5 ANOTHER FOUR YEARS OF YVONNE. DON, HE'S OVER THE LONG BEACH
- 6 AREA. I WAS BORN IN LONG BEACH AND YOU REMIND ME OF GARY
- 7 ANDERSON, WHO WAS THE HEAD BASKETBALL COACH DOWN AT LONG BEACH
- 8 CITY COLLEGE AND I REALLY APPRECIATE WHAT YOU GUYS ARE DOING
- 9 HERE BECAUSE I'VE BEEN TO THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AND YOU
- 10 GUYS START OFF IN PRAYER AND IT TOUCHED MY HEART, DON, BECAUSE
- I KNOW THAT YOU'RE SINCERE IN WHAT YOU'RE DOING. MIKE, ZEV IS
- 12 NOT HERE. HE GRADUATED FROM U.C.L.A. GLORIA, SHE'S A PROUD
- 13 DEMOCRAT. I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING, YOU GUYS YOU BROUGHT BACK
- 14 DAVID. HE'S DOING A GREAT JOB. I WISH SOMEBODY WOULD LOOK INTO
- 15 WHAT THESE FARE INSPECTORS ARE DOING. THEY'RE TREATING THE
- 16 COMMUTERS REAL BAD AND I'VE BEEN TREATED REAL BAD AND THEN,
- 17 WHEN THE DEPUTIES APPROACH YOU, THEY COME AND THEY DETAIN YOU.
- 18 THEY WANT TO TAKE YOU TO JAIL. SO THIS TAPE, IT HAPPENED
- 19 SUNDAY AT THE METRO BLUE LINE STATION, AT 11:21, IT'S ON TAPE,
- 20 AND THEY TREATED ME REAL BAD. THEY HAD ME SURROUNDED...

22 SUP. BURKE: WE'LL FORWARD THE LETTER TO MTA. WE'LL DO THAT.

23 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

24



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: AND JUST SO THAT WE DID RESPOND TO MR. MUHAMMAD
- 2 AND COMMANDER FINKLESTEIN IS INVOLVED IN INVESTIGATING THIS
- 3 ITEM AND THAT WE'LL GET BACK TO YOU. YOU GOT A RESPONSE LETTER
- 4 FROM ME AND WE ARE INVESTIGATING THIS ISSUE AND WE'LL GET BACK
- 5 TO YOU.

6

7 ABDUL KAREEM MOHAMMED: THANK YOU, MA'AM.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WE HAD CALLED ONE OTHER PERSON FOR
- 10 PUBLIC COMMENT BUT HAS NOT RESPONDED. ALL RIGHT. WE'LL GO NOW
- 11 TO ITEM NUMBER 24. I WILL CALL THOSE WHO HAVE REQUESTED TO
- 12 SPEAK. IF SOME OF THEM HAVE LEFT-- IRV EDWARDS, DR. IRV
- 13 EDWARDS, AND THEN WE WILL CALL DR. RICKY BUSH. WOULD YOU CAN
- 14 LIKE TO COME UP, DR. BUSH? PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 16 DR. IRV EDWARDS: MY NAME IS DR. IRV EDWARDS. I'M A PRACTICING
- 17 EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES. I'M THE
- 18 PRESIDENT OF THE CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN'S GROUP
- 19 REPRESENTING 3,000 PHYSICIANS THROUGHOUT THE STATE AND I'M THE
- 20 DIRECTOR OF THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AT CENTINELA FREEMAN
- 21 HOSPITAL IN THE CITY OF INGLEWOOD. SB-1773 IS A BILL WE HELPED
- 22 SPONSOR IN THE LEGISLATURE AT THE URGING OF SUPERVISOR
- 23 YAROSLAVSKY, WHO ASSISTED US IN 2006 WHEN THE AB-75 FUND IN
- 24 L.A. COUNTY RAN DRY FOR THREE MONTHS. AND HE URGED US, AT THAT
- 25 TIME, TO GO BACK TO THE STATE AND PASS A PIECE OF LEGISLATION



- 1 THAT WOULD ENSURE A MORE PERMANENT FUNDING WHICH WILL IMPROVE
- 2 ACCESS TO EMERGENCY CARE THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. SO, LAST YEAR,
- 3 MY COLLEAGUES AND I WENT BACK AND WE DID PERSUADE THE GOVERNOR
- 4 TO SIGN SB-1773 AND IT HOLDS THE PROMISE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING
- 5 AND, THROUGH THAT ADDITIONAL FUNDING, WILL ALLOW US TO RECRUIT
- 6 AND RETAIN ADDITIONAL EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS, WHICH WILL SPEED
- 7 ACCESS AND ALLOW ONGOING CARE FOR EMERGENCY PATIENTS
- 8 THROUGHOUT THE CITIES OF HAWTHORNE, INGLEWOOD, WESTCHESTER, ET
- 9 CETERA, ET CETERA. I URGE THE BOARD TO SUPPORT THIS AND IT
- 10 WOULD MEAN A TREMENDOUS DIFFERENCE TO THE PATIENTS WHO WAIT
- 11 CURRENTLY HOURS AND HOURS TO BE SEEN. ON AVERAGE, IN SOME OF
- 12 THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS THAT WE SERVE, THE WAIT IS SIX TO 10
- 13 HOURS AND IT'S A SHAME TO SEE PEOPLE SUFFERING. I ASK FOR YOUR
- 14 SUPPORT AND YOUR CONSIDERATION AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GOOD MORNING. YOUR NAME?

18 DR. RICKY BUSH: MY NAME IS DR. RICKY BUSH.

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND IF I COULD JUST ASK LAWRENCE

- 21 STOCK TO COME DOWN AND GERALD REICH AND JASON GREENSPAN. GO
- 22 AHEAD.

15

17

19

- 24 DR. RICKY BUSH: YES, SIR. GOOD MORNING. RICKY BUSH. I AM AN
- 25 EMERGENCY MEDICINE PHYSICIAN AND I'M ALSO THE DIRECTOR OF THE



- 1 EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AT OLYMPIA MEDICAL CENTER. I'M A PROUD
- 2 GRADUATE OF KING DREW EMERGENCY MEDICINE RESIDENCY AND I'D
- 3 LIKE TO SPEAK ALSO ABOUT SB-1773. WE ARE LOSING PHYSICIANS AS
- 4 THEY MOVE TO OTHER AREAS. WE ARE HAVING PATIENTS SIT FOR LONG
- 5 PERIODS OF TIME WHO SUFFER. IT AFFECTS PATIENTS WHO ARE
- 6 INDIGENT, PEOPLE WHO ARE AFFLUENT, LEGAL, ILLEGAL, ALL ASPECTS
- 7 OF THE POPULATION ARE AFFECTED. THIS WOULD GREATLY HELP US TO
- 8 TAKE CARE OF THE PEOPLE WHO COME IN AND WHO NEED OUR
- 9 ASSISTANCE. THERE IS NOTHING WORSE, AS A PHYSICIAN, IT'S PART
- 10 OF MY JOB TO SEE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN UNFORTUNATE CIRCUMSTANCE
- 11 AND WHO SOMETIMES SUFFER BUT IT IS WORSE TO SEE PEOPLE WHO
- 12 SUFFER UNNECESSARILY. WE ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT. THIS WILL BE
- 13 VERY HELPFUL FOR US TO TAKE CARE OF THE PEOPLE IN OUR
- 14 COMMUNITY. I'VE WORKED IN MULTIPLE PLACES THROUGHOUT LOS
- 15 ANGELES, I SPEAK FOR OLYMPIA TODAY BUT I'VE WORKED IN
- 16 INGLEWOOD, AT FREEMAN WHICH CLOSED, ORTHOPEDIC HOSPITAL IN MID
- 17 TOWN WHICH CLOSED, I'VE WORKED AT SOUTH BAY AND THE SOUTH
- 18 REDONDO AREA, WHICH CLOSED. I WAS GOING TO WORK AT RFK AND IT
- 19 CLOSED BEFORE I GOT THERE. I JUST WANT TO ASK FOR YOUR
- 20 ASSISTANCE. IT WOULD BE SO HELPFUL AND SO NECESSARY FOR OUR
- 21 COMMUNITY IF YOU COULD SUPPORT THIS AMENDMENT. THANK YOU.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DOES THIS RECRUIT DOCTORS?

24



- 1 DR. RICKY BUSH: DOCTORS, WE ALL LIVE THE DREAM IN THAT WE WANT
- 2 TO-- WHEN YOU DO EMERGENCY MEDICINE, WE'RE A SPECIAL BREED.
- 3 THERE ARE NOT A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SAY, YOU KNOW, I
- 4 DON'T WANT TO WORK CHRISTMAS EVE, I WANT TO WORK ON MOTHER'S
- 5 DAY AND SEE MY WIFE MAD WHEN I LEAVE AND MAD WHEN I COME HOME.
- 6 IT WOULD HELP BECAUSE PHYSICIANS -- WE HAVE THE BEST TRAINED
- 7 PHYSICIANS. WE HAVE U.C.L.A., U.S.C., WE HAVE THE PHYSICIANS
- 8 HERE BUT PHYSICIANS ARE REAL PEOPLE. WHEN YOU'RE WORKING ALL
- 9 NIGHT, WE ARE LOSING REVENUE. IT'S HARD TO SEE YOURSELF WORK
- 10 HARDER EVERY DAY AND SEE YOUR MONEY GOING DOWN WHEN YOU'RE
- 11 WORKING HARD. AND PHYSICIANS ARE LEAVING TO GO TO VENTURA, SAN
- 12 BERNARDINO, RIVERSIDE AND ORANGE COUNTY WHERE THEIR EFFORTS
- 13 ARE ABLE TO BE-- THEY'RE ABLE TO BE REIMBURSED FOR THE EFFORT
- 14 THAT THEY'RE DOING. THE OTHER THING IS...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW WOULD THIS MONEY BE USED? AGAIN, THE
- 17 FORMULA IS REALLY...

18

19 DR. RICKY BUSH: WE NEED...

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL THE MONEY ISN'T GOING INTO
- 22 TRAUMA CARE.

- 24 DR. RICKY BUSH: IT'S NOT GOING INTO TRAUMA CARE BUT WE ALSO
- 25 HAVE TO HELP THOSE COMMUNITY HOSPITALS BECAUSE WE SAY TRAUMA

9

11

12

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- HOSPITALS BUT TRAUMA COMES TO EVERY HOSPITAL IN LOS ANGELES. 1
- IT'S NOT ALWAYS-- I WISH THEY WOULD GO TO BIG COUNTY BUT, 2
- 3 UNFORTUNATELY, THEY COME TO MY DOOR AT 2:00 IN THE MORNING AND
- WE NEED FUNDS TO CALL IN THE TRAUMA SURGEON, TO CALL IN THE 4
- 5 NEUROSURGEON, TO CALL IN THE CARDIAC SURGEON. THESE PEOPLE
- NEED HELP AND THOSE SERVICES ARE NOT AVAILABLE. WE NEED TO 6
- HELP THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS, THE HOSPITALS AND THE SUB 7
- 8 SPECIALISTS TAKE CARE OF OUR PEOPLE. PLEASE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. DR. STOCK. 10

DR. LAWRENCE STOCK: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO

- SPEAK. YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOMETHING VERY HEROIC 13
- TODAY AND PROVIDE LEADERSHIP, AS YOU DID WHEN YOU SUPPORTED 14
- 15 PROP B. RIGHT NOW, THE E.R. SYSTEM IN L.A. COUNTY IS IN CRISIS
- 16 AND YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SHORE UP THAT SYSTEM. WHEN THE
- FEDERAL MTALA LAW WAS ESTABLISHED TO AVOID DUMPING OF PATIENTS 17
- 18 TO THE COUNTY SYSTEM, ONE OF THE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES WAS
- 19 IT CREATED AN UNFUNDED MANDATE AND THAT UNFUNDED MANDATE IS
- STILL BEING FELT TODAY. YOU'VE SEEN IT OVER THE LAST 15 YEARS 20
- 21 AS MANY E.R.S HAVE CLOSED AND MANY HOSPITALS HAVE CLOSED
- 22 COMPLETELY. THAT IS PRIMARILY, APPEARS TO ME, A RESULT OF
- 23 UNCOMPENSATED CARE AND THAT IS THE PRIMARY PROBLEM ON THE
- MINDS OF HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATORS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF 24
- HOSPITALS TODAY. MANY HOSPITALS ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT A WAY 25



- 1 TO AVOID CLOSING OR AVOID REDUCING SERVICES BECAUSE OF THE
- 2 COST OF UNCOMPENSATED CARE. TO ANSWER THE QUESTION, HOW WOULD
- 3 THIS MONEY HELP? AS FAR AS STAFFING OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS
- 4 WITH HIGH QUALITY PEOPLE AND WITH THE QUANTITY OF PROVIDERS
- 5 THAT ARE NECESSARY TO SEE PEOPLE IN A TIMELY WAY, WE NEED A
- 6 POOL OF MONEY. WE HAVE TO PAY-- THE MONEY IS WHAT GOES TO PAY
- 7 THE SALARIES TO STAFF THE PLACE PROPERLY SO IT CAN SEE PEOPLE,
- 8 AS WELL AS TO PAY SPECIALISTS TO BE ON CALL. RIGHT NOW,
- 9 HOSPITALS ARE OFTEN PAYING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO KEEP
- 10 NEUROSURGEONS OR CHEST SURGEONS OR GENERAL SURGEONS ON CALL,
- 11 AND THIS MONEY WOULD DEFER SOME OF THAT COST SO HOSPITALS CAN
- 12 TRY AND STAY AFLOAT. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP
- 13 ON THIS.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. DR. REICH?

16

- 17 DR. GERALD H. REICH: FIRST OF ALL, I'M PROUD TO REPRESENT A
- 18 GROUP OF PHYSICIANS...

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SPEAK DIRECTLY INTO THE MIKE.
- 21 THANKS.

- 23 DR. GERALD H. REICH: I'M PROUD TO REPRESENT A GROUP OF
- 24 PHYSICIANS WHO SEE EVERYBODY WITHOUT REGARD TO THE ABILITY TO
- 25 PAY. WE'VE HEARD A LOT ABOUT THE CRISIS AND I THINK YOU ALL



- 1 ARE VERY AWARE OF THE CRISIS IN EMERGENCY MEDICINE, OUR LOSS
- 2 OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS. BASICALLY, THERE'S LONGER DRIVES TO
- 3 GET TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND, WHEN YOU GET THERE,
- 4 THERE'S TERRIBLY LONG WAITS IN OUR EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS NOW.
- 5 THIS MAY SEEM TO BE MERELY INCONVENIENT AND HARDLY A CRISIS,
- 6 YOU SHOULD KNOW THAT THE TRUTH IS FAR FROM THAT. I CAN
- 7 GUARANTEE YOU THAT PEOPLE WILL DIE IN OUR EMERGENCY
- 8 DEPARTMENTS UNLESS WE CAN IMPROVE OUR SYSTEM. WHEN I GET
- 9 CALLED AT 2:00 A.M. TO TRY TO CONVINCE OUR NEUROSURGEONS AT
- 10 TORRANCE MEMORIAL CENTER, WHICH IS WHERE I WORK, 18 MILES FROM
- 11 DOWNTOWN L.A., I GET CALLED TO ASK-- AND I GET ASKED TO SEE
- 12 WHETHER I'LL ACCEPT A CRITICALLY ILL PATIENT FROM DOWNTOWN LOS
- 13 ANGELES BECAUSE THERE'S NO NEUROSURGEON IN LOS ANGELES WHO
- 14 WILL ACCEPT THE PATIENT, THIS IS A CRISIS. WHEN I GET CALLED
- 15 FROM AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT 16 MILES AWAY TO SEE IF I CAN
- 16 FIND A HAND SURGEON TO TAKE CARE OF A CRITICAL HAND INJURY AND
- 17 WE'RE THE 20TH HOSPITAL THAT THEY'VE CALLED, ACCORDING TO
- 18 THEM, THAT'S A CRISIS. WHEN WE'RE UNABLE TO FIND A PEDIATRIC
- 19 SURGEON TO REMOVE THE APPENDIX ON A SIX-YEAR-OLD AND WE HAVE
- 20 TO WAIT 14 HOURS IN OUR EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT BEFORE WE CAN GET
- 21 ONE OF THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS TO ACCEPT THE PATIENT, THAT'S
- 22 A CRISIS. ADD TO THIS ALL THE TIME THE VARIOUS EMERGENCY
- 23 DEPARTMENTS KEEP THEIR BEDS OCCUPIED WHILE TRYING TO FIND
- 24 SOMEONE WHO CAN PROVIDE A HIGHER LEVEL OF CARE, DURING WHICH
- 25 THEIR WAITING ROOMS ARE FULL OF ILL PATIENTS AND YOU BEGIN TO



- 1 GET THE PICTURE. NOW, IMAGINE YOURSELF OR ONE OF YOUR LOVED
- 2 ONES IN THIS PICTURE AND IT BECOMES ALL THE MORE SCARY. SB-
- 3 1773 MAY NOT SOLVE ALL OF THESE PROBLEMS BUT AT LEAST IT'S A
- 4 START TO HELP US OBTAIN THE SPECIALTY SERVICES THAT WE NEED TO
- 5 ENABLE OUR REMAINING EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS TO CONTINUE TO CARE
- 6 FOR PATIENTS.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, DR. REICH. LET ME CALL
- 9 BEFORE, I GO TO DR. GREENSPAN-- TO JASON GREENSPAN, MARK BELL,
- 10 KAREEM MOHAMMED AND ARNOLD SACHS, PLEASE COME DOWN. MR.
- 11 GREENSPAN? OR IS IT DR. GREENSPAN?

- 13 DR. JASON GREENSPAN: DR. GREENSPAN. THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO
- 14 THANK EVERYBODY FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE SOME BRIEF WORDS.
- 15 MY NAME IS DR. JASON GREENSPAN. I'M HERE REPRESENTING MISSION
- 16 COMMUNITY HOSPITAL IN PANORAMA CITY. THE TWO NEIGHBORING
- 17 HOSPITALS TO MY HOSPITAL INCLUDE NORTHRIDGE SHERMAN WAY, WHICH
- 18 IS CLOSED, AND GRENADA HILLS HOSPITAL, WHICH IS CLOSED. I
- 19 REPRESENT THE NEXT IN LINE, SO TO SPEAK. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY
- 20 TO SPEND THE WEEKEND WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF MISSION
- 21 COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND DISCUSS SOME VERY GRAVE FINANCIAL
- 22 SITUATIONS THAT HAVE A LOT TO DO WITH EMERGENCY SERVICES AND
- 23 OUR ABILITY TO PROVIDE EMERGENCY SERVICES IN PANORAMA CITY.
- 24 THE SITUATION CONTINUES TO BE GRAVE AND WE DON'T NEED TO GO ON
- 25 ANY MORE THAN THAT. I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS SUPERVISOR



- 1 ANTONOVICH'S QUESTIONS. I ALSO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY AND THE
- 2 PLEASURE OF BEING ON VOLUNTARY FACULTY AT U.S.C., TEACHING
- 3 THEIR EMERGENCY ROOM PHYSICIANS THERE IN TRAINING. U.S.C.
- 4 TRAINS 18 PHYSICIANS A YEAR TO COME OUT AND PRACTICE EMERGENCY
- 5 SERVICES IN L.A. EACH OF THOSE 18 OR THE VAST MAJORITY OF
- 6 THOSE 18 WOULD LIKE TO STAY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THEY HAVE
- 7 FAMILIES HERE, ET CETERA, THEY'VE BEEN HERE FOR THE LAST FOUR
- 8 YEARS TRAINING. FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS, I'VE HAD THE
- 9 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH THEM ABOUT WHAT LIFE IN THE
- 10 COMMUNITY IS ABOUT. I WILL TELL YOU THAT THIS LAST YEAR, JUST
- 11 THIS LAST MONTH WHEN I HAD THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME IN THOSE
- 12 THREE YEARS THAT MYSELF AND THE OTHER COMMUNITY E.R. DOCTORS
- 13 HAD TO LOOK AT THOSE 18 DOCTORS AND SAY, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE
- 14 GREAT FOR YOU IN L.A. COUNTY AND HAD TO FIELD QUESTIONS FROM
- 15 THEM ABOUT WHAT IT ACTUALLY MEANT TO GO TO OTHER PLACES, OTHER
- 16 STATES, NOT JUST OTHER COUNTIES AROUND HERE BUT TO START
- 17 PRACTICING IN PLACES AROUND THE COUNTRY. YOU HAD ASKED HOW
- 18 THIS WOULD HELP US GET DOCTORS. THE ANSWER IS THAT IT ALLOWS
- 19 US THE FINANCIAL SITUATION TO HOPEFULLY RECRUIT THE EXCELLENT
- 20 TRAINED DOCTORS FROM U.S.C., FOR EXAMPLE, TO PRACTICE IN THE
- 21 HOME AND THE COMMUNITY THAT THEY WANT TO PRACTICE HERE IN L.A.
- 22 SO I HOPE THAT SORT OF BRINGS A LITTLE BIT OF CLARITY TO YOUR
- 23 QUESTION. THANK YOU.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. MARK BELL.



1

- 2 DR. MARK BELL: HI. DR. MARK BELL. I'M THE-- I'M NOT GOING TO
- 3 SAY MUCH DIFFERENTLY THAN ANY OF THE OTHER PHYSICIANS TODAY. I
- 4 MANAGE AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VALLEY PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL
- 5 EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT IN CENTRAL SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. WE SEE
- 6 AROUND 4,000 PEOPLE A MONTH, APPROXIMATELY 1,100 TO 1,200 OF
- 7 THOSE INDIVIDUALS ARE INDIGENT AND I WOULD ECHO DR. GREENSPAN,
- 8 OUR BIGGEST PROBLEM RIGHT NOW IS KEEPING THE PHYSICIANS IN THE
- 9 EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT CONTENTED IN OUR HOSPITAL. AND CERTAINLY
- 10 ONE OF THE BIG FACTORS TO MAKING THEM CONTENTED IS FINANCIAL
- 11 REMUNERATION. SEEING 25 TO 30% OF OUR PATIENTS FOR FREE 24
- 12 HOURS A DAY, NIGHTS, WEEKENDS AND HOLIDAYS IS-- MAKES IT
- 13 DIFFICULT FOR US TO KEEP THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT STAFFED WITH
- 14 HIGH QUALITY LOS ANGELES TRAINED PHYSICIANS. SO THIS SENATE
- 15 BILL 1773 WOULD GO A LONG WAY TO HELPING US STAFF OUR
- 16 EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT TO THE LEVEL THAT WE, AS A COMMUNITY IN
- 17 LOS ANGELES, WOULD EXPECT. THANKS.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. KAREEM MOHAMMED.

- 21 KAREEM MOHAMMED: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR ZEV. SORRY THAT YOU
- 22 WERE LATE, SIR. AS A FORMER SECURITY OFFICER THAT WORKED AT
- 23 LONG BEACH MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, I WORKED SIX YEARS THERE. AGAIN,
- 24 I MAKE COACH GARY ANDERSON WALKING IN THE DOOR DOOR LONG BEACH
- 25 CITY COLLEGE, AND THIS BILL SB-1773, I'M SURE THE SUPERVISORS



- 1 ARE GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING, LIKE THE SUPERVISOR
- 2 ANTONOVICH SAID, WHAT ABOUT THIS 10%? IF YOU BREAK IT DOWN,
- 3 THAT'S 20% OF EVERY \$100 WHICH IS LIKE \$200. WHERE IS THIS
- 4 MONEY GOING? THE STATE SHOULD UNDERSTAND THAT, IF THE COUNTY
- 5 IS GOING TO UPGRADE, YOU KNOW, THIS PARKING, YOU KNOW, FEE AN
- 6 EXTRA \$2 THAT THE CONSUMER IS GOING TO TAKE ON, THEY WANT TO
- 7 KNOW WHERE THIS MONEY IS GOING AND I'M SURE MR. JANSSEN OVER
- 8 HERE, THE C.A.O., IS GOING TO DO A EXCELLENT JOB AND CAN PROVE
- 9 WHERE THIS MONEY IS GOING BUT ENOUGH FACTS HAVE NOT BEEN
- 10 REPORTED BACK TO THE COUNTY THAT THEY'RE GOING TO APPROVE IT.
- 11 AND I HAVE A CONCERN ALSO WHERE THIS MONEY IS GOING TO BE
- 12 GOING, HOW IS IT GOING TO BRING IN ADDITIONAL PHYSICIANS BEING
- 13 THAT I WORK WITH PHYSICIANS DOWN IN LONG BEACH MEMORIAL, I
- 14 WORKED IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT, I SEE THESE YOUNG KIDS
- 15 COMING IN. I WAS BORN AT ST. MARY'S MEDICAL CENTER IN LONG
- 16 BEACH. I WAS BORN IN LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA. I WORKED AT ST.
- 17 MARY'S MEDICAL FACILITY IN LONG BEACH. I THINK THIS BILL WOULD
- 18 BE EXCELLENT BUT THE COUNTY HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ITS
- 19 CITIZENS TO FIND OUT WHERE IS THIS MONEY GOING TO BE GOING?
- 20 HOW IS IT GOING TO BRING IN MORE DOCTORS? CAN YOU SHOW US SOME
- 21 PHYSICAL EVIDENCE? LET US SEE A REPORT, LET US SEE HOW MANY
- 22 MORE DOCTORS WOULD IT BRING TO L.A. COUNTY AND HOW CAN L.A.
- 23 COUNTY MAYBE BE REIMBURSED THEIR \$2. LIKE I TALKED TO SENATOR
- 24 ALARCON AS HE WAS LEAVING, HE TOLD ME HE WAS RUNNING FOR CITY
- 25 COUNCIL. I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW, I'VE GOT SO MUCH ON MY PLATE, BUT



- 1 I THINK THAT THIS BILL IS ASKING ABOUT THE COUNTY, AS
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WANTS TO KNOW, HE HAS A INTEREST TO
- 3 REPRESENT THE CONSTITUENTS OF THE L.A. COUNTY THAT THIS--
- 4 ACTUALLY \$2 ON EVERY \$10, WHICH IS 20% ON \$100-- ON THE
- 5 HUNDRED DOLLARS, WOULD BE \$20. WE WANT TO SEE HOW-- SHOW US
- 6 SOME PHYSICAL REPORTS, DOCTORS, THAT HOW CAN YOU BRING MORE
- 7 DOCTORS HERE? AS THE ONE DOCTOR SAID, THEY CALLED 20 DIFFERENT
- 8 DOCTORS AND THEY COULDN'T GET A DOCTOR -- I MEAN, THAT PERSON
- 9 SHOULD BE FINED FOR NOT COMING IN AND SERVICING A CHILD THAT
- 10 IS IN THE TRAUMA CENTER. SO AS DAVID JANSSEN SITS TO MY RIGHT,
- 11 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SITS IN FRONT OF ME, THEY WANT TO
- 12 KNOW WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING, DOCTORS. YOU GUYS REALLY
- 13 HAVEN'T PRESENTED ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO PROVE WHERE THE COUNTY IS
- 14 GOING TO RAISE THIS BUT HOPEFULLY THEY DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 15 AND HAVE A NICE DAY.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MR. SACHS.

- 19 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY
- 20 MAIN CONCERN, THIS IS THAT, SEVERAL YEARS AGO WHEN THE COUNTY
- 21 FACED A FINANCIAL CRISIS IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S AREA, THEY
- 22 CUT SERVICES AT CLINICS AROUND THE COUNTY, ELIMINATING A LOT
- 23 OF PLACES THAT POOR OR INDIGENT PEOPLE COULD GO TO FOR FIRST
- 24 TIME MEDICAL CARE. AND, IN SO DOING, THEY HAVE PUSHED THESE
- 25 PEOPLE TO GO TO EMERGENCY ROOM HEALTH SERVICES BECAUSE THAT'S



- 1 THE ONLY CARE THAT'S AVAILABLE TO THEM. I BELIEVE THAT THE
- 2 FUNDING THAT YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT OKAYING, I'M SURE IT WILL
- 3 BE OKAYED, IS MORE IN TERMS OF TRYING TO HELP EMERGENCY ROOMS
- 4 BALANCE THEIR BUDGET AND I THINK THAT A PLAN SHOULD HAVE BEEN
- 5 PUT INTO PLACE THAT, OKAY, WE HAVE TO CUT THESE CLINIC
- 6 SERVICES NOW, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO IN THE FUTURE TO BRING
- 7 THEM BACK? WE HAVE A PROBLEM NOW WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING
- 8 HOSPITAL THAT'S DOWNSIZED. IS THERE A PLAN IN PLACE, JUST AS
- 9 AN EXAMPLE, TO BUILD THE SERVICES BACK UP? WHAT'S GOING TO
- 10 HAPPEN? IS IT JUST GOING TO REMAIN A SMALL HOSPITAL? I THINK
- 11 THESE THINGS NEED TO BE LOOKED AT. I THINK THAT THE FUNDING
- 12 SHOULD GO TOWARDS OFFERING CLINICAL SERVICES AND REMOVING THE
- 13 PEOPLE THAT DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO VISIT EMERGENCY ROOM--
- 14 IF YOU NEED TRAUMA CARE, YOU NEED TRAUMA CARE, YOU'RE NOT
- 15 GOING TO GO TO A CLINIC. BUT, IF YOU DON'T NEED TRAUMA CARE,
- 16 YOU CAN HAVE THE OPTION OF GOING TO A CLINIC AND NOT FALLING
- 17 ON THE DOORSTEP OF AN EMERGENCY ROOM SERVICE THAT ADDS TO A
- 18 BACKLOG THAT INCREASES THE STRESS AMONG THE EMERGENCY ROOM
- 19 DOCTORS BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO SEE EVERYBODY. BUT, IF YOU HAVE
- 20 ANOTHER OPTION, WHICH HAS BEEN ELIMINATED DUE TO COUNTY
- 21 RESTRAINTS AND THE BUDGET, I'M NOT SURE IF THERE'S STILL THE
- 22 RESTRAINTS THAT WERE IN THE AREA 5, 6 YEARS AGO BUT I DON'T
- 23 BELIEVE THEY ARE. THAT'S JUST-- I'M JUST THROWING THAT OUT
- 24 THERE. BUT I THINK THAT THAT'S WHAT SHOULD BE DONE FIRST
- 25 BEFORE PUTTING A TAX, MORE OR LESS, OR A SURCHARGE. YOU'RE



- 1 GOING TO BE BUILDING-- BILLING PEOPLE WHO GET FINED FOR TICKET
- 2 AND A GOOD PORTION OF THAT FINE OR THOSE PEOPLE ARE INDIGENT
- 3 PEOPLE WHO WILL BE PAYING TRAFFIC TICKETS, THE LOW INCOME
- 4 PEOPLE WILL BE SUFFERING TWICE TO BE PAYING TRAFFIC TICKETS,
- 5 WHICH THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO GET, AND THEN THEY'LL BE PAYING FOR
- 6 HEALTH INSURANCE THAT THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO GET, EITHER. I
- 7 THINK A COMMITTEE SHOULD BE SET UP TO LOOK AT WHAT WE CAN DO
- 8 TO BRING BACK UP SOME OF THE CLINICAL SERVICES THAT WERE
- 9 AROUND IN L.A. COUNTY FOUR OR FIVE YEARS AGO. THANK YOU VERY
- 10 MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THAT CLOSES THE PUBLIC
- 13 HEARING. WE HAVE THE ITEM BEFORE US. CAN WE HAVE THE STAFF
- 14 BACK HERE? WHO FROM THE STAFF IS DEALING WITH THIS? THE
- 15 FINANCIAL STAFF, THE HEALTH STAFF, CAN YOU COME UP? IT'S MY
- 16 UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS BILL ONLY AUTHORIZES THIS FEE OR FINE
- 17 FOR A YEAR OR TWO YEARS. IS THAT CORRECT? A YEAR AND A HALF?
- 18 AND THEN THE BILL EXPIRES. WHAT IS THE ESTIMATE OF HOW MUCH
- 19 WOULD BE RAISED BY THIS IN A YEAR AND A HALF IF WE DID THIS?

20

21 CAROL MEYER: SUPERVISOR, 33.3 MILLION.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE BULK OF IT WOULD GO TO
- 24 PRIVATE HOSPITALS, CORRECT?



1 CAROL MEYER: YES.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. HOW MUCH OF IT WOULD GO TO
- 4 THE COUNTY-- IS ANY OF IT PRESCRIBED FOR THE COUNTY? FOR
- 5 COUNTY-- THE COUNTY HEALTH SYSTEM?

6

- 7 CAROL MEYER: 25% OF THE DOLLARS WILL GO TO HOSPITALS PROVIDING
- 8 DISPROPORTIONATE AND TRAUMA CARE AND THE DEPARTMENT HAS NOT
- 9 DETERMINED EXACTLY WHERE WE'RE GOING TO USE THOSE. WE WOULD
- 10 COME BACK TO THE BOARD TO DETERMINE EXACTLY WHERE WE'RE GOING
- 11 TO PUT THOSE. CURRENTLY, OUT OF THE E.M.S. FUND, WE USE IT FOR
- 12 OUR TRAUMA CENTERS AND IN CUSTODY CARE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO 25% OF THESE FUNDS WOULD GO TO
- 15 COUNTY TRAUMA CARE BUT NOT NECESSARILY TRAUMA CARE PROVIDED BY
- 16 COUNTY GOVERNMENT?

17

18 CAROL MEYER: THAT'S RIGHT.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT COULD BE PRIVATE TRAUMA
- 21 CENTERS. AND THIS WOULD LAST FOR A YEAR AND A HALF, CORRECT?

22

23 CAROL MEYER: YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU KNOW, I HAVE TO SAY, I
- 2 SUPPORTED THIS BILL AND I CERTAINLY SUPPORTED THE EFFORTS AND
- 3 THE MOTIVATIONS BEHIND SENATOR ALARCON'S CARRYING THIS BILL,
- 4 THIS WASN'T THE FIRST TIME HE CARRIED IT AND I THINK HE WAS
- 5 CONSTRAINED BECAUSE OF THE POLITICS OF SACRAMENTO TO LIMIT IT.
- 6 I WAS NOT AWARE THAT IT WOULD EXPIRE-- UNTIL YESTERDAY, I'M
- 7 SORRY TO SAY, THAT IT WAS-- THAT THIS WOULD EXPIRE IN A YEAR
- 8 AND A HALF. SO I ASK THE QUESTION, BECAUSE I'M INCLINED TO BE
- 9 SUPPORTIVE OF THESE SORTS OF THINGS, ALTHOUGH I HAVE SOME
- 10 QUESTIONS ON THE EQUITY OF THIS ONE, WHICH I'LL LEAVE FOR
- 11 LATER, BUT LET'S ASSUME THERE WERE NO OTHER ISSUES. TO RAISE
- 12 THIS FINE OR TO ASSESS THIS FINE, INCREASE THE FINE, RAISE \$33
- 13 MILLION, PROVIDE FOR WHATEVER THAT \$33 MILLION WILL PROVIDE IN
- 14 THE NEXT 18 MONTHS AND THEN HAVE TO CURTAIL IT 18 MONTHS FROM
- 15 NOW, THAT DOESN'T WARM THE COCKLES OF MY HEART. I DON'T
- 16 UNDERSTAND HOW THIS -- WHAT DO YOU EXPECT, CAROL, TO HAPPEN IN
- 17 18 MONTHS WHEN WE HAVE FUNDED-- IF WE CAN GET A TRAUMA CENTER
- 18 UP AND RUNNING IN 18 MONTHS, IT WILL TAKE ALL OF 18 MONTHS TO
- 19 GET THAT DONE, YOU FUND THE EMERGENCY ROOMS THAT THE DOCTORS
- 20 RIGHTLY ARE CONCERNED ABOUT IN THE HOSPITAL SYSTEM AND THEN,
- 21 IN SEPTEMBER, WHATEVER THE DATE IS OF 2008, ARE THEY GOING TO
- 22 BE COMING DOWN HERE AND ASKING US TO TAKE MONEY OUT OF OUR
- 23 GENERAL FUND TO SUPPLANT WHAT THE STATE HAS JUST CUT? THE
- 24 STATE HAS ALREADY CUT IT BECAUSE THE BILL SAYS IT'S OVER IN



- 1 2008/'09. SO WE KNOW WE'RE WALKING INTO A THING THAT WILL
- 2 SELF-DESTRUCT IN 18 MONTHS.

3

- 4 CAROL MEYER: YEAH. CAROL MEYER, DIRECTOR OF E.M.S. FIRST OF
- 5 ALL, SB-57 WAS THE PRECURSOR TO SB-1773 AND IT DID NOT PASS BY
- 6 THE GOVERNOR. AND, AS SENATOR, NOW ASSEMBLYMAN ALARCON SAID,
- 7 HE HAD TO PUT THAT CAVEAT INTO THIS BILL IN ORDER TO GET IT
- 8 APPROVED THIS TIME. THERE'S A WHOLE GROUP OF PHYSICIANS AND
- 9 HOSPITALS THAT ARE BEHIND EXTENDING THIS IN THE FUTURE. WHAT
- 10 WE NEED TO DEMONSTRATE IS THAT THIS REALLY MADE A DIFFERENCE
- 11 ACROSS THE STATE AND, IF WE ARE ABLE TO DO THAT, AND I AM
- 12 CONFIDENT WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT IN LOS ANGELES, WE
- 13 WILL BE ABLE TO GET THIS BILL VERY LIKELY EXTENDED. AS SENATOR
- 14 ALARCON SAID, THE CHANCES OF EXTENSION ARE MUCH EASIER THAN
- 15 THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER I AGREE
- 18 WITH HIM ON THAT. I AGREE WITH HIM AND YOU ON EVERYTHING ELSE.
- 19 I DEFINITELY THINK IT WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE HERE BUT WE HAVE
- 20 DEMONSTRATED IN THE PAST THAT THINGS THAT WE DO MAKE A
- 21 DIFFERENCE HERE AND SOMEHOW IT FALLS ON DEAF EARS WHEN IT
- 22 COMES TO FUNDING AUTHORITIES. SO I GUESS ALL I'M SAYING IS
- 23 THAT I DON'T SEE, AS MY OPINION, I JUST DON'T SEE THE COUNTY
- 24 OF LOS ANGELES BEING ABLE TO STEP INTO THE BREACH WHEN THIS
- 25 EXPIRES IF IT'S NOT EXTENDED.



1

- 2 CAROL MEYER: SUPERVISOR, I DON'T, EITHER. AND, IN FACT, IN MY
- 3 WORK WITH THE PHYSICIANS, THEY'RE CLEAR THAT THIS IS ON THEM,
- 4 THEY WERE CLEAR THAT IT WAS ON THEM TO GET THIS PASSED AFTER
- 5 MEETING WITH YOU A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO AND THEY'RE CLEAR THAT,
- 6 IF THIS NEEDS TO BE EXTENDED, IT'S ON THEM.

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THEY'VE ALL SIGNED ON THE
- 9 DOTTED LINE THAT THEY WILL NOT COME BACK HERE AND HARANGUE
- 10 ABOUT-- YEAH, SURE IS RIGHT. I'M NOT SURE I WOULD MAKE THAT
- 11 COMMITMENT IF I WERE THEM. I KNOW WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO.
- 12 IT'S A LOCAL CALL TO CALL THEIR LOCAL CITY COUNCIL BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS. YOU DON'T HAVE TO GET ON SOUTHWEST AIRLINES AND
- 14 GO TO SACRAMENTO, YOU JUST COME HERE AND MAKE THE PITCH. AND
- 15 I'M JUST TELLING YOU, KNOWING-- AND I THINK WE ALL KNOW WHAT'S
- 16 COMING FINANCIALLY FOR US, IN FACT, THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IS WE
- 17 DON'T KNOW WHAT'S COMING FINANCIALLY FOR US, THAT THE ONE
- 18 OPTION THAT'S NOT GOING TO BE ON THE TABLE, AS FAR AS I'M
- 19 CONCERNED, IS, UNLESS WE WIN THE LOTTERY, WHICH WE'RE NOT
- 20 GOING TO WIN, IS THEM COMING BACK HERE AFTER THE STATE LETS
- 21 THIS EXPIRE. ASSEMBLYMAN ALARCON, DEPENDING ON WHAT HAPPENS
- 22 TODAY, MAY NOT EVEN BE IN THE LEGISLATURE AFTER TONIGHT, SO HE
- 23 WON'T EVEN BE THERE TO BE ABLE TO CAST A VOTE TO DEFEND HIS
- 24 OWN-- EXTEND HIS OWN BILL. I WANT TO JUST SAY, I COMMEND HIM
- 25 FOR WHAT HE'S DONE AND I KNOW THAT HE WAS FORCED INTO THIS



- 1 SITUATION BECAUSE OF THE GOVERNOR'S PREVIOUS VETO AND
- 2 SOMETHING IS BETTER THAN NOTHING, I'M DEFINITELY A BELIEVER IN
- 3 THAT BUT I'M TROUBLED BY IT. ANYWAY, I JUST WANTED TO GET THAT
- 4 ON THE TABLE. THE OTHER THING I JUST WANT TO RAISE, AND I
- 5 HESITATE TO RAISE IT, BUT I SCOFFED AT IT WHEN JACKIE GOLDBERG
- 6 RAISED IT IN THE LEGISLATURE TWO YEARS AGO WHEN IT WAS VETOED
- 7 AND THEN A MEMBER OF MY STAFF MADE A VERY COMPELLING CASE IN
- 8 OUR STAFF MEETING YESTERDAY AND I'LL JUST TELL YOU, I MAY
- 9 OVERLOOK WHAT HE SAID BUT HERE'S THE WAY IT WAS DESCRIBED,
- 10 THAT THE DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE PEOPLE WHO PAY, WHO ARE
- 11 WHO ARE CITED FOR MOVING VIOLATIONS ARE, SHALL WE SAY, ON THE
- 12 LOWER RUNGS OF THE ECONOMIC LADDER. AND THOSE WHO ARE ON THE
- 13 LOWER RUNGS OF THE ECONOMIC LADDER, WHEN THEY GET A 20% HIT
- 14 LIKE THIS, EVEN THOUGH THEY VIOLATED THE LAW, IT FALLS
- 15 DISPROPORTIONATELY HEAVY ON THEM. SOME OF THEM WILL NOT BE
- 16 ABLE TO PAY THE FINE AND WON'T PAY THE FINE. THERE WILL BE A
- 17 WARRANT OUT FOR THEIR ARREST. THEY WILL GET PICKED UP BY A
- 18 DEPUTY SHERIFF OR A POLICE OFFICER IN SOME CITY IN THE COUNTY.
- 19 THEY'LL SEE THAT THEY'RE-- YOU KNOW, THEY'LL BE STOPPED FOR
- 20 ANOTHER MOVING VIOLATION OR A RED LIGHT ON THE BACK OF THEIR
- 21 CAR IS OUT, THEY WILL BE-- THEY'LL RUN THEIR LICENSE ON THE
- 22 COMPUTER, THE COMPUTER WILL SAY THERE'S A WARRANT OUT FOR
- 23 THEIR ARREST BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T PAY A \$120 DOLLAR FINE, THEN
- 24 WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THEM TO A COUNTY JAIL AND THAT'S WHERE THE
- 25 TROUBLE BEGINS. I JUST MENTION THAT SOMETIMES IT TAKES AN



- 1 OUTSIDE VIEW OF THIS TO SEE WHAT THE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES
- 2 MAY BE. I STILL THINK THAT IT'S COMPELLING, I MEAN, THE
- 3 BENEFITS OUTWEIGH THE DETRIMENT BUT THIS IS SOMETHING, EVERY
- 4 TIME WE DO ONE OF THESE THINGS, IT POPS UP IN A DIFFERENT PART
- 5 OF OUR RESPONSIBILITY. ALL RIGHT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE?

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I'VE ASKED THIS QUESTION A COUPLE TIMES. I'D
- 12 JUST LIKE TO GET IT ON PUBLIC RECORD. ONE OF MY CONCERNS ABOUT
- 13 IT ORIGINALLY WAS THAT-- TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE DOLLARS ARE
- 14 COMING DIRECTLY TO THE COUNTY, THAT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO THE
- 15 STATE, WE DO NOT HAVE TO ASK FOR OUR MONEY BACK AND, WHILE
- 16 THERE ARE SOME ADMINISTRATIVE FEES IN THE SIDE OF THIS, THOSE
- 17 ARE ADMINISTRATIVE FEES WITHIN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, NOT
- 18 SOME TOP OF THE POT DOLLARS BEING TAKEN OFF BY THE STATE, IS
- 19 THAT CORRECT?

20

- 21 CAROL MEYER: THAT IS CORRECT AND THIS IS A DECISION BY THE
- 22 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION ALLOWS YOU TO
- 23 DECIDE WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS AND THE MONEY COMES
- 24 DIRECTLY TO THE COUNTY, IT DOES NOT PASS THROUGH THE STATE.



1 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: QUESTION. HOW MUCH GOES EXCLUSIVELY INTO
- 6 TRAUMA CARE?

7

- 8 CAROL MEYER: EXCLUSIVELY INTO TRAUMA CARE. WELL, CURRENTLY,
- 9 ALL OF THE HOSPITAL PORTION GOES TO TRAUMA EXCEPT FOR A VERY
- 10 SMALL IN-CUSTODY PORTION. OF THE PHYSICIAN PORTION, HOW MUCH?
- 11 14.8 GOES INTO TRAUMA-- INTO PHYSICIAN. INTO PHYSICIAN, SOME
- 12 OF WHICH IS FOR TRAUMA HOSPITALS, SOME OF IT'S NOT NON-TRAUMA
- 13 HOSPITALS.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WHAT'S THE PERCENTAGE?

16

- 17 CAROL MEYER: HE'S ASKING SPECIFICALLY FOR TRAUMA AND,
- 18 SUPERVISOR, I DON'T HAVE THAT RIGHT NOW. SPECIFICALLY FOR
- 19 TRAUMA.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT GOING TO TRAUMA.

- 23 CAROL MEYER: NO, IT'S NOT. THERE'S A PORTION OF IT GOING TO
- 24 PHYSICIANS, WHICH GOES FOR TRAUMA, AND A BIG PORTION OF THE
- 25 HOSPITAL PORTION GOES FOR TRAUMA.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW WILL THE 10% OF FUNDS FOR ADMINISTRATION

3 BE ALLOCATED?

4

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, THE 10% ALLOCATION OF FUNDS IS
- 6 LIMITED TO OUR ACTUAL COSTS. IT IS UP TO 10%, SO IT IS THE
- 7 DEPARTMENT'S GOAL TO ONLY USE THOSE FUNDS IF NECESSARY. WE'VE
- 8 HAD TWO STATE AUDITS OVER THE YEARS AND BOTH OF THOSE STATE
- 9 AUDITS HAVE FOUND OUR USE OF FUNDS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES
- 10 TO BE APPROPRIATE. ANY FUNDS THAT WE DON'T EXPEND BETWEEN THE
- 11 AMOUNT USED AND THE 10% WILL GO DIRECTLY, IT'S THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT'S INTENTION THAT THEY GO DIRECTLY TO SUPPORT THE
- 13 PHYSICIAN COMPONENT, SO...

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT PERCENT OF THE FORMULA DOES E.M.S.
- 16 HAVE DIRECT CONTROL OVER?

17

- 18 CAROL MEYER: 17% GOES FOR OTHER E.M.S. PURPOSES AND WE USE
- 19 THAT TO ENSURE THAT WE MEET STATE MANDATES, BECAUSE THE E.M.S.
- 20 AGENCY IS REQUIRED TO MEET A NUMBER OF STATE MANDATES, AND
- 21 ALSO WE'RE PLANNING TO USE THAT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
- 22 CENTRAL BASE STATION.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ARE THE COST SAVINGS TO DEVELOPING A
- 25 CENTRAL BASE STATION? AND WILL A PORTION OF THE 10% BE



- 1 UTILIZED TO FUNDS THE 26 POSITIONS THAT THE E.M.S. IS GOING TO
- 2 DEVELOP FOR THOSE?

3

- 4 CAROL MEYER: THERE'S ONLY ONE POSITION THAT WOULD BE USED OUT
- 5 OF THE 10%. THE REST IS ALL COMING OUT OF THE 17%
- 6 DISCRETIONARY E.M.S. FOR THE MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS OF THE
- 7 E.M.S. AGENCY AND THE BASE STATION. CURRENTLY, WE PAY OUR
- 8 TRAUMA CENTERS \$4 MILLION FOR THE RESPONSIBILITY OF BECOMING
- 9 BASE STATIONS AND THOSE DOLLARS COULD MORE EFFICIENTLY BE
- 10 USED, CENTRALIZED INTO ONE SINGLE BASE STATION.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THE E.M.S. ESTABLISH PROCEDURES AND TIME
- 13 SCHEDULES FOR THE SUBMISSION AND PROCESSING OF REIMBURSEMENT
- 14 CLAIMS FROM THIS FUND?

15

- 16 CAROL MEYER: YES, SUPERVISOR, WE ALREADY HAVE VERY TIGHT
- 17 PAYMENT RESPONSIBILITIES, PAYING THE PHYSICIANS WITHIN 30 TO
- 18 45 DAYS.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WILL YOU CONDUCT A NEEDS ASSESSMENT,
- 21 PEDIATRIC TRAUMA SERVICES IN THE COUNTY TO ALLOCATE THESE
- 22 RESOURCES?

- 24 CAROL MEYER: ABSOLUTELY. WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO SEEING WHAT
- 25 WE CAN DO TO EXPAND THE TRAUMA SERVICES FOR PEDIATRICS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE CALCULATIONS ARE AFTER 10%
- 3 ADMINISTRATION COSTS AND THE 15% PEDIATRIC TRAUMA AND
- 4 EMERGENCY SERVICES ARE FACTORED IN?

5

- 6 CAROL MEYER: YES. AND, AFTER THAT, 58% GOES TO PHYSICIANS, 25%
- 7 TO HOSPITALS, AND 17 TO OTHER E.M.S. PURPOSES. AND THAT'S
- 8 DEFINED BY LAW.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MY ONLY CONCERN WAS, LIKE I MENTIONED
- 11 EARLIER, WHERE THE MONEY SHOULD FOLLOW THE PATIENT AND, IF
- 12 IT'S GOING IN THE TRAUMA CARE BEING ADVERTISED AS SUCH AN
- 13 ASSESSMENT, THEN THE MONEY SHOULD GO EXCLUSIVELY FOR THAT TYPE
- 14 OF SERVICE.

15

16 CAROL MEYER: FOR TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY SERVICES.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND EMERGENCY. THOSE ARE THE QUESTIONS THAT I
- 19 HAD RAISED CONCERNS ABOUT.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
- 22 DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. IS THERE A MOTION ON THIS?

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHERE IS THE BILL NOW?

24



```
SUP. KNABE: I'LL SECOND.
2
3
    CAROL MEYER: THE BILL HAS BEEN PASSED AND WAS SIGNED BY THE
    GOVERNOR.
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT'S LAW.
6
7
8
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S BEFORE THE GOVERNOR RIGHT NOW.
9
    CAROL MEYER: NO, IT WAS SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR.
10
11
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, IT'S BEEN SIGNED.
12
13
    SUP. KNABE: HE'S ALREADY SIGNED IT.
14
15
16
    CAROL MEYER: HE'S ALREADY SIGNED IT. HE SIGNED LAST SEPTEMBER.
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT'S LAW.
19
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO ANY CHANGES IN THE PERCENTAGE WOULD HAVE
20
21
    TO BE SUBSEQUENT LEGISLATION?
22
23
   CAROL MEYER: YES, SIR.
```



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU'RE GOING TO REVIEW ON HOW THE
- 2 FORMULAS OUGHT TO BE REVISED AFTER THIS 17-MONTH EXPERIMENT?

3

- 4 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CERTAINLY, WE WILL BE EVALUATING THIS
- 5 EXPERIENCE.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: I'LL SECOND IT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THERE'S A MOTION AND A
- 10 SECOND. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION? IF NOT, UNANIMOUS VOTE. THANK
- 11 YOU. THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO TESTIFIED THIS MORNING AS WELL.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: IT'S JUST FOR YOU TO DO YOUR ADJOURNMENTS AND GO
- 14 ON TO THE LAST ITEM, WHICH IS THE MARINA.

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I APOLOGIZE FOR BEING LATE. LET ME
- 17 FIRST OF ALL ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF BOB HATTOY. I KNOW THAT
- 18 MS. MOLINA, I WAS JUST TOLD, ADJOURNED IN HIS MEMORY. BOB WAS
- 19 EMPLOYED IN OUR OFFICE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IN THE LATE
- 20 1970S. IT WAS THE FIRST REAL JOB, IF YOU CALL IT A REAL JOB,
- 21 THAT HE EVER HAD, AND IT WAS A REAL JOB. HE BECAME A LEADER IN
- 22 THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SIERRA
- 23 CLUB, A LEADER IN THE GAY RIGHTS MOVEMENT AND THEN, IN EARLY
- 24 1992, HE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH H.I.V. AND A.I.D.S. PRESIDENT
- 25 CLINTON, AT THAT TIME GOVERNOR CLINTON, ASKED HIM TO ADDRESS



- 1 THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION ABOUT HIS SITUATION, ABOUT
- 2 HIS ILLNESS AND I REMEMBER THE SPEECH LIKE IT WAS YESTERDAY
- 3 WHEN BOB UTTERED THE WORDS, "VOTE AS THOUGH YOUR LIFE DEPENDS
- 4 ON IT, BECAUSE MINE DOES." AND YOU COULD HEAR A PIN DROP IN
- 5 THE CONVENTION HALL. HE WAS ONE OF THE MOST BRILLIANT PEOPLE I
- 6 EVER GOT TO KNOW. FUNNY, ACERBIC, YOU DIDN'T WANT TO BE AT THE
- 7 RECEIVING END OF HIS BARBS BECAUSE THEY WERE BRUTALLY TRUE AND
- 8 HE WAS-- HE SURVIVED A LONG TIME WITH A.I.D.S. AND FINALLY IT
- 9 GOT THE BETTER OF HIM ON SUNDAY MORNING AND HE WILL BE MISSED
- 10 BY A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY AND ACROSS THE COUNTRY.
- 11 SO I'LL JOIN IN THAT ADJOURNING MOTION. ALSO I ASK THAT WE
- 12 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF WEN CHANG FAN, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE
- 13 AGE OF 86, A SCHOLAR OF CLASSICAL CHINESE AND A STUDENT OF
- 14 HISTORY, LOVER OF OPERA. HE WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY HIS
- 15 FAMILY AND FRIENDS. HE IS SURVIVED BY THREE DAUGHTERS, FANNIE,
- 16 ANNA AND MARGARET LEE, WHO'S A MEMBER OF OUR COUNTY FAMILY
- 17 EMPLOYED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. HE'S ALSO
- 18 SURVIVED BY TWO SONS, NIN AND FELIX AND SEVEN GRANDCHILDREN.
- 19 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF EVA SNIDER, LONGSTANDING AND
- 20 VALUED MEMBER OF THE COUNTY FAMILY WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 21 OF 55, AFTER A COURAGEOUS BUT DIFFICULT BATTLE WITH LEUKEMIA.
- 22 SHE BEGAN HER COUNTY CAREER IN NOVEMBER OF 1971, RETIRED THIS
- 23 PAST FEBRUARY, SERVING THROUGHOUT WITH DEDICATION AND
- 24 DISTINCTION IN POSITIONS OF INCREASING RESPONSIBILITY IN A
- 25 NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTS. MOST RECENTLY, SHE SERVED MANY YEARS IN



- 1 THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, ULTIMATELY RETIRING FROM
- 2 THEIR BUDGET DIVISION. SHE WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY ALL THOSE
- 3 WHO WERE FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO WORK WITH HER. SHE IS SURVIVED BY
- 4 HER HUSBAND, STEVE, A SON AND DAUGHTER, AND A BROTHER AND A
- 5 SISTER. AND I DON'T KNOW IF DON KNABE DID THIS, VAN BARBIERI,
- 6 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF DAN-- VAN BARBIERI, WHO
- 7 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 91, SURVIVED BY HIS SON, A GOOD
- 8 FRIEND OF OURS, JOHN BARBIERI, FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT OF THE
- 9 NATIONAL RESOURCES CORPORATION AND VICE CHAIR OF THE CHARITY
- 10 GROUP, PEACE FOR KIDS. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS.
- 11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE. THE LAST ITEM WE HAVE ON
- 12 THE AGENDA IS THE MARINA ITEM. WHAT NUMBER IS IT?

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ITEM 69.

13

15

17

21

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ITEM 69.

18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IF I COULD HAVE ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO

- 19 TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT
- 20 HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [ADMINISTERING OATH]
- 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE SEATED. ON ITEM 69,
- 23 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE DE
- 24 NOVO HEARING ON PROJECT NUMBER R2005-00234-4, COASTAL
- 25 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT CASE NUMBER T2005-0002-4, VARIANCE AND



- 1 PARKING PERMIT CASE NUMBERS T2005-00004-4 AND ENVIRONMENTAL
- 2 IMPACT REPORT TO AUTHORIZE THE DEMOLITION OF AN EXISTING 202-
- 3 UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND SUBSEQUENT CONSTRUCTION OF A 544-
- 4 UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX IN THE RESIDENTIAL FIVE CATEGORY OF THE
- 5 MARINA DEL REY SPECIFIC PLAN LOCATED AT 4201 VIA MARINA WITHIN
- 6 THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF MARINA DEL REY, PLAYA DEL REY
- 7 ZONE DISTRICT, APPLIED FOR BY DAVID LEVINE, ON BEHALF OF DEL
- 8 REY SHORES JOINT VENTURE.

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE WAS PRESENTED ON

13 THIS MATTER.

9

11

14

20

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. HOW DO WE WANT TO

- 16 HANDLE THIS? DO WE WANT TO HAVE A PRESENTATION FROM THE STAFF
- 17 FIRST AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, THE
- 18 APPELLANTS AND THE APPLICANT? IS THAT FAIR ENOUGH? GO AHEAD.
- 19 IDENTIFY YOURSELF, PLEASE.
- 21 RUSSELL FRICANO: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, GOOD
- 22 AFTERNOON. I'M RUSSELL FRICANO OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 23 PLANNING. TO MY LEFT IS BARRY CURTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 24 BEACHES AND HARBORS AND TO HIS LEFT IS JAMES CHON OF THE
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THIS IS AN APPEAL OF THE REGIONAL



- 1 PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL OF PROJECT NUMBER 2005-00234.
- 2 THIS CASE CONSISTS OF A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, PARKING
- 3 PERMIT AND A VARIANCE. THE APPLICANT, DEL REY SHORES JOINT
- 4 VENTURE, FILED A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE THE
- 5 DEMOLITION OF AN EXISTING 202-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND
- 6 SUBSECUENT CONSTRUCTION OF A 544 UNIT APARTMENT IN THE
- 7 RESIDENTIAL FIVE CATEGORY OF THE MARINA DEL REY SPECIFIC PLAN.
- 8 THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 4201 VIA MARINA, MARINA DEL
- 9 REY. IT IS LOCATED ON MARINA PARCELS 100 AND 101 IN THE PLAYA
- 10 DE REY ZONED DISTRICT. THE PROPOSAL CONSISTS OF 12 BUILDINGS,
- 11 75 FEET IN HEIGHT, FOUR STORIES OF APARTMENTS OVER TWO LEVELS
- 12 OF PARKING, WITH ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES ON THE ROOF OF THE
- 13 BUILDING, EXTENDING APPROXIMATELY 25 FEET ABOVE THE ROOFLINE.
- 14 A PARKING PERMIT HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO UTILIZE COMPACT PARKING
- 15 AND A TOTAL OF 1,088 PARKING SPACES WILL BE PROVIDED WITHIN
- 16 THE PARKING STRUCTURE AND 10 PARKING SPACES OUTSIDE, FOR A
- 17 TOTAL OF 1,098 PARKING SPACES ON SITE. THE APPLICANT HAS ALSO
- 18 REQUESTED A VARIANCE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF
- 19 SIGNAGE IN EXCESS OF COUNTY CODE REOUIREMENTS. THE APPLICANT
- 20 INITIALLY REQUESTED TO PAY AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN LIEU FEE
- 21 INSTEAD OF PROVIDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS FOR THE PROPOSED
- 22 APARTMENT. THE APPLICANT SUBSEQUENTLY WITHDREW THIS REQUEST
- 23 AND HAS AGREED TO PROVIDE 54 AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. THESE
- 24 INCLUDE 17 INCLUSIONARY UNITS FOR VERY LOW INCOME TENANTS AND
- 25 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS FOR MODERATE INCOME TENANTS. THE REGIONAL



- 1 PLANNING COMMISSION HELD FOUR PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THIS REQUEST
- 2 ON JANUARY 25TH, 2006; MARCH 1ST, 2006; APRIL 19TH, 2006; AND
- 3 JUNE 7TH OF 2006. THE FIRST PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE REGIONAL
- 4 PLANNING COMMISSION ON JANUARY 25TH PROVIDED AN OPPORTUNITY
- 5 FOR THE COUNTY TO REASSESS ITS AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY FOR
- 6 MARINA DEL REY. CONSEQUENTLY, ON APRIL 4TH, 2006, THE BOARD OF
- 7 SUPERVISORS ADOPTED A MOTION THAT INSTRUCTED THE CHIEF
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS TO FORM AND LEAD A TASK FORCE. THIS
- 9 TASK FORCE WAS COMPRISED OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 10 PLANNING, THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AND COUNTY
- 11 COUNSEL, WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BEACHES
- 12 AND HARBORS. THE PURPOSE OF THE TASK FORCE WAS TO REVIEW THE
- 13 COUNTY'S CURRENT AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY FOR MARINA DEL REY
- 14 AND RECOMMEND REVISIONS NECESSARY TO ENSURE CONSISTENCY WITH
- 15 THE MELLO ACT. AS FURTHER DELAY WOULD CAUSE A HARDSHIP TO THE
- 16 APPLICANT, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ALSO AUTHORIZED, AS PART
- 17 OF ITS MOTION, FOR THIS PROJECT TO PROCEED PRIOR TO FORMAL
- 18 ADOPTION OF THE NEW POLICY, BASED ON SITE REPLACEMENT AND
- 19 INCLUSIONARY UNIT REOUIREMENTS. FOLLOWING PROVISIONS OF THE
- 20 MELLO ACT, THE APPLICANT CONDUCTED DETAILED INCOME SURVEYS OF
- 21 EXISTING APARTMENT TENANTS THAT RESIDED ON THE SUBJECT
- 22 PROPERTY TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY OF THE EXISTING DWELLING
- 23 UNITS WERE ELIGIBLE FOR REPLACEMENT, PURSUANT TO MELLO ACT
- 24 REQUIREMENTS. BASED UPON THIS ANALYSIS, THE APPLICANT
- 25 DETERMINED THAT 38 UNITS QUALIFIED AS REPLACEMENT UNITS. THE



- 1 APPLICANT ALSO PROPOSED 17 INCLUSIONARY UNITS IN ADDITION TO
- 2 THE 38 REPLACEMENT UNITS. THE 38 REPLACEMENT UNITS WERE
- 3 PROPOSED FOR MODERATE INCOME AND THE 17 INCLUSIONARY UNITS
- 4 WERE PROPOSED FOR VERY INCOME HOUSEHOLDS. THE COUNTY
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
- 6 COMMISSION STAFF INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWED AND DETERMINED VALID
- 7 THE METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS FOR THIS TENANT INCOME SURVEY.
- 8 AND, IN A LETTER DATED MAY 30TH OF 2006 TO REGIONAL PLANNING,
- 9 THE LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION CONFIRMED
- 10 THAT IT HAD CONDUCTED ON AUDIT OF THE TENANT SURVEY
- 11 INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY DEL REY SHORES. THE C.D.C. HIRED AN
- 12 INDEPENDENT CONSULTING FIRM, DRA INCORPORATED, TO REVIEW ALL
- 13 FILES WITH THE C.D.C. STAFF AND 37 MODERATE INCOME REPLACEMENT
- 14 UNITS WERE RECOMMENDED. THROUGHOUT THE HEARING PROCESS, STAFF
- 15 RECEIVED LETTERS AND EMAIL IN FAVOR AND IN OPPOSITION TO THIS
- 16 REQUEST. OPPOSITION COMMENTS INCLUDED CONCERNS ABOUT HEIGHT
- 17 AND VISUAL IMPACTS, EXCESSIVE DENSITY, INCREASED TRAFFIC
- 18 CONGESTION, NOISE, TRAFFIC SAFETY, IMPACTS ON LOCAL CHARACTER,
- 19 INADEQUATE PARKING, IMPACTS ON LOCAL SCHOOLS AND HOSPITALS,
- 20 POTENTIAL OVERCROWDING OF THE PROPOSED UNITS, THE ACCURACY OF
- 21 THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT AND OBJECTIONS TO THE ORIGINAL IN
- 22 LIEU FEE PROPOSAL. CONCERNS WERE ALSO EXPRESSED REGARDING
- 23 PROPOSED GRADING AND THE PROVISION OF A FIRE SAFETY PLAN AND
- 24 COMPLIANCE WITH THE MELLO ACT AND ALSO ISSUES REGARDING
- 25 CONSISTENCY WITH THE MARINA DEL REY LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM. THE



- 1 COMMISSION DETERMINED THAT THE APPLICANT HAD RESPONDED
- 2 SATISFACTORILY TO EACH OF THE ABOVE ISSUES AND THE
- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE
- 4 MITIGATION MONITORING PLAN OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.
- 5 THE PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING WAS REVIEWED BY COUNTY
- 6 DEPARTMENTS AND FOUND COMPLIANT WITH MELLO ACT REOUIREMENTS
- 7 AND THE APPLICANT ALSO PROVIDED FURTHER INFORMATION AND
- 8 TESTIMONY RESPONDING TO THE REMAINING ISSUES. THE COMMISSION
- 9 DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT WAS COMPLIANT WITH THE APPLICABLE
- 10 PROVISIONS OF THE MARINA DEL REY LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AND THE
- 11 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED THE REQUEST AND
- 12 CERTIFIED THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT ON DECEMBER 13TH, 2006.
- 13 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL WAS APPEALED BY
- 14 PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL AND RICHARD FINE ON
- 15 JANUARY 11TH, 2007. IN THE TRANSMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF
- 16 SUPERVISORS, STAFF HAS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD SUSTAIN THE
- 17 APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT AS RECOMMENDED BY THE REGIONAL
- 18 PLANNING COMMISSION AND WE RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD HEAR
- 19 TESTIMONY AND STAFF FROM REGIONAL PLANNING AND OTHER COUNTY
- 20 DEPARTMENTS ARE HERE TO FURTHER ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS THE
- 21 BOARD MAY HAVE. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ANYBODY ELSE FROM THE

24 STAFF WANT TO MAKE A PRESENTATION?

25



1 RUSSELL FRICANO: NOT AT THIS POINT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NOT AT THIS POINT. ALL RIGHT. WHAT
- 4 IS THE APPROPRIATE ORDER? SHOULD WE TAKE THE APPLICANT OR THE
- 5 APPELLANT FIRST?

6

- 7 RICK WEISS, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S REALLY IN YOUR
- 8 DISCRETION. I KNOW THAT THE APPELLANT HAS REQUESTED TO GO
- 9 FIRST AND THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. I BELIEVE THE APPLICANT
- 10 HAS NO OBJECTION TO THAT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, THEN, WE HAVE TWO
- 13 APPELLANTS, DO WE NOT?

14

15 RICK WEISS, COUNSEL: YES.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT MY CARDS ARE NOT SEGREGATED AS
- 18 TO THE TWO APPELLANTS. WHO ARE THE TWO APPELLANTS?

19

- 20 RUSSELL FRICANO: THE APPELLANTS ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF PEOPLE
- 21 ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL AND ALSO RICHARD FINE, WHO
- 22 REPRESENTS THE MARINA STRAND COUNTY.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE MARINA STRAND...



- 1 RUSSELL FRICANO: IT'S A CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT THAT IS
- 2 WESTERLY OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. LET'S ASK MR. FINE.
- 5 THIS IS YOUR LUCKY DAY. YOU'RE FIRST. AND DO YOU HAVE ANY OF
- 6 YOUR CLIENTS WITH YOU OR THAT YOU WANT TO BRING WITH YOU,
- 7 THAT'S FINE, TOO. PLEASE HAVE A SEAT. ALL RIGHT. HAVE ALL OF
- 8 YOU FILLED OUT A CARD AND ASKED TO SPEAK? ARE YOU GOING TO
- 9 SPEAK FOR ALL OF THEM, MR. FINE?

10

11 RICHARD FINE: NO, I'M GOING TO SPEAK FIRST...

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S THREE MINUTES
- 14 EACH.

- 16 RICHARD FINE: THREE MINUTES EACH, YES. FIRST OF ALL, ON BEHALF
- 17 OF MY CLIENTS, THE MARINA STRAND COLONY II HOMEOWNERS
- 18 ASSOCIATION, I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY OF
- 19 BEING ABLE TO ADDRESS YOU AND TO PRESENT THIS APPEAL. LATE--
- 20 OR EARLY THIS MORNING, WE FAXED A LETTER TO MR. FRICANO, WHO
- 21 IS I BELIEVE IS ARRANGING, IF HE HASN'T ALREADY, TO HAVE THE
- 22 LETTER DISTRIBUTED TO YOU SO THAT YOU'LL HAVE A LOT OF OUR
- 23 PRESENTATION IN WRITING. THE BASIC POINTS OF THE APPEAL ARE
- 24 PUT FORTH IN BOTH THE APPEAL DOCUMENT, WHICH WAS FILED ON
- 25 JANUARY 11TH AND THAT HAS A DECEMBER 10TH, 2006 LETTER



- 1 ATTACHED TO IT, AS WELL AS THE LETTER DATED MARCH 5TH, 2007,
- 2 WHICH WILL BE DISTRIBUTED TO YOU IF YOU ALREADY DON'T HAVE IT.
- 3 I'M GOING TO SUMMARIZE THIS VERY SIMPLY. THIS PROJECT IS A
- 4 COMBINATION OF TWO THINGS: ONE, IT IS A TREMENDOUS EFFECT UPON
- 5 THE PEOPLE THAT ARE LIVING IN THE COMMUNITY, COMBINED WITH A
- 6 VIOLATION OF THE LCP, THE LUP AND THE COUNTY CODE. AND THAT
- 7 BREAKS DOWN INTO VARIOUS ASPECTS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE IN
- 8 THE PAPERS BUT PRIMARILY IT COMES DOWN TO SOME OF THE MOST
- 9 IMPORTANT THINGS. THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN THE MARINA, AS
- 10 YOU KNOW RIGHT NOW, IS TRAFFIC AND THE TRAFFIC, WHEN YOU LOOK
- 11 AT THE BASIC THINGS IN THE TRAFFIC AND YOU LOOK AT THE
- 12 DEVELOPMENT, THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE MARINA HAS EXCEEDED THE
- 13 50% LEVEL WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE DEVELOPMENT THAT HAS OCCURRED
- 14 AND THE DEVELOPMENT THAT IS OCCURRING BASED UPON THE FEBRUARY
- 15 15TH, 2007 RELEASE THAT CAME FROM, I BELIEVE, THE DEPARTMENT
- 16 OF BEACHES AND HARBORS. BASED UPON THAT, YOU CANNOT HAVE ANY
- 17 MORE DEVELOPMENT UNLESS THE PLANS ARE APPROVED AND FUNDED FOR
- 18 ALL THE ROADWAYS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. THAT HASN'T HAPPENED
- 19 WITH RESPECT TO THIS PROJECT. THE OTHER THING THAT YOU HAVE
- 20 WITH RESPECT TO TRAFFIC IS THAT THE E.I.R. WAS BASED UPON 1991
- 21 AND 1994 STATISTICS. THERE ARE LATER STATISTICS WHICH ARE
- 22 COMING FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF
- 23 TRANSPORTATION. THESE STATISTICS WILL SHOW THAT THE ACTUAL
- 24 INCREASE IN TRAFFIC SHOWS THAT THERE IS GREATER THAN 3,000 NEW
- 25 CARS THAT ARE COMING DOWN ON LINCOLN BOULEVARD. THAT RUNS YOU



- 1 OVER THE CAP. THAT'S ONE PART OF IT. THE OTHER PART IS THAT,
- 2 WITH A BUILDING GOING UP THIS HIGH, YOU HAVE LITERALLY CREATED
- 3 A CAVERN IN THE MARINA WHICH IS ALMOST A HUNDRED-FOOT WALL
- 4 THAT IS GOING RIGHT UP AGAINST THE 68 HOMEOWNERS THAT ARE NEXT
- 5 DOOR. BASICALLY, WHAT YOU'VE DONE IS YOU'VE CREATED A SLUM,
- 6 BECAUSE A HUNDRED-FOOT WALL WITH WIND, DUST, NO SUNLIGHT,
- 7 YOU'RE IN A SLUM SITUATION, THE CANYONS OF NEW YORK CITY IN
- 8 LOS ANGELES. I'M GOING TO STOP AT THOSE TWO POINTS BECAUSE
- 9 THAT IS...

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THE HEIGHT A VIOLATION, IN YOUR
- 12 OPINION, OF THE PLAN?

13

- 14 RICHARD FINE: NO, THE HEIGHT ITSELF IS NOT A VIOLATION OF THE
- 15 WAY THAT THE PLAN IS SET UP, BECAUSE THE WAY THE PLAN IS SET
- 16 UP IS THAT YOU CAN GO 75-- YOU CAN GO 225 FEET UP FROM GRADE
- 17 BUT WHAT REALLY HAPPENS HERE IS THAT THE ONLY BUILDINGS THAT
- 18 ARE TALL IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA ARE KINGSWOOD, WHICH IS TO
- 19 THE NORTH...

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I JUST WANTED TO ASK-- THE ONLY
- 22 QUESTION I ASKED YOU, IS DO YOU-- STAFF BELIEVES, AS I
- 23 UNDERSTAND IT, THAT THE HEIGHT IN THE PLAN IS-- THAT THE PLAN
- 24 THAT THE DEVELOPER HAS PUT FORWARD IS CONSISTENT WITH A PLAN
- 25 FOR THE MARINA. DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT?



1

- 2 RICHARD FINE: IT'S CONSISTENT-- I AGREE BUT-- IT'S CONSISTENT
- 3 BUT STAFF HAS ALSO STATED THAT IS ALSO INCONSISTENT WITH THE
- 4 SURROUNDING AREA AND THE ONLY THING THAT YOU HAVE COMING IN IS
- 5 THAT YOU HAVE A WOOD FIN PROJECT THAT IS ON THE DRAWING BOARDS
- 6 RIGHT NOW WHICH WOULD PUT UP A 225 FOOT HOTEL, YOU KNOW,
- 7 SOMEWHERE TO THE EAST OF THIS BUT, RIGHT NOW, THE ONLY THING
- 8 THAT IS BIGGER THAN THIS IS KINGSWOOD AND THAT'S TO THE NORTH.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HAS STAFF SAID-- I HAVE TWO
- 11 QUESTIONS OF THE STAFF. ONE IS ON THE TRAFFIC AND ONE IS WHAT
- 12 MR. FINE JUST SAID.

13

- 14 RUSSELL FRICANO: I BELIEVE MR. FINE IS QUOTING FROM THE
- 15 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, THE PORTION THAT DEALS WITH SHADING
- 16 IMPACTS. THERE IS A PROJECT, THE ARCHSTONE THAT IS LOCATE
- 17 NORTHERLY THAT IS BUILT TO THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED IN THE MARINA
- 18 LCP. DEVELOPMENT TO THE SOUTH AND TO THE WEST IS LOWER IN
- 19 HEIGHT. BUT THE PROJECT -- I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THE PROJECT
- 20 IS 75 FEET ABOVE GRADE. THERE ARE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES THAT
- 21 ARE 25% THAT ARE DISBURSED ALONG THE ROOF. THIS DOES NOT
- 22 CREATE A 100-FOOT WALL. IT IS 75 FEET ABOVE GRADE, PRIMARILY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DID YOUR STAFF MAKE THE FINDING
- 25 THAT THE PROJECT WAS INCONSISTENT?

1

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



2 RUSSELL FRICANO: WE DID NOT. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DID YOU USE THE WORD INCONSISTENT 4 5 IN THE ITERATION? 6 RUSSELL FRICANO: I DON'T BELIEVE WE DID THAT. I DON'T BELIEVE 7 8 WE USED THE WORD INCONSISTENT THERE. 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I ASK YOU ABOUT THE TRAFFIC? 10 11 BECAUSE THIS HAS COME UP IN LETTERS THAT WE'VE GOTTEN AND WE MIGHT AS WELL GET IT OUT ON THE TABLE NOW. IS THE TRAFFIC 12 STUDY BASED ON 1991 OR 1994 FIGURES? WHAT ARE THE -- AND, IF 13 NOT, WHEN WERE THE TRAFFIC FIGURES THAT THAT ANALYSIS IS BASED 14 15 ON GENERATED? 16 JAMES CHON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS JAMES CHON WITH DEPARTMENT 17

- 18 OF PUBLIC WORKS, L.A. COUNTY. TRAFFIC STUDY FOR THIS PROJECT
- 19 WAS DONE IN 2005. AUGUST, 2005. IT WAS A BRAND NEW PROJECT
- 20 BASED ON THE 2005 TRAFFIC COUNTS AND THE...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHOSE COUNTS? WHO DID THE COUNTS?



- 1 JAMES CHON: IT WAS DONE BY THE TRAFFIC CONSULTANT, HIRED BY
- 2 THE APPLICANT AND IT'S SUPERVISED AND REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY
- 3 STAFF.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT, MR. FINE?

6

- 7 RICHARD FINE: YES, I AM BUT THE-- WHEN I SEE THE FIGURES, WHAT
- 8 THEY'RE USING IS THEY'RE USING THE DKS STUDY OF 1991, WHICH
- 9 WAS UPDATED IN 1994, WHICH IS THE BASIS OF WHAT THEY'RE USING
- 10 AS THEIR PROJECTIONS AS THE GROWTH IN THE COUNTY. AND THAT HAS
- 11 A .6 GROWTH FIGURE FOR AMBIENT GROWTH WITHIN THE COUNTY, WHICH
- 12 IS TOTALLY AND COMPLETELY INCORRECT AND IT HAS...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. I GET THE PICTURE.
- 15 WHAT...

- 17 JAMES CHON: THAT IS INCORRECT. TRAFFIC STUDY WAS DONE PRETTY
- 18 MUCH FROM GROUND UP. WE DID NOT RELY ON THE TKS STUDY, AS MR.
- 19 FINE REFERRED TO. TRAFFIC STUDY WAS BASICALLY BASED ON TRAFFIC
- 20 COUNTS THAT WERE CONDUCTED IN 2005 AND ALSO WE USED THE
- 21 AMBIENT GROWTH OF .6%, WHICH IS RECOMMENDED IN THE LOCAL
- 22 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM TO BE USED TO PROJECT TRAFFIC
- 23 VOLUMES FOR TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDIES. AND ALSO WE'VE CONSIDERED
- 24 TO BE CONSERVATIVE KNOWN RELATED PROJECTS TO PROJECT THE
- 25 FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES TO EVALUATE THIS PROJECT'S IMPACT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. FINE.
- 3 NEXT IS DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB.

- 5 DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB: I'M DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB. I'M A
- 6 PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS AND I WOULD GIVE THE GRADE FOR THE
- 7 E.I.R. AN "F", F.E.I.R. BASED ON THE MATHEMATICAL REASONING.
- 8 AND WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS START OUT WITH A SOMEWHAT ABSTRACT
- 9 PROPOSITION, WHICH I HOPE TO EXPLAIN TO YOU, AND THEN I'LL
- 10 SHOW YOU EXAMPLES AND, IF YOU APPLY THIS TO MOST OF THE THINGS
- 11 THAT ARE MENTIONED, YOU'LL SEE THAT THEY FIT INTO THIS KIND OF
- 12 EXAMPLE. WHAT WE START OUT WITH IS A RIDICULOUS CONCLUSION
- 13 THAT THE STAFF SETS UP A METHODOLOGY WHICH LEADS TO RIDICULOUS
- 14 CONCLUSIONS. SORRY. THE COUNTY STAFF APPLIES UNWARRANTED
- 15 PRECISION TO METHODOLOGY WHICH REACHES RIDICULOUS CONCLUSIONS
- 16 WHICH-- BY THROWING AWAY TOO MUCH INFORMATION. AND THEN THEY
- 17 CALCULATE THE NUMBERS INCORRECTLY. NOW, IN THE PARTICULAR
- 18 EXAMPLE I WANT TO LOOK AT, THE RIDICULOUS CONCLUSION IS THAT
- 19 THE LAND SOUTH OF THE SHORES PROJECT IS VACANT. EVEN A BIRD
- 20 CAN TELL IT IS NOT VACANT. YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE A PH.D. IN
- 21 MATHEMATICS. HOW DID THEY ARRIVE AT THIS? THEY MADE A
- 22 UNWARRANTED PRECISION. SOUTH BECOMES DUE SOUTH, YOU MEASURE
- 23 DUE SOUTH, YOU DON'T LOOK ANYWHERE. THE METHODOLOGY IS TO
- 24 MEASURE DUE SOUTH FOR 500 FEET, ACCORDING TO A HIGH LEVEL
- 25 STAFF MEMBER WHO TOLD ME THIS, AND THE INCORRECT CALCULATION



- 1 IS ARE THESE-- THERE ARE CONDOS, OUR SISTER CONDO IS WITHIN
- 2 500 FEET FROM ALL DIRECTIONS DUE SOUTH OF THIS. SO THIS IS
- 3 VERY OBVIOUS AND ONE CAN SEE IT IN THE TRAFFIC STUDY THAT YOU
- 4 WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT. THE COUNTY HAS SOME VERY SPECIFIC
- 5 CONCEPT OF .6 AMBIENT GROWTH, WHICH THEY PERSIST AND THEY
- 6 ACTUALLY ARE DEFENDING STATEMENTS WHICH SAY THAT THE TRAFFIC
- 7 ALONG LINCOLN BOULEVARD IS GETTING SMALL-- LIGHTER AND LIGHTER
- 8 BECAUSE PEOPLE AREN'T MOVING INTO THE PLAYA VISTA APARTMENTS
- 9 OR THINGS LIKE THAT. IN FACT, ANYBODY WHO LIVES THERE KNOWS
- 10 THAT TRAFFIC GETS HARDER AND HARDER AND WE LOOKED AT THE DATA
- 11 FROM CALTRANS AND L.A. DOT AND WE CAN SEE THAT THE PERCENTAGE
- 12 GOING UP OVER THE YEARS IS MORE LIKE 4.6 INSTEAD OF JUST .6
- 13 AND SO WE HAVE EVIDENCE THAT WAY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. WHERE ARE YOU A
- 16 PROFESSOR? WHICH UNIVERSITY?

17

- 18 DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB: I'M A RETIRED PROFESSOR FROM PURDUE
- 19 UNIVERSITY.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PURDUE?

22

23 DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB: I'M A GUEST AT UCLA NOW.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE A BOILERMAKER?



DANIEL HENRY GOTTLIEB: YEAH.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR BEING
- 5 HERE. EUGENE HABERMAN. MR. HABERMAN. OKAY.

6

- 7 EUGENE HABERMAN: YES, MAY I HAVE A MOMENT TO PUT UP SOME
- 8 CHARTS BEFORE YOU START COUNTING ME?

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

11

- 12 EUGENE HABERMAN: EACH OF YOU, I WROTE A LETTER TO YOU A WEEK
- 13 AGO AND EACH OF YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT LETTER AND ATTACHMENTS
- 14 IN FRONT OF YOU IN CASE YOU CAN'T READ THE CHARTS.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHY DON'T YOU SIT DOWN AND USE THE
- 17 MIKE AND WE'LL START YOUR TIME.

- 19 EUGENE HABERMAN: EACH OF YOU HAS A COPY OF A LETTER THAT I
- 20 WROTE A WEEK AGO THAT HAS COPIES OF THE CHARTS, BUS IT'S
- 21 PRETTY OBVIOUS THAT YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO READ SOME OF THE
- 22 CHART INFORMATION. WHAT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH, IS THE CHART
- 23 THAT SHOWS THE MARINA. NOW, WE'RE LOOKING AT THE PROJECT HERE
- 24 AND WE'RE LOOKING AT TRAFFIC ON LINCOLN BOULEVARD PRIMARILY
- 25 BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE THE STATISTICS COME FROM. WHAT I'M GOING



- 1 TO TELL YOU IS YOU KNOW-- YOU KNOW THAT THE TRAFFIC IN THE
- 2 MARINA AREA IS TERRIBLE AND GROWING WORSE EVERY DAY. I THINK
- 3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WILL TESTIFY TO WEST SIDE TRAFFIC
- 4 PROBLEMS. MOST OF THE TRAFFIC IS NOT UNDER YOUR CONTROL. WE
- 5 RECOGNIZE THAT. IT COMES FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IT
- 6 COMES FROM PLAYA VISTA BUT THE WHEELS ON THE ROAD ARE WHEELS
- 7 ON THE ROAD REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU PUT THE WHEELS ON THE
- 8 ROAD THROUGH YOUR DEVELOPMENT OR THEY PUT THE WHEELS ON THE
- 9 ROAD THROUGH THEIR DEVELOPMENT. AND THIS IS WHAT THE PROBLEM
- 10 IS. NOW, WE TALKED ABOUT THE FLAWS IN THE STUDY, WE TALK ABOUT
- 11 THE .6%. YOU HAVE CHARTS IN FRONT OF YOU THAT SHOW GROWTH
- 12 PERCENTAGES THAT ARE 4% AND 6%, SOME OF THEM ARE 5.5% PER
- 13 MONTH, NOT PER YEAR. THESE ARE FIGURES FROM THE CITY OF LOS
- 14 ANGELES, THESE ARE FIGURES FROM THE CALTRANS BECAUSE LINCOLN
- 15 BOULEVARD IS CALTRANS AND THESE ARE THE KINDS OF PROBLEMS THAT
- 16 WE HAVE. THE NUMBERS-- THE MODEL MAY BE CORRECT BUT THE
- 17 NUMBERS THAT ARE BEING PUMPED INTO IT ARE INCORRECT. I THINK
- 18 THE STUDY THAT-- THE TRAFFIC STUDY THAT THE DEVELOPER DID WAS
- 19 PROBABLY OKAY BUT USING THE GROWTH NUMBERS THAT ARE PRESCRIBED
- 20 BY THE COUNTY IS NOT OKAY BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT REAL, THEY JUST
- 21 RECOGNIZE WHAT COMES OUT OF THE MARINA. THE WHOLE TRAFFIC
- 22 PATTERN ON THE WEST SIDE HAS CHANGED. PEOPLE USE ADMIRALTY WAY
- 23 AS A SHORTCUT BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT TO GO TO LINCOLN AND
- 24 WASHINGTON BECAUSE THAT'S THE THIRD WORST INTERSECTION IN THE
- 25 WHOLE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND IT'S NOT GOING TO GET ANY



- 1 BETTER. THEY ALSO USE GROWTH PROJECTIONS OF NEARBY AREAS. THEY
- 2 MISSED A THOUSAND UNITS THAT ARE BEING CONSTRUCTED CURRENTLY
- 3 IN PLAYA VISTA. THEY MISSED 550 UNITS THAT ARE BEING UNDER
- 4 CONSTRUCTED IN WHAT'S THE NEW LOFT DISTRICT, THE CITY OF L.A.,
- 5 WHICH IS LOCATED IMMEDIATELY TO THE EAST OF THE
- 6 LINCOLN/WASHINGTON INTERSECTION AND THERE ARE GOING TO BE
- 7 1,500 UNITS IN THAT AREA. SO THERE ARE MORE UNITS CURRENTLY
- 8 UNDERWAY AND PLANNED IN THE CITY PART THAN THE COUNTY PART,
- 9 AND YOU CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT THAT RIGHT NOW BUT WHAT WE
- 10 NEED IS A GOOD TRAFFIC STUDY THAT'S DONE BY THE CITY AND THE
- 11 COUNTY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION THAT TAKES INTO
- 12 ACCOUNT THE NEW TRAFFIC PATTERNS, THE NEW GROWTH RATES. FOR
- 13 EXAMPLE, THE RATE THAT'S USED TO COMPUTE THE HOURS, THE
- 14 TRANSPORTATION DURING THE PEAK HOUR IS NOT ACCURATE. THE
- 15 DEPARTMENT, THE CHART THAT YOU HAVE THERE SHOWS THAT THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CHANGED THEIR TRAFFIC GENERATION
- 17 RATES BY 15% BETWEEN 19-- BETWEEN 2002 AND 2004. SO TRAFFIC
- 18 MODELS MAY BE PERFECT BUT THE NUMBERS THAT ARE BEING PUT ON
- 19 ARE IMPERFECT, AND WE ASK YOU TO DO A STUDY BEFORE YOU GO ANY
- 20 FURTHER TO GENERATE THESE NEW PROJECTS. [APPLAUSE]

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. DANIEL CHRISTY. MR.
- 23 CHRISTY.

24

25 DANIEL CHRISTY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THEN NANCY VERNON MARINO, IS
- 3 SHE HERE, WILL BE NEXT. GO AHEAD, MR. CHRISTY.

- 5 DANIEL CHRISTY: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS DANIEL CHRISTY AND
- 6 I'M A 20-YEAR RESIDENT OF THE MARINA DEL REY. I WILL ATTEMPT
- 7 NOT TO BE REDUNDANT AND TALK ABOUT THE TRAFFIC AND THE TRAFFIC
- 8 CONGESTION, ALTHOUGH I MEANT TO DO SO, BECAUSE IT'S BEEN
- 9 COVERED ALREADY SEVERAL TIMES. I WOULD LIKE, HOWEVER, TO BRING
- 10 YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT AND PUT THIS WHOLE PROJECT IN THE
- 11 PERSPECTIVE IT BELONGS INTO. I THINK WE'RE ALL STILL-- WE ALSO
- 12 HAVE FRESH IN OUR MEMORY THE EVENTS OF 9/11 AND THE
- 13 DESTRUCTION OF THE TWIN TOWERS IN NEW YORK. WELL, LADIES AND
- 14 GENTLEMEN, ACCORDING TO A STUDY THAT WAS DONE BY THE NEW
- 15 JERSEY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, IT TOOK 25,000 TRUCKLOADS TO
- 16 REMOVE ALL THE RUBBLE OF THE TWIN TOWERS, WHICH WAS LOCATED IN
- 17 APPROXIMATELY 17 ACRES OF PROPERTY. THIS PROJECT HERE THAT WE
- 18 ARE LOOKING AT, THAT THE APPLICANT IS PROPOSING, IS BASED ON I
- 19 BELIEVE 6.7 ACRES OF PROPERTY, AND THEY'RE PROPOSING TO HAVE
- 20 SOMETHING LIKE 5,430 TRUCKLOADS MOVE IN AND OUT OF THAT AREA.
- 21 NOW, THAT IS ABOUT 25% OF THE TRUCKLOADS USED TO CLEAR THE
- 22 TWIN TOWERS' RUBBLE. THAT IS THE SIZE OF THIS PROJECT. AND I
- 23 ASK YOU, CAN THE MARINA SUSTAIN SOMETHING LIKE THIS? WHAT IS
- 24 GOING TO HAPPEN TO ROADS? WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THE

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



ENVIRONMENT? WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THE RESIDENTS IN THE 1 AREA? THANK YOU. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. CHRISTY. [APPLAUSE 4 5] 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MS. MARINO. 7 8 NANCY VERNON MARINO: HI, MY NAME IS NANCY VERNON MARINO AND I 9 10 AM AN 18-YEAR RESIDENT OF MARINA DEL REY. THE FINAL E.I.R., THIS LOVELY LITTLE DOCUMENT HERE, WHICH I RECEIVED THIS 11 MORNING, DUE TO DIFFICULTIES IN ACCESSING THIS ONLINE, I THINK 12 I MENTIONED THAT EARLIER IN MY PUBLIC COMMENTS, IT DOES NOT 13 HAVE MY LETTER TO DR. FRICANO OF DECEMBER 2006, WHICH WAS 14 15 APPROXIMATELY A THREE-PAGE EMAIL RAISING QUITE A FEW DIFFERENT 16 CONCERNS. I'M AFRAID I DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THAT LETTER WITH ME TODAY. HOWEVER, DR. FRICANO DID ACKNOWLEDGE ITS RECEIPT AND 17 18 HE FURTHER REPLIED TO SOME OF ITS CONTENTS ON JANUARY 7TH AND IN LATER COMMUNICATIONS, SO I DO HAVE CERTAIN KNOWLEDGE THAT 19 IT WAS RECEIVED BY -- OR IT SHOULD BE PART OF THE PUBLIC 20 21 RECORD. IT MAKES ME WONDER WHAT ELSE MIGHT BE MISSING FROM THE 22 PUBLIC COMMENTS HERE. I ONLY HAD A CHANCE TO FIND OUT THAT IT 23 WAS MISSING THIS MORNING BECAUSE OF THE DIFFICULTY IN ACCESSING THE DOCUMENT. THE -- THIS WAS ANOTHER THING THAT WAS 24

NOT AVAILABLE ONLINE. THIS WAS HANDED TO ME THIS MORNING, 62



- 1 PAGES OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING
- 2 CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING THE SHORES APARTMENT COMMUNITY
- 3 PROJECT. THIS, I WAS TOLD BECAME AVAILABLE, I'M TRYING TO
- 4 REMEMBER IF IT WAS YESTERDAY OR EARLY THIS MORNING BUT THEY
- 5 HANDED IT TO ME, THIS JUST CAME OUT AND YET I WAS TOLD BY DR.
- 6 FRICANO THAT THIS IS INDEED PART OF THE FINAL E.I.R. REPORT.
- 7 SO, IN THE LAST HOUR AND A HALF, I'VE HAD THE PLEASURE OF
- 8 TRYING TO GET THROUGH ALL OF THIS IN ORDER TO TRY TO HAVE SOME
- 9 INTELLIGENT RESPONSE TO THIS PROJECT. I THINK THERE ARE SOME
- 10 VERY DISTINGUISHED PROFESSIONALS HERE WHO HAVE BROUGHT TO YOUR
- 11 ATTENTION OMISSIONS, BOTH OF-- EXCUSE ME, ERRORS OF OMISSION
- 12 AND ERRORS OF FACT WITH THE UNDERLYING FACTS AND FIGURES USED
- 13 TO DETERMINE WHAT KIND OF DEVELOPMENT CAN GO HERE. THIS IS NOT
- 14 UNIQUE TO THIS PROJECT. IN FACT, IT GOES TO ALL PROJECTS IN
- 15 MARINA DEL REY AND THE PUBLIC HAS BEEN TRYING TO CALL THE
- 16 ATTENTION OF THE SUPERVISORS TO THE NEED FOR ACCURATE DATA,
- 17 NUMBER ONE, AND A MASTER PLAN TO INCORPORATE THIS DATA IN
- 18 MAKING THESE DETERMINATIONS SO THAT REDEVELOPMENT DOES NOT END
- 19 UP CHOKING THE MARINA AND DESTROYING THE VERY THING THAT MAKES
- 20 IT ATTRACTIVE, BOTH TO THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE THERE, TO THE
- 21 RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WHO COME AND ENJOY THE AREA
- 22 AND ALSO TO VISITORS FROM ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND AROUND THE
- 23 WORLD WHO FIND THE BEAUTY OF MARINA DEL REY AND ITS PEACEFUL,
- 24 RELAXED ATMOSPHERE VERY ATTRACTIVE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SAY
- 25 THAT THIS PROJECT IS MAYBE PUTTING THE CART BEFORE THE HORSE,



- 1 THAT SOME OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE OVERRIDING. NUMBER
- 2 ONE WOULD BE THE FACTS UNDERLYING THE CALCULATIONS. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU,
- 5 ALL. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF THIS PANEL? IF NOT, OKAY,
- 6 THANK YOU. NEXT, I'M GOING TO CALL FOUR AT A TIME, BEN BEACH,
- 7 DEANNA KITAMURA, SUSANNE BROWNE, YASMIN TONG. MR. BEACH, HOW
- 8 DO YOU WANT TO HANDLE THIS?

9

10 BEN BEACH: IF MISS BROWN COULD GO FIRST.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S FINE.

13

14 **BEN BEACH:** THANK YOU.

- 16 SUSANNE BROWNE: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS SUSANNE BROWNE AND
- 17 I'M AN ATTORNEY WITH THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES.
- 18 I'M HERE TODAY ON BEHALF OF OUR CLIENT, PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR
- 19 WEST SIDE RENEWAL, AND OUR COLLEAGUES AT THE WESTERN CENTER ON
- 20 LAW AND POVERTY. YOU WILL HEAR TESTIMONY TODAY IN SUPPORT OF
- 21 OUR APPEAL FROM LEGAL AID, WESTERN CENTER, ONE OF OUR ECONOMIC
- 22 FEASIBILITY CONSULTANTS, YASMINE TONG AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS
- 23 RESIDING IN THE MARINA. BEFORE WE BEGIN DISCUSSING THE
- 24 SUBSTANCE OF OUR APPEAL, WE WOULD LIKE TO FORMALLY REQUEST A
- 25 CONTINUANCE AT THIS TIME FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: FOUR



- 1 BUSINESS DAYS AGO, WE RECEIVED A 50-PAGE FEASIBILITY STUDY
- 2 FROM THE APPLICANT FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME. TWO BUSINESS DAYS
- 3 AGO, WE RECEIVED KAISER MARSTON'S REVIEW OF THIS FEASIBILITY
- 4 STUDY FOR THE COUNTY FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME. YESTERDAY
- 5 EVENING AT APPROXIMATELY 5:00 P.M., THE COUNTY SHARED WITH US
- 6 THE APPLICANT'S REPLY TO OUR APPEAL FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME
- 7 AND THIS REPLY IS ABOUT THE SIZE OF A PHONE BOOK. WE HAVE NOT
- 8 HAD AMPLE TIME TO REVIEW ANY OF THESE DOCUMENTS. WHILE OUR
- 9 EXPERTS HAVE SPENT NEARLY 30 HOURS REVIEWING THE TWO
- 10 FEASIBILITY STUDIES WE'VE RECEIVED IN THE LAST FEW DAYS, THERE
- 11 REMAINS A GREAT DEAL OF ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS THAT NEEDS TO TAKE
- 12 PLACE. FINALLY, AT THE C.A.O.'S REOUEST, WE MET YESTERDAY WITH
- 13 THE C.A.O. AND MANY OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEADS AND, WHILE
- 14 WE APPRECIATED THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH THE C.A.O. FOR THE
- 15 FIRST TIME, THAT MEETING TOOK AWAY CRUCIAL HOURS OF
- 16 PREPARATION TIME FOR US TO RESPOND TO THE NEW SUBMISSIONS AND
- 17 PREPARE FOR TODAY'S HEARINGS. IN ORDER FOR OUR APPEAL TO BE
- 18 HEARD IN A MEANINGFUL MATTER BY THIS BOARD, WE SIMPLY NEED
- 19 MORE TIME TO REVIEW THESE NEW DOCUMENTS AND REPLY. WE
- 20 RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE BOARD GRANT US A CONTINUANCE FOR
- 21 A MINIMUM OF ONE WEEK SO THAT WE HAVE AMPLE TIME TO RESPOND TO
- 22 THESE NEW SUBMISSIONS AND ANALYSIS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 23 CONSIDERATION OF OUR REQUEST. SHOULD THE BOARD, FOR SOME
- 24 REASON DENY OUR REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE, I'D LIKE TO WAIVE



- 1 THE REMAINDER OF MY TIME TO BEN BEACH FROM LEGAL AID. THANK
- 2 YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I ASK YOU A QUESTION, MS.
- 5 BROWN? WHEN DID YOU MAKE THE REQUEST FOR THE INFORMATION THAT
- 6 YOU GOT LAST NIGHT?

7

- 8 SUSANNE BROWNE: WE FIRST-- YOU MEAN WHEN DID WE FIRST ASK FOR
- 9 A CONTINUANCE?

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO. THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GOT
- 12 LATE LAST NIGHT.

13

14 SUSANNE BROWNE: WHEN WE WERE AT THE MEETING WITH THE C.A.O.?

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO. MY QUESTION IS, WHEN DID YOU
- 17 ASK FOR THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GOT LAST NIGHT? HOW LONG AGO
- 18 DID YOU ASK FOR THAT?

19

- 20 BEN BEACH: SUPERVISOR, IF I COULD RESPOND BRIEFLY JUST-- BEN
- 21 BEACH FROM LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES. WE FIRST MADE
- 22 THE REQUEST THE MOMENT THAT WE BECAME AWARE OF THAT
- 23 INFORMATION'S EXISTENCE.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHEN WAS THAT?



BEN BEACH: ON THE 22ND. WE WERE INFORMED ON THE 22ND THAT A 2 3 FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS HAD BEEN PERFORMED FOR THE COUNTY AND THAT'S THE POINT. 4 5 SUSANNE BROWNE: HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE APPLICANT'S REPLY. 6 7 8 BEN BEACH: I'M SORRY? 9 SUSANNE BROWNE: I THINK YOU WERE ASKING ABOUT THE APPLICANTS' 10 11 REPLY, WHICH WE DIDN'T KNOW THAT THAT DOCUMENT EXISTED UNTIL... 12 13

1

- SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, I KNOW, YOU INDICATED YOU GOT 14
- AN APPLICANTS' REPLY LATE YESTERDAY AND YOU HAVEN'T HAD TIME 15
- 16 TO ADEQUATELY GO THROUGH IT. FAIR ENOUGH. MY QUESTION TO YOU
- IS WHEN DID YOU ASK FOR THE INFORMATION? 17

18

19 SUSANNE BROWNE: FOR THE APPLICANTS' REPLY?

20

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. 21

22

23 SUSANNE BROWNE: WE DIDN'T KNOW IT EXISTED BUT-- SO...



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, WHY DID HE REPLY? WHY DID HE

2 GIVE YOU ANYTHING LAST NIGHT AT 5:00?

3

- 4 SUSANNE BROWNE: ACTUALLY, HE DIDN'T GIVE IT TO US. THE COUNTY,
- 5 A MEMBER OF THE COUNTY DID, COUNTY COUNSEL, AT THE MEETING WE
- 6 WERE AT LAST NIGHT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M CONFUSED, I'M SORRY, AND I'M
- 9 TRYING TO HELP BUT YOU'RE NOT-- I DON'T THINK I'M MAKING
- 10 MYSELF UNDERSTOOD. THAT'S ALL RIGHT.

11

- 12 SUSANNE BROWNE: WE'VE BEEN ASKING FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDIES
- 13 SINCE-- FOR MANY, MANY-- WHEN DID NEIL FIRST ASK FOR IT?
- 14 MONTHS AGO BECAUSE WE ASSUMED THAT THERE HAD TO BE A
- 15 FEASIBILITY STUDY UNDERLYING THE PROJECT AND WE WERE TOLD THAT
- 16 SOMETHING EXISTED AND THAT WE WOULD GET IT AND WE HAVE RENEWED
- 17 OUR REQUEST MULTIPLE TIMES AND THE FIRST TIME WE GOT ANYTHING
- 18 WAS FOUR BUSINESS DAYS AGO FOR THE FIRST OF THE TWO
- 19 FEASIBILITY STUDIES AND THEY'RE DATED LAST WEEK. AND THEN, TWO
- 20 DAYS AFTER THAT, WE GET THE KMA REVIEW OF THE APPLICANT'S
- 21 FEASIBILITY STUDY, AND IT'S A LOT OF ANALYSIS TO GO THROUGH.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THIS IS A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF
- 24 WHAT? FEASIBILITY OF WHAT?



- 1 SUSANNE BROWNE: OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT THE PROJECT, WHICH IS
- 2 THE BASIS FOR OUR APPEAL.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHO HAD THIS-- WHO HAD THESE
- 5 TWO FEASIBILITY STUDIES?

6

- 7 SUSANNE BROWNE: ONE WAS THE APPLICANTS AND THE SECOND WAS DONE
- 8 BY KAISER MARSTON & ASSOCIATES, WHO IS THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT
- 9 AND THEY ARE BOTH DATED LAST WEEK. WE DON'T KNOW IF THEY WERE
- 10 IN EXISTENCE BEFORE THAT AND WE'RE JUST RECEIVING THEM OR IF
- 11 THEY WERE REALLY JUST PRODUCED LAST WEEK IN PREPARATION FOR
- 12 THE HEARING.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHICH DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY
- 15 HAD THOSE STUDIES? WAS IT THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT OR THE
- 16 BEACHES AND HARBORS DEPARTMENT? WHO HAD THEM? YOU SAY YOU GOT
- 17 THEM FROM THE COUNTY, NOT THE DEVELOPER.

18

- 19 SUSANNE BROWNE: WE GOT ONE-- WERE THEY BOTH EMAILED TO US BY
- 20 THE COUNTY? OH. ACTUALLY, WE WERE GIVEN THOSE DOCUMENTS BY
- 21 VIVIAN FROM YOUR OFFICE. SHE EMAILED THEM TO US LAST WEEK.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THAT'S FINE. THEY'RE PUBLIC
- 24 DOCUMENTS, GLORIA.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THE SIMPLICITY OF THE QUESTION WE'RE TRYING TO
- 2 GET TO...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I KNOW, I KNOW. WHERE IS BEACHES
- 5 AND HARBORS? CAN YOU GET UP HERE, STAN?

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: THEY SHOULD GIVE THEM THE INFORMATION, THAT'S THE
- 8 PROBLEM. THEY'VE BEEN ASKING FOR IT BUT WHEN DID THEY ASK?
- 9 THEY STILL HAVEN'T ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL I'M TRYING TO DO, I THINK
- 12 WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE HERE, ALL I'M TRYING TO DO IS IF THEY
- 13 ASK FOR SOME INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD IN YOUR POSSESSION AND
- 14 THEY ONLY GOT IT YESTERDAY, THEN THEIR REQUEST FOR A DELAY IS
- 15 JUSTIFIED. IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, THEY ASKED-- THEY NEVER
- 16 ASKED FOR IT UNTIL TWO DAYS AGO AND YOU GOT IT TO THEM WITHIN
- 17 24 HOURS, THEN IT'S THEIR PROBLEM, THEIR FAULT, NOT OUR FAULT.
- 18 THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIND OUT, IS DID WE HAVE THIS
- 19 INFORMATION? SHE SAYS THEY WERE ASKING FOR IT FOR MONTHS.

20

- 21 STAN WISNIEWSKI: THE TWO FEASIBILITY-- THE ONE THAT WAS DONE
- 22 BY THE APPLICANT WAS FINISHED-- JIM, WHAT WAS THE DATE ON
- 23 THAT?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: INTRODUCE YOURSELF, PLEASE.



1

2 STAN WISNIEWSKI: I'M SORRY, I'M STAN WISNIEWSKI, DIRECTOR OF

- 3 L.A. COUNTRY BEACHES AND HARBORS. THE DATE OF THE LESSEE'S
- 4 REPORT WAS FEBRUARY 27 AND THEN I BELIEVE JIM RABY OF KAISER
- 5 MARSTON, WHO IS THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT, SUBSEQUENTLY DID HIS
- 6 ANALYSIS, AND THAT DATE WAS?

7

- 8 JAMES RABY: JAMES RABY, KAISER MARSTON. OUR REPORT WAS
- 9 SUBMITTED TO THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND BEACHES AND HARBORS ON
- 10 THE 27TH.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE
- 13 DOCUMENT THAT YOU SUBMITTED TO THEM ON THE 22ND AND THE 27TH,
- 14 OR THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO YOU? WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THOSE
- 15 DOCUMENTS? WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS?
- 16 WHO ASKED FOR THEM? ARE THEY REQUIRED BY LAW? ARE THEY
- 17 SOMETHING YOU ASKED FOR?

18

19 STAN WISNIEWSKI: OKAY, COUNSEL.

20

21 RICHARD WEISS: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I TRY THAT ONE, PLEASE?

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SURE.



- 1 RICHARD WEISS: WE ARE OF THE OPINION THAT THERE'S NO LEGAL
- 2 REQUIREMENT FOR A FEASIBILITY STUDY. WHEN THE COMMISSION
- 3 CONSIDERED THIS PROJECT, IT HEARD ECONOMIC TESTIMONY REGARDING
- 4 THE FEASIBILITY OF THE INCLUSIONARY REPLACEMENT UNITS AND IT
- 5 MADE A DETERMINATION THAT THE SET ASIDE FOR BOTH WAS
- 6 REASONABLE. IN RESPONSE TO THE APPEAL BY POWER, THE APPLICANT
- 7 DETERMINED THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO PRODUCE A FEASIBILITY
- 8 ANALYSIS THAT SET FORTH IN MORE DETAIL THE ECONOMIC
- 9 CONCLUSIONS WHICH STAFF AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAD BEEN
- 10 HEARING GENERALLY ABOUT, EVEN WHEN THE CASE WAS PENDING BEFORE
- 11 THE PLANNING COMMISSION. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE MAXIMA
- 12 STUDY, WHICH WAS COMMISSIONED BY THE APPLICANT, WAS NOT DONE
- 13 UNTIL LAST WEEK AND KAISER MARSTON DID A QUICK REACTION TO IT.
- 14 THOSE DOCUMENTS WERE NOT SITTING AROUND AVAILABLE FOR MONTHS,
- 15 THEY WERE JUST PREPARED.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WELL, THEN, HERE'S MY
- 18 OUESTION. THIS ITEM WAS SCHEDULED TO BE ON OUR CALENDAR LAST
- 19 WEEK. WHAT WAS LAST TUESDAY'S DATE? FEBRUARY 28TH? 27TH.
- 20 IT WAS SCHEDULED TO BE ON THE AGENDA FEBRUARY 27TH AND ONLY
- 21 BECAUSE TWO OF OUR MEMBERS WERE SCHEDULED TO BE IN WASHINGTON
- 22 THAT TUESDAY WE PUT THIS OVER BECAUSE WE HAD A SHORT BOARD,
- 23 AND-- BUT-- AND THAT WAS DONE LATE IN THE GAME. IT WAS A LAST-
- 24 A FEW DAYS BEFORE TUESDAY THAT THAT WAS POSTPONED. SO, AS
- 25 FAR AS THE APPLICANT WAS CONCERNED, THIS ITEM WAS COMING UP ON



- 1 FEBRUARY 27TH AND YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT ONE OF THE
- 2 FEASIBILITY ANALYSES WAS DATED FEBRUARY 27TH AND THE OTHER ONE
- 3 WAS DATED FEBRUARY 22ND, FIVE DAYS BEFORE THE SCHEDULED DATE
- 4 OF THIS HEARING?

5

6 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION. HOW
- 9 FAST DO YOU THINK I READ? THESE LAWYERS, THEY TOOK EVELYN
- 10 WOOD. I'M A SLOW READER. HOW MUCH DO YOU THINK I CAN READ THE
- 11 NIGHT BEFORE OR THE DAY OF THE HEARING? FORGET THEM. YOU MAY
- 12 NOT CARE ABOUT WHAT THEY THINK BUT HOW ABOUT THE DECISION
- 13 MAKER? IF WE WERE SCHEDULED TO HAVE THIS ON FEBRUARY 27TH,
- 14 THIS HEARING, WHICH WE WERE AND, AT THE TIME THIS WAS
- 15 SCHEDULED, YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSES, EITHER
- 16 ONE OF THEM, BECAUSE IT WAS SCHEDULED WEEKS AGO, I JUST-- I
- 17 DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE'S A LEGAL REQUIREMENT OR IF THERE'S
- 18 NOT A LEGAL REOUIREMENT BUT IT JUST-- IT'S JUST COMMON
- 19 COURTESY. WHY WOULD YOU JAM THIS THING THIS WAY? I DON'T KNOW
- 20 THAT THERE'S ANYTHING IN THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS THAT'S GOING
- 21 TO TUBE THIS PROJECT OR NOT TUBE THIS PROJECT BUT YOU RAISE
- 22 EVERYBODY'S SUSPICIONS BY DOING IT THIS WAY. SO-- I DON'T NEED
- 23 TO SAY ANY MORE THAN THAT. THANK YOU. WHO'S NEXT? MR. BEACH?
- 24 HOW DO YOU WANT TO HANDLE THIS?



- 1 BEN BEACH: IT DOESN'T SOUND AS THOUGH THE BOARD HAS PASSED ON
- 2 THE QUESTION WHETHER TO GRANT A CONTINUANCE OR NOT.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO. THE BOARD ISN'T GOING TO DO
- 5 ANYTHING UNTIL WE'RE DONE WITH THE PUBLIC HEARING, SO DON'T
- 6 EXPECT ANY DECISIONS UNTIL THEN.

7

8 BEN BEACH: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M ONLY ONE BOARD MEMBER SO...

- 12 BEN BEACH: BEN BEACH, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR.
- 13 APPRECIATE IT. BEN BEACH FROM LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS
- 14 ANGELES HERE ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE
- 15 RENEWAL, THE APPELLANT. I'M HERE TO DISCUSS THE PORTION OF
- 16 POWER'S APPEAL RELATING TO THE MELLO ACT'S INCLUSIONARY
- 17 HOUSING REQUIREMENT. THE MELLO ACT REQUIRES NEW HOUSING
- 18 DEVELOPMENTS IN THE COASTAL ZONE TO INCLUDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 19 WHERE FEASIBLE. THAT IS, CONTRARY TO THE DEVELOPER'S
- 20 ASSERTIONS, THE MELLO ACT REQUIRES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS TO
- 21 INCLUDE AFFORDABLE UNITS IF IT IS FEASIBLE FOR THEM TO DO SO.
- 22 THE QUESTION THEREFORE BEFORE YOU TODAY IS WHETHER THE R.P.C.
- 23 DECISION TO REOUIRE 17 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS AT THIS 544-UNIT
- 24 PROPERTY IS A PROPER APPLICATION OF THIS STANDARD BY REQUIRING
- 25 THE DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE THE AMOUNT OF INCLUSIONARY HOUSING



- 1 THAT WAS FEASIBLE FOR THE DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE. THE MELLO
- 2 ACT'S DEFINITION OF FEASIBLE IS ADMITTEDLY BROAD. IT ALLOWS
- 3 DECISION MAKERS TO CONSIDER ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, TECHNICAL
- 4 AND SOCIAL FACTORS. AS WITH MANY OF THE OTHER MELLO ACT CASES,
- 5 WITH WHICH WE'RE FAMILIAR, THE ANALYSIS BY THE APPLICANT, BY
- 6 THE COUNTY AND BY OURSELVES TENDS TO FOCUS ON ECONOMIC
- 7 CONSIDERATIONS AND WHERE IT'S DETERMINED THAT A PARTICULAR
- 8 LEVEL OF INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IS, IN FACT, FEASIBLE, UNDER THE
- 9 ACT'S DEFINITION, REQUIRING A DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE LESS THAN
- 10 THAT AMOUNT FAILS TO SATISFY THE MELLO ACT'S BASIC
- 11 INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT. NOW, COUNSEL FOR THE DEVELOPER HAS
- 12 OFFERED, IN HIS WRITTEN SUBMISSION, AN INTERESTING BUT
- 13 ULTIMATELY MISLEADING DISCUSSION OF THE REQUIREMENT. UNDER HIS
- 14 READING, THE MELLO ACT EFFECTIVELY DOESN'T REQUIRE ANY
- 15 CONSIDERATION OF WHAT'S FEASIBLE, BASED ON A TORTURED READING
- 16 OF THE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF THE ACT. UNDER HIS APPROACH, A
- 17 DEVELOPMENT PROJECT THAT COULD FEASIBLY PROVIDE 100 UNITS OF
- 18 INCLUSIONARY HOUSING WOULD SATISFY THE ACT BY SIMPLY PROVIDING
- 19 ONE UNIT OF SUCH HOUSING AND THAT'S PLAINLY WHAT'S NOT
- 20 REQUIRED. THE RECORD BEFORE YOU INDICATES THAT WHAT THE RPC
- 21 REQUIRED IS SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN WHAT IT IS FEASIBLE FOR
- 22 THE DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE AND THAT BOTH MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 23 AND LESS COUNTY SUBSIDY ARE FEASIBLE AT THIS PROJECT. THERE
- 24 ARE THREE PRIMARY REASONS THAT WE SAY THIS. THE FIRST IS THAT
- 25 THE EVIDENCE THAT WAS CONSIDERED BY THE RPC IN RENDERING ITS



- 1 DECISION DID NOT INCLUDE FEASIBILITY. THE RPC CHAIR WAS CLEAR
- 2 THAT THE COMMISSION ITSELF WOULD REFUSE TO CONSIDER
- 3 FEASIBILITY AS A CONSIDERATION IN MAKING ITS DETERMINATION, SO
- 4 WE KNOW THAT, ON THE RECORD, THAT WAS CONSIDERED BY THE RPC,
- 5 FEASIBILITY WAS NOT A FACTOR. THE SECOND REASON THAT WE
- 6 BELIEVE THE COUNTY IS BEING SHORT-- AND THE PEOPLE ARE BEING
- 7 SHORTCHANGED IN TERMS OF WHAT'S FEASIBLE IS THAT THE RPC
- 8 REQUIRED FEWER UNITS THAN THE APPLICANT HAD PREVIOUSLY OFFERED
- 9 TO PROVIDE IN ITS APRIL 10 LETTER, WHICH WAS 26 VERY LOW
- 10 INCOME UNITS. THAT WAS A LETTER SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY. AND
- 11 THEN, FINALLY, THE THIRD REASON THAT WE SAY THAT THE COUNTY
- 12 AND THE PEOPLE ARE BEING SHORTCHANGED IN TERMS OF WHAT'S
- 13 FEASIBLE IS THAT OUR OWN ECONOMIC CONSULTANT, DR. NEIL MAYER,
- 14 HAS PREPARED AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS THAT SHOWS THIS IS THE CASE
- 15 AND OUR CONSULTANT, YASMINE TONG, WILL DISCUSS DR. MAYER'S
- 16 ANALYSIS. THANK YOU.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, MR. BEACH.
- 19 MS. TONG?

- 21 YASMINE TONG: MY NAME IS YASMINE TONG. I'M AN AFFORDABLE
- 22 HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT HERE IN LOS ANGELES. I HAVE
- 23 AROUND 20 YEARS' EXPERIENCE IN THE FIELD AND HAVE FINANCED
- 24 SOMETHING LIKE A BILLION DOLLARS OF TRANSACTIONS NATIONWIDE. I



- 1 SHOULD ALSO SAY THAT FAITH KIRKPATRICK IS YIELDING TIME FOR MY
- 2 TESTIMONY. FAITH KIRKPATRICK IS WITH POWER.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

- 6 YASMINE TONG: I HAVE BEEN ASKED TO COMMENT ON THE FINANCIAL
- 7 FEASIBILITY OF THE PROPOSED DEL REY SHORES DEVELOPMENT. THE
- 8 DEVELOPER ASSERTS THAT ONLY 54 AFFORDABLE UNITS CAN BE
- 9 PROVIDED. THAT'S 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS SET ASIDE FOR MODERATE
- 10 INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND 17 INCLUSIONARY UNITS SET ASIDE FOR VERY
- 11 LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS. WITH DR. NEIL MAYER, A REAL ESTATE
- 12 ECONOMIST ADVISING THE WESTERN CENTER AND LEGAL AID, I'VE
- 13 REVIEWED THE DEVELOPER'S FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS AND DETERMINED
- 14 OTHERWISE. THE STATE'S MELLO ACT ASSERTS THAT NEW HOUSING
- 15 DEVELOPMENT SHALL, WHERE FEASIBLE, PROVIDE HOUSING UNITS FOR
- 16 PERSONS OF LOW OR MODERATE INCOME. SO THE QUESTION OF HOW WE
- 17 DEFINE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY IS REALLY WHAT WE'RE DEBATING
- 18 HERE TODAY. WE'RE SUBMITTING A LETTER WHICH DETAILS THE
- 19 METHODOLOGY BEHIND OUR FINANCIAL ANALYSIS BUT I WOULD LIKE TO
- 20 PROVIDE A BRIEF SUMMARY, EXPLANATION AND JUSTIFICATION OF HOW
- 21 OUR FEASIBILITY CONCLUSIONS DIFFER FROM THE COUNTY'S AND THE
- 22 DEVELOPERS'. FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS FOR THE COUNTY AND THE
- 23 DEVELOPER HAVE BOTH CONCLUDED THAT SETTING ASIDE MORE THAN 54
- 24 AFFORDABLE UNITS OR CHANGING THE MIX TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF
- 25 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS WOULD BE UNFEASIBLE. THESE CONSULTANTS



- 1 HAVE ASSUMED A 7% RETURN ON COST, A GROUND LEASE CREDIT FROM
- 2 THE COUNTY OF 11.05 MILLION DOLLARS AND THE SAME TOTAL
- 3 DEVELOPMENTAL COSTS. THEY HAVE ALSO USED 2006/2007 RENT AND
- 4 EXPENSE LEVELS TO CALCULATE THE RETURN ON COST. WE DISAGREE ON
- 5 THREE MAIN POINTS. THE FIRST IS TIMING. THE DEVELOPER'S
- 6 CONSULTANT BASED ITS RETURN ON COST CALCULATION ON 2006 RENT
- 7 LEVELS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTY AND ALSO THIS 11-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 8 GROUND LEASE CREDIT AND A 7% RETURN ON COSTS AND THAT'S ALL
- 9 BASED ON FULLY STABILIZED PROJECT IN TODAY'S DOLLARS. HOWEVER,
- 10 THE DEVELOPER'S OWN PROJECTIONS ASSUME THAT THE PROJECT
- 11 DOESN'T ACHIEVE STABILIZED OCCUPANCY UNTIL 2010, WHICH IS
- 12 CONSISTENT WITH OUR EXPECTATIONS. WHEN YOU CALCULATE THE
- 13 PROJECT'S INCOME USING THE DEVELOPERS ASSUMPTIONS, THE 2010
- 14 RETURN ON COST IS 8.2%, NOT 7%. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A 7%
- 15 AND AN 8.2% RETURN ON COST TRANSLATES INTO 72 ADDITIONAL VERY
- 16 LOW INCOME UNITS. THE SECOND ISSUE WHERE WE DISAGREE IS WHAT
- 17 IS AN ACCEPTABLE RATE OF RETURN WHEN YOU'RE ANALYZING A
- 18 PROJECT WITH 9 TO 10% EQUITY? TYPICALLY, RENTAL PROJECTS WOULD
- 19 HAVE A MINIMUM 25% EOUITY AND A MAXIMUM OF 75% FINANCING.
- 20 WHAT'S BEEN PRESENTED TO US IS THAT THIS PROJECT HAS SOMETHING
- 21 LIKE 90% FINANCING. AND, IF YOU WERE HAVING 25% EQUITY AND 75%
- 22 FINANCING, YOU WOULD EXPECT TO SEE A 7% RETURN ON COST. THIS
- 23 IS AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION BECAUSE, WHERE THERE'S LESS
- 24 DEVELOPER EQUITY, THAT 7% RATE OF RETURN EXCEEDS WHAT'S
- 25 REASONABLE TO EXPECT IN THE MARKET. THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT



- 1 ASSUMED A RETURN ON COST OF 7%, WHICH TRANSLATES INTO A 330%
- 2 RETURN ON INVESTMENT FOR THE DEVELOPER BASED ON THE VALUE OF
- 3 THE GROUND LEASE WITH THE COUNTY AND THE IMPROVEMENTS AT A
- 4 POTENTIAL SALE. IF WE LOWER THE RETURN ON COST TO 6%, THE
- 5 RETURN ON EQUITY EXCEEDS 100%. THIS IS STILL WELL ABOVE A
- 6 REASONABLE RETURN. USING 2006 RENTS TO DETERMINE FEASIBILITY
- 7 AS THE DEVELOPER AND THE COUNTY DO, WE CONCLUDED THAT A RETURN
- 8 ON COST OF 5.3% WOULD BE REASONABLE. THAT TRANSLATES TO A 17%
- 9 INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH MARKET
- 10 RETURNS ON RENTAL PROJECTS. THE FINAL ISSUE WHERE WE DISAGREE
- 11 HAS TO DO WITH WHAT IS ACTUALLY FEASIBLE. TAKING THE INCOME
- 12 AND EXPENSE PROJECTIONS USED BY THE COUNTY AND THE DEVELOPER,
- 13 USING A RETURN ON COST OF 6% AND THE GROUND LEASE CREDIT OF
- 14 11.05 MILLION DOLLARS, DEL REY SHORES COULD PROVIDE 54 VERY
- 15 LOW INCOME UNITS AND 37 MODERATE INCOME UNITS AND STILL THERE
- 16 WOULD BE SOME MONEY LEFT OVER TO REDUCE THE LAND LEASE CREDIT
- 17 TO THE DEVELOPER BY ABOUT \$4.2 MILLION. LET ME REPEAT THAT
- 18 THIS SCENARIO ASSUMES A 6% RETURN ON COSTS AS OPPOSED TO 7%.
- 19 IT'S OUR CONCLUSION THAT IT IS FEASIBLE FOR BOTH HOUSING
- 20 AFFORDABILITY AND COUNTY REVENUES TO DO MUCH BETTER THAN THE
- 21 CURRENT PROPOSAL UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR DEL REY SHORES. THE
- 22 PRIMARY DIFFERENCE IN ASSUMPTIONS BETWEEN THE DEVELOPER AND MY
- 23 CLIENTS IS WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE RETURN ON COST FOR THE
- 24 DEVELOPER? THIS IS A POLICY DECISION THAT'S LEFT TO THE BOARD
- 25 AND YOU HAVE TO BALANCE A LOT OF COMPETING INTERESTS. WE JUST



- 1 WANT TO URGE YOU TO PROVIDE AS MUCH AFFORDABILITY AS POSSIBLE
- 2 FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE, IN THE LONG RUN, IT COULD
- 3 ACTUALLY SAVE THE COUNTY MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. [APPLAUSE]

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: MR. CHAIRMAN? MR. CHAIRMAN?

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: IN THOSE COMMENTS, I MEAN, THIS IS ALL FORMULA-
- 12 BASED, YOU KNOW, DISAGREEMENTS HERE. I MEAN, THERE'S REALLY
- 13 NOT MUCH CHANGE BETWEEN WHERE YOU'RE AT TODAY AND WHERE WE'VE
- 14 BEEN OVER THE LAST NUMBER OF MONTHS, AS I UNDERSTAND IT. IS
- 15 THAT CORRECT? AS FAR AS IT RELATES TO THE FORMULA? I MEAN,
- 16 THIS IS AN ONGOING DISAGREEMENT THAT MAY OR MAY NOT EVER BE
- 17 SETTLED, IS THAT CORRECT? THE MATHEMATICS PORTION OF IT,
- 18 WHETHER IT'S 6%, 7%, 4.4%?

19

- 20 YASMINE TONG: RIGHT. THERE'S A CONSISTENT DISAGREEMENT ABOUT
- 21 THE ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE'RE USING TO DETERMINE FEASIBILITY.

22

23 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. DEANNA KITAMURA.



- 2 DEANNA KITAMURA: GOOD AFTERNOON. I AM DEANNA KITAMURA, AN
- 3 ATTORNEY WITH WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY. THE MELLO ACT
- 4 CONTAINS A STRICT REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENT FOR EXISTING
- 5 AFFORDABLE UNITS. HOWEVER, THE DEVELOPER IMPROPERLY CALCULATED
- 6 THE NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT UNITS BY ELIMINATING VARIOUS TYPES
- 7 OF LIVING SITUATIONS SUCH AS MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES. THE MELLO
- 8 ACT DOES NOT CONTAIN SUCH EXEMPTIONS AND THE COUNTY SHOULD NOT
- 9 BE ADOPTING THEM, EITHER. IN ADDITION TO UNDER COUNTING THE
- 10 NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT UNITS, THE DEVELOPER IS REPLACING
- 11 BEDROOMS INSTEAD OF UNITS. THE MELLO ACT IS CLEAR THAT UNITS
- 12 ARE TO BE REPLACED, NOT BEDROOMS. SO THE COUNTY IS ALLOWING
- 13 THE DEVELOPER TO REPLACE FEWER BEDROOMS THAN REQUIRED BY STATE
- 14 LAW. OUR READING OF THE MELLO ACT IS THAT THE UNITS MUST BE
- 15 REPLACED ON A LIKE-FOR-LIKE BASIS. SO, IF A LOW INCOME FAMILY
- 16 CURRENTLY LIVES THERE, A LOW INCOME UNIT MUST BE REPLACED.
- 17 HOWEVER, THE RPC DECISION ALLOWS THE DEVELOPER TO REPLACE ALL
- 18 AFFORDABLE UNITS WITH MODERATE INCOME UNITS. AS A POLICY, THIS
- 19 RESULTS IN THE LOSS OF HOUSING TO LOW INCOME RESIDENTS. AS FOR
- 20 THE AFFORDABLE UNITS THAT WILL BE CREATED, THE RPC DECISION
- 21 HAS A SESSION ON COMPARABILITY BUT IT IS NOT SPECIFIC-- IT
- 22 DOES NOT SPECIFICALLY STATE THAT THE AFFORDABLE UNITS MUST BE
- 23 COMPARABLE IN EVERY WAY NOR DOES IT STATE THAT THEY MAY NOT BE
- 24 STACKED OR GROUPED TOGETHER. SPECIFICITY IS CRITICAL TO ENSURE
- 25 THAT THERE STIGMATIZATION WITH REGARD TO THE UNITS. IN



- 1 CONCLUSION, THE BOARD SHOULD TAKE THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS: 1.
- 2 GRANT OUR REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE FOR A MINIMUM OF ONE WEEK;
- 3 2. ORDER THE APPLICANT TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING AFFORDABLE
- 4 UNITS, NOT BEDROOMS, ON ON-SITE LIKE-FOR-LIKE MANNER; 3. ORDER
- 5 THE APPLICANT TO PROVIDE 54 VERY LOW INCOME INCLUSIONARY UNITS
- 6 ON SITE; 4, INCLUDE A CONDITION OF APPROVAL STATING THAT THE
- 7 AFFORDABLE UNITS AT THE PROJECT MUST BE COMPARABLE IN EVERY
- 8 MANNER TO MARKET RATE UNITS. THIS CONDITION SHOULD PROVIDE
- 9 THAT THE AFFORDABLE UNITS MAY NOT BE STACKED OR GROUPED
- 10 TOGETHER. AND, 5, ORDER THE APPLICANT TO MAINTAIN THE
- 11 AFFORDABILITY OF MELLO UNITS FOR THE DURATION OF THE GROUND
- 12 LEASE OR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT. AS THE APPELLANT, WE WOULD
- 13 LIKE CONFIRMATION FROM THE BOARD THAT WE WILL BE ALLOWED
- 14 REBUTTAL AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE APPLICANT'S TESTIMONY. THIS
- 15 IS CUSTOMARY IN QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEDURES. THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. HELEN GARRETT. GOING TO
- 18 CALL FOUR PEOPLE. HELEN GARRETT, JUN YANG, MARLENE FADAN AND
- 19 LISA PAYNE. WHILE THEY'RE COMING UP, RICK, CAN YOU JUST
- 20 RESPOND TO THAT LAST COMMENT ON BEDROOMS VERSUS UNITS?

- 22 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE RESPECTFULLY
- 23 DISAGREE WITH THAT POSITION. THE MELLO ACT IS BASICALLY A
- 24 SINGLE CODE SECTION, IT IS A VERY GENERAL SECTION. THE MELLO
- 25 ACT DOES REQUIRE THAT, WHEN A UNIT IS OCCUPIED BY LOW OR



- 1 MODERATE INCOME PERSONS OR FAMILIES ARE REMOVED, THEN THEY
- 2 HAVE TO BE REPLACED BY UNITS OF LOW OR MODERATE INCOME AND,
- 3 HOWEVER, THE MELLO ACT IS SILENT REGARDING SPECIFIC PROCEDURES
- 4 ON HOW YOU CALCULATE WHAT A REPLACEMENT UNIT IS. WHAT THE
- 5 TESTIFIER WAS REFERRING TO IS THAT, IN THE INCOME SURVEY THAT
- 6 WAS DONE BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION, THE INCOME OF TWO
- 7 UNRELATED-- IF YOU HAVE A TWO BEDROOM UNIT WHERE YOU HAVE TWO
- 8 UNRELATED PEOPLE RESIDING IN IT AND IT WAS A TWO-BEDROOM UNIT,
- 9 IF ONE OF THE PEOPLE MET THE LOW OR MODERATE INCOME STANDARD
- 10 AND THE OTHER DIDN'T, THEN THE COMMISSION DETERMINED, AND WE
- 11 BELIEVE JUSTIFIABLY, THAT YOU WOULD ONLY HAVE TO REPLACE ONE
- 12 BEDROOM BECAUSE THE OTHER PERSON IS NOT A LOW OR MODERATE
- 13 INCOME PERSON. AND SO IT WAS BASED UPON THAT CALCULATION THAT
- 14 THE QUOTA WAS DETERMINED WITH RESPECT TO THE 37 UNITS.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MISS GARRETT?

- 18 HELEN GARRETT: YES. MY NAME IS HELEN GARRETT AND I'M A PROUD
- 19 MEMBER OF POWER. I LIVE AT 1395 THREE PENNY WAY IN A LOW
- 20 INCOME APARTMENT. BEFORE I WAS FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO MOVE INTO
- 21 THIS WONDERFUL APARTMENT, I HAD BEEN PRICED COMPLETELY OUT OF
- 22 THE RENTAL MARKET AND WAS A COUPLE MONTHS AWAY FROM MOVING
- 23 INTO MY CAR. NOW, DUSTY CRANE, WHO IS A SPOKESWOMAN FOR
- 24 BEACHES AND HARBORS IN A FEBRUARY 26TH ARTICLE IN "THE LOS
- 25 ANGELES TIMES" SAID, "THIS IS A BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP AND THE



- 1 CRITERIA USED FOR LIVING IN THE MARINA IS, CAN YOU AFFORD TO
- 2 LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR NOT?" WHAT ARROGANCE! YOU, THE
- 3 SUPERVISORS, YOU FOLKS ARE CHARGED WITH BUILDING AFFORDABLE
- 4 HOUSING FOR THE WORKING PEOPLE IN THIS COUNTY. WE NEED TO
- 5 INCLUDE ALL KINDS OF PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THERE'S NO
- 6 BETTER PLACE TO INTEGRATE WORKING PEOPLE INTO A COMMUNITY THAN
- 7 ON MARINA LAND WHICH YOU HOLD IN TRUST FOR ALL OF THE PEOPLE
- 8 OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, NOT JUST FOR THE RICH PEOPLE OF
- 9 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. [APPLAUSE]

- 11 HELEN GARRETT: THE MELLO ACT REQUIRES YOU TO INCLUDE LOW
- 12 INCOME HOUSING IN THE MARINA. WHY WOULD YOU USE YOUR POWER TO
- 13 PROMOTE HOUSING FOR THE WEALTHY AND WELL TO DO WHEN THE MOST
- 14 PRESSING NEED FOR HOUSING IS AMONG LOW INCOME PEOPLE? DEL REY
- 15 SHORES IS AN EXAMPLE OF WISHY-WASHY ENFORCEMENT OF THE MELLO
- 16 ACT IN THIS COUNTY. WHEN POWER FIRST STARTED NEGOTIATIONS WITH
- 17 THEM LAST YEAR, THEY OFFERED 30 LIKE FOR LIKE REPLACEMENT
- 18 UNITS AND 26 VERY LOW INCLUSIONARY UNITS. THAT WAS INADEOUATE,
- 19 TO BE SURE. NOW DEL REY SHORES COMES IN WITH ONLY 17 LOW
- 20 INCOME UNITS AND 34 MODERATE INCOME REPLACEMENT UNITS. THAT'S
- 21 WORSE THAN THEY STARTED WITH. IF YOU ACCEPT THOSE FIGURES,
- 22 YOU'RE BUYING INTO GREED AND DECEPTION. YOU MUST NOT-- YOU
- 23 MUST STEP UP AND BE RESPONSIBLE. WE WANT AND NEED 54 LOW
- 24 INCOME UNITS AND 37 LIKE-FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT UNITS. THAT'S
- 25 WHAT IS FAIR FOR THE WORKING PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WHO

17

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 ARE BEING PRICED OUT OF PLACES TO LIVE. THAT IS WHAT YOUR DUTY
- 2 IS. THAT IS A MINIMUM OF WHAT MIGHT BRING HONOR TO YOUR
- 3 POSITION AS SUPERVISORS. I KNOW YOU CAN DO THIS. I HOPE YOU
- 4 WILL DO THIS. FINALLY, I WANT TO TELL YOU THAT DEL RAY SHORES
- 5 CHIEF OF STAFF, DAVID OLIVEN, HAS COMMENTED TO POWER AND TO
- 6 "THE LOS ANGELES TIMES" THAT THIS PROJECT HAS AN UNPRECEDENTED
- 7 COMMITMENT TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN MARINA DEL REY. IT'S
- 8 ONLY UNPRECEDENTED BECAUSE THE MELLO ACT HAS NOT BEEN ENFORCED
- 9 BEFORE POWER CAME ON THE SCENE. DEVELOPERS HAVE BEEN GIVEN A
- 10 GET OUT OF JAIL FREE CARD FOR TOO LONG. THEY CAN AFFORD TO
- 11 MEET OUR DEMANDS AND YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO MAKE THEM DO IT.
- 12 THIS MORNING, I HEARD AND CRIED THAT THERE ARE 10,000 HOMELESS
- 13 KIDS IN L.A. AND YOU'RE HELPING DEVELOPERS SHIRK THEIR
- 14 RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE VERY LOW INCOME HOUSING?! WHAT A
- 15 SHAME! YOU MUST BUILD AS MUCH LOW INCOME HOUSING AS IS
- 16 FEASIBLE AND, MORE THAN THAT, WHAT WE NEED!

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. MR. JUN YANG. MR.

- 19 YANG? WHY DON'T YOU LET HIM MOVE OVER SO HE CAN GET TO THE
- 20 MICROPHONE. THANK YOU. AND LET ME ASK SOMEBODY ELSE TO COME
- 21 DOWN, GAGO ARANESZADEH? CLOSE ENOUGH? HEH-HEH. ALL RIGHT.
- 23 JUN YANG: HELLO. MY NAME IS JUN, JUN YANG AND I AM A COMMUNITY
- 24 ORGANIZER WITH POWER, PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL.
- 25 WE WORK ON ISSUES THAT PEOPLE CARE ABOUT AND THIS ISSUE OF



- AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE FOR THE COMMUNITY. 1
- MANY PEOPLE WERE NOT ABLE TO MAKE IT OUT TODAY BECAUSE THEY 2
- 3 WERE UNABLE TO TAKE THE TIME OFF OF WORK. DIANE HODEP IS ONE
- OF MANY SUCH PEOPLE AND SHE HAS WRITTEN A LETTER ADDRESSED TO 4
- 5 YOU, THE SUPERVISORS, TO EXPRESS HER SITUATION, WHICH IS LIKE
- MANY IN THE COMMUNITY, WHICH I'LL BE READING RIGHT NOW. GOOD 6
- MORNING, SUPERVISORS OR GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME 7
- 8 IS DIANA HODEP. I AM A MEMBER OF POWER AND I HAVE RESIDED IN
- THE SAME TINY STUDIO IN MARINA DEL REY FOR THE LAST 16 YEARS. 9
- 10 WHEN I MOVED IN, IT WAS THE SMALLEST AND CHEAPEST AVAILABLE.
- AFTER THE 1994 EARTHQUAKE, MY RENT INCREASED 40% IN FOUR YEARS 11
- AND HAS CONTINUED TO ESCALATE. MY PAYCHECK HAS NOT. I WORK IN 12
- HEALTHCARE FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND, OVER THE LAST 20 13
- YEARS, PAY INCREASES HAVE BEEN NOMINAL OR NOTHING AT ALL. I 14
- 15 HAVE WORKED ALL THE OVERTIME I COULD TO MAKE ENDS MEET AND NOW
- 16 FACE LOSING MY HOME. PLEASE PROVIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE
- MARINA. THANK YOU. WE ARE ASKING FOR 54 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS 17
- 18 AND 37 LIKE-FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT UNITS. PLEASE LISTEN TO THE
- COMMUNITY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 19

20

22

24

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MARLENE SADAN? WHAT IS IT? SADAN? 23

25 MARLENE SADAN: MY NAME IS MARLENE SADAN.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M SORRY. HANG ON ONE SECOND.
- 3 THEM JUST CALL ONE MORE PERSON. CARLOS AUDRUS. I'M JUST
- 4 READING IT THE WAY THEY'RE WRITTEN. I'M SORRY. IS CARLOS HERE?
- 5 ALL RIGHT. MARLENE?

6

- 7 MARLENE SADAN: I'VE BEEN LIVING AT DEL REY SHORES APARTMENTS
- 8 IN MARINA DEL REY SINCE APRIL 2000 WITH MY HUSBAND. I'VE BEEN
- 9 WORKING IN SANTA MONICA AS A PRESCHOOL TEACHER. LAST MARCH, I
- 10 HAD TO LEAVE MY JOB SUDDENLY BECAUSE MY HUSBAND WAS SUFFERING
- 11 WITH PROSTATE CANCER AND COULD NO LONGER BE LEFT ALONE.
- 12 (CRYING). I'M SORRY.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: TAKE YOUR TIME.

- 16 MARLENE SADAN: I CARED FOR HIM BY MYSELF UNTIL HE PASSED AWAY
- 17 IN FEBRUARY. IT WAS A VERY PAINFUL ORDEAL. WHEN I RETURNED TO
- 18 MY JOB, IT WAS GIVEN TO SOMEONE ELSE, SO NOW I'M A SUBSTITUTE
- 19 TEACHER, BUT I'M UNABLE TO EARN ENOUGH MONEY TO LIVE ON. I'VE
- 20 GIVEN UP ONE DAY OF WORK TO COME AND SPEAK TO YOU TODAY. I
- 21 REALLY CAN'T AFFORD TO GIVE UP THIS LITTLE BIT OF INCOME BUT I
- 22 THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO TELL YOU MY STORY IN THE HOPE THAT
- 23 I CAN PERSUADE YOU TO DO THE RIGHT THING FOR ME AND MY
- 24 NEIGHBORS. ON TOP OF THIS PROBLEM WITH MY JOB, I'M NOW FACED
- 25 WITH BEING PUT OUT OF MY HOME BECAUSE DEL REY SHORES IS



- 1 SCHEDULED TO BE DEMOLISHED THE END OF JUNE. THERE'S NO
- 2 AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO BE FOUND ANYWHERE. I'M ON THREE WAITING
- 3 LISTS BUT CANNOT WAIT MUCH LONGER. WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST I DO?
- 4 MR. KNABE, I'M YOUR CONSTITUENT. WHAT CAN YOU DO FOR ME? THE
- 5 17 LOW INCOME UNITS PLANNED BY THE DEVELOPER, DEL REY SHORES,
- 6 IS SIMPLY NOT ENOUGH. THIS PROBLEM AFFECTS ME. THE DEVELOPER,
- 7 BY LAW, MUST PROVIDE LOW INCOME HOUSING. WE'RE DEMANDING A
- 8 MINIMUM OF 54 LOW INCOME UNITS AND 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS IN A
- 9 554-UNIT BUILDING. CAN YOU, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, UNDERSTAND
- 10 MY DILEMMA? HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE IN MY SHOES? WHAT WOULD
- 11 YOU DO? REMEMBER ME AND ALL THE PEOPLE LIKE ME WHEN YOU MAKE
- 12 YOUR DECISION. (VOICE WAVERING) WHAT YOU DO TODAY WILL AFFECT
- 13 A LOT OF PEOPLE AND IT WILL AFFECT ME DIRECTLY. BOARD OF
- 14 SUPERVISORS, I'M ASKING YOU TO BE ORIGINAL. DO SOMETHING FOR
- 15 THE PEOPLE, NOT THE DEVELOPERS. THE COUNTY HAS A
- 16 RESPONSIBILITY TO ITS RESIDENTS, INCLUDING ITS LOW INCOME
- 17 RESIDENTS. WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD HAVE MORE TIME TO LOOK OVER
- 18 THIS FEASIBILITY CONCLUSION, WHICH WE ONLY RECEIVED A FEW DAYS
- 19 AGO. WE HAVEN'T HAD A SUFFICIENT TIME TO LOOK THIS OVER AND
- 20 THAT'S UNFAIR. PLEASE DON'T NEGLECT US, THE LOW INCOME
- 21 RESIDENTS. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. LISA PAYNE.

24



- 1 SPEAKER: LISA JUST LEFT AND SHE GAVE. ME HER SPEECH TO READ.
- 2 IT'S QUITE QUICK. LISA PAYNE IS THE POLICY DIRECTOR FOR SCANH,
- 3 WHICH YOU MAY HAVE HEARD OF, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION
- 4 OF NONPROFIT HOUSING. THEY'RE A MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATION AND
- 5 THEIR CORE MEMBERS ARE NONPROFIT AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPERS
- 6 WHICH DEVELOP BEAUTIFUL, 100% AFFORDABLE HOMES AND APARTMENT
- 7 RENTAL APARTMENTS THROUGHOUT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INCLUDING
- 8 L.A. COUNTY. CURRENTLY, OUR DEVELOPERS CANNOT AFFORD TO BUY
- 9 LAND FOR AFFORDABLE HOMES IN THE COASTAL ZONE. OUR DEVELOPERS
- 10 CANNOT SIMPLY COMPETE ON THE PRIVATE MARKET FOR THE LAND WITH
- 11 THE PRICES THAT FOR-PROFIT MARKET RATE DEVELOPERS ARE ABLE TO
- 12 PAY. THUS THE ONLY WAY THE COUNTY IS GOING TO ENSURE THAT
- 13 THERE ARE AFFORDABLE HOMES IN THE COASTAL ZONE IS THROUGH ITS
- 14 ENFORCEMENT OF THE MELLO ACT. SO WE URGE THE COUNTY TO INSIST
- 15 ON STRONG IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MELLO ACT SUCH THAT THE
- 16 WORKERS THAT MAKE THIS COASTAL AREA SUCH A DESIRABLE TOURIST
- 17 ZONE CAN AFFORD TO LIVE. WE ARE ASKING THAT THERE BE MORE
- 18 APARTMENTS INCLUDED FOR VERY-- SPECIFICALLY VERY LOW INCOME
- 19 HOUSEHOLDS. THOSE ARE THE FOLKS AND THE HOUSEHOLDS THAT ARE
- 20 MAKING ABOUT \$34,000 OR BELOW PER YEAR. AS A NONPROFIT
- 21 DEVELOPER, WHEN I SEE YOU FOLKS REQUIRING ONLY 17 HOMES AS A
- 22 PART OF THE MELLO ACT, IT'S SO LITTLE THAT REALLY IT'S SENDING
- 23 A SIGNAL THAT THE COUNTY ISN'T SERIOUS ABOUT ADDRESSING THIS
- 24 ISSUE. REQUIRING 54 HOMES TARGETED FOR VERY LOW UNTIL WORKING
- 25 FAMILIES IS GOING TO MAKE A DIFFERENT POINT AND IT'S GOING TO



- 1 BASICALLY SAY THAT THE COUNTY IS ON TRACK TO MAKE NOTICEABLE
- 2 IMPROVEMENTS IN THE LIVES OF THE COASTAL ZONE WORKERS. AND,
- 3 FINALLY, IN OUR MIND, THE RPC ERRED BY REQUIRING THAT
- 4 AFFORDABLE UNITS BE MAINTAINED AS AFFORDABLE FOR ONLY 30
- 5 YEARS. THE RPC SHOULD HAVE INSTRUCTED THE APPLICANT TO
- 6 MAINTAIN UNITS AS AFFORDABLE FOR EITHER THE DURATION OF THE
- 7 GROUND LEASE OR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT. SUCH A REQUIREMENT IS
- 8 NEEDED TO ENSURE SUSTAINED AFFORDABILITY OF HOUSING IN THE
- 9 MARINA. THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF AFFORDABILITY COVENANTS ON A
- 10 DEVELOPER'S RATE OF RETURN WILL BE EXPERIENCED ALMOST ENTIRELY
- 11 IN THE FIRST 30 YEARS. THEREFORE, THERE IS LITTLE FINANCIAL
- 12 IMPACT IF AFFORDABILITY COVENANTS EXTEND BEYOND 30 YEARS AND
- 13 YOU ALSO WILL LOSE ALL THE GREAT WORK, THE GREAT HOMES AND ALL
- 14 THE TIME THAT WE'VE SPENT HERE TODAY WORKING FOR AFFORDABLE
- 15 HOUSING IF THOSE COVENANTS END AFTER 30 YEARS. MAKE SENSE?
- 16 LIFE OF THE GROUND LEASE, LIFE OF THE PROJECT. THANK YOU.

18 GAGO ARANESZADEH: GAGO IS FINE.

17

19

21

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SORRY?

- 22 GAGO ARANESZADEH: GAGO IS FINE. MY NAME IS GAGO ARANESZADEH.
- 23 I'M A NEW RESIDENT IN MARINA DEL REY SHORES. I'M NEW TO THE
- 24 NEIGHBORHOOD. JUST REAL QUICK ABOUT THE BACKGROUND I HAVE.
- 25 I'VE HAD TWO PROFESSIONS IN MY LIFE. I'VE BEEN A CHEF AND I'M



- 1 A UNION CONSTRUCTION WORKER. I WAS ONE OF THE GUYS THAT BUILT
- 2 THIS CATHEDRAL AND I WAS ONE OF THE GUYS THAT BUILT THE DISNEY
- 3 CONCERT HALL. BECAUSE OF MY PROFESSIONAL CHOICES IN LIFE, I'VE
- 4 HAD A CHANCE TO LIVE IN PLACES LIKE MARINA DEL REY. ASPEN,
- 5 VALE, PARK CITY, UTAH, CAPE COD, MASSACHUSETTS, AND I'VE SEEN
- 6 THIS HAPPEN OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. I'VE BEEN A PART OF
- 7 IT. I SAW PARK CITY, UTAH, WHEN I MOVED IN THERE AND YOU COULD
- 8 GET AN APARTMENT FOR 300 A MONTH. THE LAST HOUSE I WORKED ON
- 9 IN PARK CITY, UTAH WAS A 6-MILLION-DOLLAR WINTER HOME FOR A
- 10 SINGLE LADY THAT WILL SPEND TWO WEEKS A YEAR THERE. VERY NICE
- 11 LADY, TOOK CARE OF US, BUT THIS IS HAPPENING ALL ACROSS
- 12 AMERICA. IT'S HAPPENING IN L.A. WE'VE BEEN PRICED OUT OF
- 13 SILVER LAKE, ECHO PARK, HOLLYWOOD. ANY NEIGHBORHOOD THAT
- 14 BECOMES CHIC OR A PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONSIDERED A PRIZE
- 15 PROPERTY, THAT HAS ANY KIND OF CHARACTER OR ANY KIND OF
- 16 NATURAL FEATURES THAT'S ATTRACTIVE TO A HUMAN BEING IS BEING
- 17 SET ASIDE FOR PEOPLE THAT CAN AFFORD IT ONLY. NOW, WHEN I
- 18 MOVED TO DEL REY SHORES, I MADE A DEAL WITH THEM. WHEN THEY
- 19 TEAR IT DOWN, I'M MOVING ON. AND THAT'S FINE. I'M GOING TO
- 20 KEEP TO MY END. I'M STILL FAIRLY YOUNG, I HAVE A VERY
- 21 ADVENTUROUS SOUL, I LIKE TO LIVE IN DIFFERENT PLACES. BUT
- 22 THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT IN MY SHOES, THAT CAN'T DO WHAT
- 23 I DO. THEIR JOBS, THEIR FINANCIAL STATUS DOES NOT ALLOW THEM
- 24 TO MOVE AS FREELY AND THESE ARE THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE BROUGHT
- 25 UP THE COMMUNITY, HAVE MAINTAINED IT OVER THE YEARS. THESE ARE



- 1 THE PEOPLE THAT ARE BACKBONE OF MARINA DEL REY, IT DOESN'T
- 2 HAVE A SCHOOL, DOESN'T HAVE A HOSPITAL. THIS IS THE CHARACTER
- 3 OF THE COMMUNITY THAT'S GETTING EVERYBODY ATTRACTED TO IT.
- 4 AND, ONCE AGAIN, THESE PEOPLE ARE BEING PRICED OUT AND IT'S
- 5 HAPPENED. I DROVE HALF AN HOUR FROM RIFLE, COLORADO, IN THE
- 6 SNOW EVERY DAY TO ASPEN TO WORK AND I RAN A FOUR STAR
- 7 RESTAURANT. GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE, FOR THE
- 8 PEOPLE. NOT OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE FOR THE PEOPLE THAT
- 9 CAN AFFORD IT. GIVE US A BREAK, GIVE THEM A BREAK. TODAY'S
- 10 CUSTOMERS, TOMORROW'S COMPETITION. SET A PRECEDENCE. I'M A
- 11 CONSTRUCTION WORKER. I WILL DONATE TIME ON THIS PROJECT TO
- 12 BRING THE COST DOWN TO HELP THESE PEOPLE GET SOME MORE
- 13 AFFORDABLE HOUSING. DO THE RIGHT THING. DON'T DO IT FOR
- 14 PROFIT, DON'T DO IT FOR ANY OTHER REASON THAN JUST TO DO IT
- 15 BECAUSE IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO! HELP US, HELP YOU, HELP
- 16 THEM. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. [APPLAUSE]

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. CARLOS-- IS IT...

20 CARLA AUDRUS: MY NAME'S CARLA ANDRUS.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CARLA? OKAY. DOESN'T SAY THAT. I
- 23 APOLOGIZE. GO AHEAD.

17

19

21

24

25 CARLA ANDRUS: MY NAME IS PRONOUNCED WRONG ALL THE TIME.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. GO AHEAD, CARLA.

- 4 CARLA ANDRUS: I'M ALSO A LEADER IN THE POWER-- COMMUNITY
- 5 ORGANIZATION WITHIN THE MARINA. I'VE LIVED IN THE MARINA FOR A
- 6 LONG TIME, I'VE SEEN THE COMMUNITY SUFFER UNDER THE SIEGE OF
- 7 REDEVELOPMENT. I'VE BEEN TO THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION
- 8 MEETINGS, I'VE BEEN TO THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD MEETINGS WHERE
- 9 PEOPLE HAVE JUST COME IN DROVES TO SHARE THEIR STORIES LIKE
- 10 THIS. AND, I MEAN, IT'S JUST-- DEMOLISHING ANOTHER 202 UNITS
- 11 WILL DISPLACE HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE FROM THEIR HOMES AGAIN. THIS
- 12 THREATENS THE SECURITY OF MARINA RESIDENTS AND AFFORDABLE
- 13 HOUSING. THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS. DEL REY SHORES SHOULD PAINT
- 14 ITS BUILDING AND DO THE REQUIRED MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP
- 15 REOUIRED BY ITS CURRENT GROUND LEASE OBLIGATIONS UNTIL 2020
- 16 AND ALLOW THIS PUBLICLY OWNED LAND TO REVERT BACK TO THE
- 17 COUNTY FOR THE MANDATED BIDDING PROCESS. THIS WOULD ALLOW FOR,
- 18 ONE, TIME FOR A MASTER PLAN. TWO, A PUBLIC BIDDING PROCESS
- 19 THAT WOULD ENERGIZE THE BUSINESS AND DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY. A
- 20 PUBLIC BIDDING PROCESS WOULD CREATE AN INCENTIVE FOR
- 21 DEVELOPERS TO OFFER THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 22 FOR THE PRIVILEGE OF BUILDING ON PUBLIC LAND IN MARINA DEL
- 23 REY. WE ARE NOT OBLIGATED TO THESE LONG-TIME DEVELOPERS,
- 24 ESPECIALLY MR. EPSTEIN, WHO PROPOSES TO DISPLACE ALL HIS
- 25 CURRENT RESIDENTS IN EXCHANGE FOR HIGHER PRICE RENTALS AND AN

13

15

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 INADEOUATE NUMBER OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. IF THIS
- 2 DEVELOPMENT GOES FORWARD, YOU MUST INSIST ON THE MAXIMUM
- 3 AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS TO OFFSET THE DEVASTATING
- 4 EFFECTS ON OUR COMMUNITY THAT WE HAVE SUFFERED SO FAR. THE
- 5 COMMUNITY HAS AN OBLIGATION -- THE COUNTY, I'M SORRY, HAS AN
- 6 OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO ALL OF ITS
- 7 CONSTITUENTS, INCLUDING ITS LOWER INCOME RESIDENTS. THE COUNTY
- 8 HAS OBLIGATIONS TO ITS RESIDENTS OVER THE DEVELOPERS. IN
- 9 ADDITION TO THIS, I BELIEVE THAT WE AT POWER HAVE NOT HAD
- 10 ENOUGH TIME TO LOOK OVER THE FEASIBILITY STUDY SINCE WE ONLY
- 11 RECEIVED IT A FEW DAYS AGO. GIVE US A LITTLE TIME, PLEASE GIVE
- 12 US MORE TIME TO LOOK THIS OVER. THANK YOU.

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. DON WITNON.

16 DON WITNON: THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. I JUST WANTED

- 17 TO SAY AGAIN AND REITERATE THAT I KNOW YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 18 THE HUMAN COST AS FAR AS REPLACEMENT UNITS, BUT I WANT TO
- 19 EMPHASIZE THAT THERE'S A HUMAN COST WITH THE SHORES PROJECT
- 20 FOR 68 HOMEOWNERS WHO WILL NOW FACE A 100 FOOT WALL OF
- 21 APARTMENTS, 25 FEET ALREADY ELEVATED AND THEN ANOTHER 75 FEET
- 22 AS THEY BUILD UP CLOSE TO US AND ABOVE US, BLOCKING OUR AIR,
- 23 OUR SUNLIGHT, AND THE LIMITED OPEN VIEW WE NOW HAVE, A VERY
- 24 LIMIT VIEW, ACTUALLY. WE WILL TRULY LIVE IN A DEEP CAVERN THAT
- 25 IS DARK MOST OF THE DAY. OUR PROPERTY VALUES WILL SUFFER. ALL



- 1 THE DEVELOPER'S SHADOW STUDIES THAT ARE TRULY DUBIOUS IN THEIR
- 2 DESIGN CANNOT CHANGE THE REALITY OF WHAT WILL BEFALL OUR
- 3 HOMEOWNERS, US. THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF THE SHORES PROJECT WILL
- 4 HAVE ON SO MANY HOMEOWNERS IS UNPRECEDENTED. THIS IS
- 5 UNPRECEDENTED, THAT OTHER MARINA PROJECTS IN PROGRESS BECAUSE
- 6 WE'RE SO DIRECTLY, SO CLOSELY AFFECTED WITH THIS BUILDING. 68
- 7 HOMEOWNERS APPEAL TO YOU TO PREVENT THEIR HOMES FROM BEING SO
- 8 SEVERELY IMPACTED BY DEVELOPERS WHO HAVE NOT TRULY CONSIDERED
- 9 HOW THEIR PROJECT WILL AFFECT THEIR NEIGHBORS' QUALITY OF LIFE
- 10 OR THEIR PRIMARY INVESTMENT. WE ASK FOR THE DEVELOPER TO
- 11 CONSIDER US IN HIS ARCHITECTURAL PLANS AND THANK YOU AGAIN FOR
- 12 LISTENING TO ME.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THAT'S THE LAST CARD I
- 15 HAVE, SO NO OTHER PERSON WANTS TO BE HEARD. PUBLIC HEARING IS
- 16 CLOSED. OH, I'M SORRY. THE APPLICANT. I APOLOGIZE. AT LEAST
- 17 YOU WERE PAYING ATTENTION. JUST A TEST. OKAY. THE APPLICANT'S
- 18 REPRESENTATIVE, MR. DAVID O. LEVINE, DALE GOLDSMITH, PATRICIA
- 19 FLYNN AND AARON CLARK. I THINK IT WAS THE LAWYERS WHO HAD
- 20 APOPLEXY BECAUSE THERE WENT THEIR BILLING. THEY DIDN'T
- 21 TESTIFY, THEY COULDN'T BILL. JUST A JOKE. ALL RIGHT. I'LL
- 22 LEAVE IT TO YOU HOW YOU WANT TO...

- 24 DALE GOLDSMITH: WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO-- FIRST OF ALL, FIRST
- 25 OF ALL, FOR THE RECORD, MY NAME IS DALE GOLDSMITH, A PARTNER



- 1 WITH THE LAW FIRM OF ARMBREWSTER AND GOLDSMITH, REPRESENTING
- 2 THE APPLICANTS. AS A HOUSEKEEPING MATTER, HONORABLE BOARD
- 3 MEMBERS, I THINK WE'D LIKE TO POOL OUR TIME SO I WOULD BE THE
- 4 ONLY ONE DOING THE RESPONSE. GIVEN I HAVE TWO APPEALS TO TRY
- 5 TO ADDRESS, I WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST 15 MINUTES AND I'LL
- 6 TRY TO GET IT DONE QUICKER.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE'LL GIVE YOU UP TO 15 MINUTES.
- 9 IF YOU CAN DO IT IN LESS, THAT WOULD BE GOOD, TOO, BUT THAT
- 10 WOULD BE FAIR IN LIGHT OF...

- 12 DALE GOLDSMITH: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WOULD LIKE TO TOUCH ON,
- 13 BEFORE I ACTUALLY GO INTO MY REBUTTAL, THE ISSUE OF THE
- 14 APPLICANT'S FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS. AS MR. WEISS MENTIONED, THE
- 15 FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS IS NOT REQUIRED UNDER THE MELLO ACT. WE
- 16 FELT THOUGH IT WOULD CREATE A STRONGER RECORD IF IT WAS IN THE
- 17 RECORD. WE THINK THERE'S A CHANCE, AT LEAST, OF LITIGATION AND
- 18 WE FELT THAT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO HAVE THAT IN THE
- 19 RECORD. WE'VE WORKED DILIGENTLY WITH THE COUNTY STAFF SINCE
- 20 REALLY THE BEGINNING OF JANUARY TO TRY TO PUT THIS TOGETHER.
- 21 AS YOU GET A SENSE FROM THE TESTIMONY TODAY, THIS IS PRETTY
- 22 HEAVY STUFF. WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GOT IT RIGHT, THAT
- 23 WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE WITH COUNTY STAFF. WE HAD ORIGINALLY
- 24 TARGETED TO GET IT DONE A WEEK BEFORE THE ORIGINAL HEARING
- 25 LAST WEEK. WE FOUND OUT ON THE 18TH, FROM COUNTY STAFF AND



- 1 FROM THE BOARD OFFICES, THAT THE ITEM WOULD BE CONTINUED. WE
- 2 THOUGHT WE'D TAKE A COUPLE MORE DAYS, AGAIN, TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 3 WE GOT IT RIGHT SO THAT IT WOULD ADEQUATELY PROTECT BOTH THE
- 4 COUNTY AND THE APPLICANT IN THE EVENT OF LITIGATION. THE
- 5 APPLICANT'S ANALYSIS WAS DATED THE 25TH. THAT'S A SUNDAY. WE
- 6 ACTUALLY FINALIZED IT EARLY TUESDAY MORNING THE 27TH. WE SENT
- 7 IT OFF TO THE BOARD OFFICES, INCLUDING YOUR OFFICE, SUPERVISOR
- 8 YAROSLAVSKY, AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT YOUR STAFF
- 9 IMMEDIATELY FORWARDED IT TO THE APPLICANT -- TO THE APPELLANTS
- 10 AND THEY'VE HAD A WEEK TO REVIEW IT. IN ADDITION, WITH RESPECT
- 11 TO MY LETTER TO THE BOARD, THAT WAS THE APPEAL RESPONSE THAT
- 12 WAS REFERRED TO, THAT WAS SUBMITTED BUT, AS FAR AS I KNOW, THE
- 13 APPELLANTS HAD NEVER ASKED FOR IT. COUNTY STAFF, ON THEIR OWN
- 14 VOLITION, OFFERED IT TO THEM AT A MEETING YESTERDAY WHERE WE
- 15 WERE TRYING TO GET ON THE SAME PAGE AND, FROM MR. BEACH'S
- 16 TESTIMONY, WHO WAS QUOTING WHOLESALE PASSAGES FROM THE LETTER,
- 17 I GATHER HE DID HAVE AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IT.
- 18 GIVEN THAT THIS PROJECT HAS SUFFERED MANY MONTHS OF DELAY, WE
- 19 WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU DENY THE REQUEST FOR A
- 20 CONTINUANCE AND HAVE RESULT TODAY. WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 21 RESPONSES TO THE APPEALS, I'D LIKE TO START WITH THE POWER
- 22 APPEAL FIRST. AND ALSO I WANTED TO MENTION THAT I DID SEND
- 23 SOME LETTERS TO THE BOARD DATED THE 27TH AND 28TH RESPECTIVELY
- 24 WHICH CONTAINED DETAILED POINT-BY-POINT RESPONSES BUT I WANT
- 25 TO FOCUS ON JUST A COUPLE ISSUES HERE. THE ESSENCE OF THE



- 1 POWER APPEAL IS THE PROJECT'S FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE MELLO
- 2 ACT. CONTRARY TO THE APPEAL, THE MELLO ACT GIVES THE COUNTY
- 3 CONSIDERABLE DISCRETION IN IMPLEMENTING THE ACT. YOUR PLANNING
- 4 COMMISSIONERS PROPERLY EXERCISED ITS DISCRETION TO IMPOSE IN
- 5 THE PROJECT ON-SITE REPLACEMENT AND INCLUSIONARY AFFORDABLE
- 6 HOUSING REOUIREMENTS. WE BELIEVE THAT THE RPC'S ACTION FULLY
- 7 COMPLIED WITH THE MELLO ACT. CONTRARY TO THE APPEAL, THE MELLO
- 8 ACT DOES NOT CONTAIN DETAILED COMPLIANCE PROCEDURES. AS COUNTY
- 9 COUNSEL MENTIONED, IT'S A FAIRLY TERSE AND SOMEWHAT GENERAL
- 10 STATUTE. THE ACT'S BROAD LANGUAGE REFLECTS THE LEGISLATURE'S
- 11 INTENT TO GIVE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS THE DISCRETION TO IMPLEMENT
- 12 THE ACT, BASED ON THE UNIOUE CIRCUMSTANCES OF EACH
- 13 JURISDICTION. AS A RESULT, DIFFERENT JURISDICTIONS UP AND DOWN
- 14 THE STATE HAVE DEVELOPED DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO MELLO ACT
- 15 COMPLIANCE. IN THIS CASE, THE COUNTY FORMULATED ITS OWN
- 16 APPROACH FOR THE PROJECT THAT MEETS BOTH THE LETTER AND THE
- 17 SPIRIT OF THE MELLO ACT. REGARDING REPLACEMENT UNITS, THE
- 18 APPELLANTS ARGUED THAT THE REPLACEMENT UNIT REQUIREMENT SHOULD
- 19 BE BASED SOLELY ON TENANT INCOME INFORMATION. THE PROBLEM WITH
- 20 THIS ARGUMENT IS THAT MANY TENANTS, FOR PRIVACY REASONS, DO
- 21 NOT WANT TO DISCLOSE THEIR PERSONAL INCOME INFORMATION TO THE
- 22 COUNTY. THERE'S SIMPLY NO WAY TO COMPEL THE TENANTS TO PROVIDE
- 23 SUCH INFORMATION. CONTRARY TO THE APPEAL, THE MELLO ACT
- 24 DOESN'T ESTABLISH ANY PARTICULAR METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING
- 25 REPLACEMENT UNITS. IN THIS CASE, THE REGIONAL PLANNING



- 1 COMMISSION DETERMINED THE REPLACEMENT UNIT REQUIREMENT BASED
- 2 ON THE POLICIES OF THE COUNTY'S MELLO ACT TASK FORCE AND THOSE
- 3 POLICIES ESTABLISH A THREE-STEP INQUIRY IN DETERMINING
- 4 REPLACEMENT UNITS. THAT INQUIRY STARTED WITH A COUNTY-APPROVED
- 5 INCOME SURVEY OF ALL OF THE EXISTING TENANTS. OUR CLIENT
- 6 DILIGENTLY FOLLOWED UP BY PHONE, USING A COUNTY APPROVED
- 7 SCRIPT, IN AN EFFORT TO ELICIT ADDITIONAL RESPONSES FROM
- 8 TENANTS WHO DID NOT RETURN THE SURVEY. IN ADDITIONAL OUR
- 9 CLIENT PROVIDED A DETAILED LOG OF THESE FOLLOW-UP CALLS FOR
- 10 AUDIT BY THE COUNTY TASK FORCE. OVERALL, 159 OF THE 202,
- 11 TENANTS OR ABOUT 65%, RETURNED THE SURVEY. HOWEVER, 59 OF
- 12 THOSE TENANTS DECLINED TO STATE THEIR INCOMES. FOR THE TENANTS
- 13 WHO DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME, COUNTY STAFF LOOKED TO THE CURRENT
- 14 TENANT INCOME INFORMATION ON FILE WITH THE LANDLORD THAT WAS
- 15 LESS THAN TWO YEARS OLD. WHEN THIS INFORMATION WAS NOT
- 16 AVAILABLE, AS A LAST RESORT, RENT LEVELS WERE LOOKED AT AND
- 17 THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH THE DRAFT POLICY THAT YOU'LL BE
- 18 CONSIDERING SOON. THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION STAFF
- 19 AND IT'S OUTSIDE CONSULTING FIRM AUDITED THE SURVEY RESULTS
- 20 AND OTHER INFORMATION. THEY DETERMINED THAT 37 OF THE UNITS
- 21 QUALIFIED AS REPLACEMENT UNITS. OF THIS TOTAL, 27 ARE ONE
- 22 BEDROOM AND 10 ARE TWO BEDROOM. THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 23 COMMISSION DETERMINED, AS A MATTER OF POLICY, THAT ALL THE
- 24 PROJECTS, 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS, SHOULD BE AFFORDABLE TO
- 25 TENANTS WITH MODERATE INCOMES. MODERATE INCOME START AT



- 1 APPROXIMATELY \$47,000 AND INCREASE BASED ON HOUSEHOLD SIZE.
- 2 THESE LEVELS CORRESPOND TO THE INCOMES OF TEACHERS AND ALSO TO
- 3 ENTRY LEVEL FIREFIGHTERS AND POLICE. WE BELIEVE THAT, AS A
- 4 POLICY MATTER, IT IS ENTIRELY APPROPRIATE FOR THE COUNTY TO
- 5 SEEK TO PROVIDE MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FOR PEOPLE OF THESE
- 6 OCCUPATIONS, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE PROJECT'S INCLUSIONARY UNITS
- 7 WILL BE TARGETED TO VERY LOW INCOME TENANTS. IT IS ALSO
- 8 IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE 37 MODERATE INCOME REPLACEMENT
- 9 UNITS WILL BE REPLACING UNITS THAT HAVE ALWAYS BEEN RENTED AT
- 10 MARKET RATES. AS A RESPONSE TO THE ARGUMENT THAT IT IS A
- 11 MATTER OF LAW, LIKE-FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT IS REQUIRED, I'D
- 12 POINT YOU TO THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES MELLO ACT PROCEDURES
- 13 WHICH WERE ESTABLISHED UNDER A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVED
- 14 BY THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION AND THE WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND
- 15 POVERTY, WHO REPRESENT POWER IN THIS APPEAL, THOSE INTERIM
- 16 PROCEDURES SPECIFICALLY ALLOW FOR MODERATE INCOME REPLACEMENT
- 17 UNITS. REQUIRING LIKE-FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT AS ADVOCATED BY THE
- 18 APPELLANTS WOULD MEAN THAT 22 OF THE 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS
- 19 WOULD NEED TO BE RENTED TO LOW INCOME TENANTS. THE MAXIMA
- 20 GROUP HAS PREPARED A SUPPLEMENTAL ANALYSIS WHICH SHOWS THAT
- 21 INCLUDING 22 REPLACEMENT UNITS WOULD RENDER THE PROJECT
- 22 ECONOMICALLY INFEASIBLE UNLESS THE COUNTY WERE TO OFFER AN
- 23 ADDITIONAL \$4.15 MILLION OF RENT CREDITS. THIS WOULD FURTHER
- 24 REDUCE COUNTY REVENUES THAT COULD BE USED TO FULFILL OTHER
- 25 PUBLIC POLICY OBJECTIVES AND I WILL BE SUBMITTING THIS



- 1 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT FOR THE RECORD. AT 37 MODERATE INCOME
- 2 UNITS, THE PROJECT WILL BE PROVIDING AN UNPRECEDENTED AMOUNT
- 3 OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING. INDEED, THIS IS THE FIRST PROJECT IN
- 4 MARINA DEL REY THAT WILL PROVIDE REPLACEMENT UNITS. ACCORDING
- 5 TO STATISTICS MAINTAINED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES FROM THE
- 6 YEARS 2000 TO 2005, THERE WERE ONLY SIX REPLACEMENT UNITS
- 7 CONSTRUCTED IN THE CITY. IN THIS CONTEXT, WE BELIEVE THAT THE
- 8 PROJECT REPRESENTS A SIGNIFICANT STEP TOWARDS CREATING MORE
- 9 AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE MARINA. REGARDING INCLUSIONARY
- 10 UNITS, THE PROJECT WILL BE PROVIDING 17 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS
- 11 IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE MELLO ACT. THIS MEETS THE COUNTY'S
- 12 GOAL OF PROVIDING 5% OF THE NET NEW UNITS AS AFFORDABLE TO
- 13 VERY LOW INCOME TENANTS. COUNTY STAFF DETERMINED THAT THE
- 14 PROJECT CAN FEASIBLY PROVIDE THIS AMOUNT OF INCLUSIONARY UNITS
- 15 BUT ONLY WITH AN UNPRECEDENTED COUNTY RENT CREDIT OF OVER \$11
- 16 MILLION. IT ALSO REQUIRES THE APPLICANT TO FOREGO UP TO \$6
- 17 MILLION IN NET PRESENT VALUE OF REVENUES. THIS IS IN CONTRAST
- 18 TO THE APPLICANT'S ORIGINAL PROPOSAL OF 3.6 MILLION DOLLAR IN
- 19 LIEU FEE. THE COUNTY DETERMINED THE RENT CREDIT BASED ON THE
- 20 COUNTY'S POLICY OF REQUIRING GROUND RENTS EQUAL TO 10.5
- 21 PERCENT OF GROSS REVENUE AND REAL WORLD, NOT THEORETICAL,
- 22 ECONOMIC FACTORS SUCH AS MARKET RETURN ON COST AND PROJECT
- 23 MARKET AND AFFORDABLE RENTS. THE APPELLANTS MAINTAIN THAT THE
- 24 SHORES PROJECT SHOULD PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INCLUSIONARY UNITS.
- 25 THE ESSENCE OF THIS ARGUMENT, WHICH YOU HEARD TIME AND TIME



- 1 AGAIN, IS THAT THE PROJECT SHOULD PROVIDE INCLUSIONARY UNITS
- 2 TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT FEASIBLE. HOWEVER, THE MELLO ACT DOESN'T
- 3 SPECIFY ANY SPECIFIC NUMBER OF UNITS, MUCH LESS THE MAXIMUM
- 4 FEASIBLE. INDEED, AND YOU CAN SEE THE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY
- 5 ATTACHED TO MY LETTER, THE LEGISLATURE DELETED MAXIMUM EXTENT
- 6 FEASIBLE LANGUAGE FROM AN EARLIER VERSION OF THE BILL THAT
- 7 ULTIMATELY RESULTED IN THE MELLO ACT'S ADOPTION. AS ADOPTED,
- 8 THE ACT ONLY REQUIRES INCLUSIONARY UNITS WHERE FEASIBLE.
- 9 FEASIBILITY IS BROADLY DEFINED TO ALLOW THE COUNTY TO TAKE
- 10 INTO ACCOUNT A WIDE RANGE OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL
- 11 AND OTHER TECHNICAL FACTORS. THIS GIVES THE COUNTY THE ABILITY
- 12 TO FORMULATE A POLICY AGAIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS OWN
- 13 SPECIFIC NEEDS. THE APPELLANTS ARGUE THAT THE REGIONAL
- 14 PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVAL WAS FLAWED BECAUSE THE RPC
- 15 ITSELF DID NOT PREPARE A DETAILED FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS. THE
- 16 MELLO ACT DOES NOT REQUIRE SUCH AN ANALYSIS IN THIS CASE.
- 17 REALLY, A FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS IS NEEDED WHEN AN APPLICANT IS
- 18 SEEKING NOT TO PROVIDE, TO AVOID PROVIDING THE ON-SITE
- 19 INCLUSIONARY HOUSING. THAT'S CLEARLY NOT THE CASE HERE. IN ANY
- 20 EVENT, COUNTY STAFF MADE A FEASIBILITY DETERMINATION IN
- 21 CALCULATING THE RENT CREDIT IN CONNECTION WITH THE LEASE
- 22 NEGOTIATIONS. THIS DETERMINATION WAS REFLECTED IN THE AMENDED
- 23 AND RESTATED LEASE THAT WAS APPROVED BY THIS BOARD ON DECEMBER
- 24 12TH, 2006. THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION RELIED ON THIS
- 25 DETERMINATION AND ITS SUPPORTING ANALYSIS WHEN IT FORMALLY



- 1 APPROVED THE PROJECT ON DECEMBER 13TH, 2006. HOWEVER, TO
- 2 REMOVE ANY POSSIBLE DOUBT AS TO FEASIBILITY AND TO CREATE THE
- 3 STRONGEST RECORD POSSIBLE, EXHIBIT 3 TO OUR FEBRUARY 27TH
- 4 LETTER IS A DETAILED FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS BY THE MAXIMA GROUP
- 5 THAT SETS FORTH THE PRECISE METHODOLOGY THAT THE COUNTY USED
- 6 TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF THE RENT CREDIT. THIS ANALYSIS
- 7 SHOWS THAT ANY ADDITIONAL AFFORDABLE UNITS WOULD RENDER THE
- 8 PROJECT ECONOMICALLY INFEASIBLE WITHOUT AN ADDITIONAL RENT
- 9 CREDIT FROM THE COUNTY. IN ADDITION, IN THE BREAK, WE'VE HAD A
- 10 CHANCE TO REVIEW SOME OF THE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE
- 11 APPELLANTS AND THEIR CONSULTANT. WE BELIEVE THAT, AMONG OTHER
- 12 FLAWS, THE ANALYSIS SUBSTANTIALLY OVERSTATES MARKET RENTS AND
- 13 MAXIMUM PERMITTED RENTS FOR BOTH THE AFFORDABLE AND THE MARKET
- 14 RATE UNITS AND ALSO UNDERSTATES BOTH PROJECT COSTS AND VACANCY
- 15 RATES. WE BELIEVE THAT THE AMOUNT OF THE INCLUSIONARY UNITS IS
- 16 A POLICY MATTER. AS THIS BOARD KNOWS, COUNTY RESOURCES ARE NOT
- 17 LIMITLESS. ADDITIONAL INCLUSIONARY UNITS WOULD REQUIRE AN
- 18 ADDITIONAL RENT CREDIT THAT WOULD DEPRIVE THE COUNTY OF NEEDED
- 19 GENERAL FUND REVENUES. WE BELIEVE THAT IT IS ENTIRELY
- 20 APPROPRIATE FOR THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS TO DETERMINE WHETHER TO ALLOCATE LIMITED RESOURCES
- 22 TO SUBSIDIZE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE MARINA OR TO MEET OTHER
- 23 PRESSING NEEDS SUCH AS HEALTHCARE. I'D LIKE TO RESPOND BRIEFLY
- 24 TO THE FINE APPEAL. THE ONE THING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS THE
- 25 ISSUE OF SETBACKS. THERE WAS AN ASSERTION THAT THE PROJECT



- 1 WOULD BE PROVIDING INSUFFICIENT SETBACKS ALONG ITS WESTERN
- 2 BOUNDARY ADJACENT TO THE CONDOMINIUM PROJECT. THE REGIONAL
- 3 PLANNING COMMISSION DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SETBACK IS
- 4 APPROPRIATE BECAUSE IT EXCEEDS CODE REQUIREMENTS, BECAUSE
- 5 THERE WILL BE A SUFFICIENT DISTANCE, ACTUAL DISTANCE BETWEEN
- 6 THE PROJECT AND THE CONDOMINIUMS AND BECAUSE THE COUNTY'S
- 7 E.I.R. SHOWS THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACT
- 8 THE CONDOMINIUM'S VIEWS, LIGHT OR AIR. WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 9 CODE REQUIREMENTS, THE SPECIFIC PLAN REQUIRES A MINIMUM 10-
- 10 FOOT SETBACK...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M GOING TO-- I WON'T HOLD HIS
- 13 TIME, JUST STOP HIS TIME, IF YOU CAN. THAT LAST STATEMENT IS--
- 14 LET ME JUST ASK YOU A COUPLE OF DIRECTIONAL QUESTIONS. THE
- 15 SHORELINE AT THAT PART OF THE MARINA GOES IN WHICH DIRECTION?
- 16 IS IT NORTH SOUTH?

17

18 DALE GOLDSMITH: THE SHORELINE?

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES.

21

22 DALE GOLDSMITH: YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THE CONDOMINIUM PROJECT THAT'S
- 2 ON THE OTHER SIDE OF DEL STREET OR THE ALLEY OR WHATEVER YOU
- 3 CALL IT...

4

5 DALE GOLDSMITH: THE PRIVATE ACCESS ROAD, YES.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT STREET A NORTH/SOUTH
- 8 STREET?

9

10 DALE GOLDSMITH: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH SIDE OF THE COMPASS DOES THE
- 13 SUN RISE FROM?

14

15 DALE GOLDSMITH: THE SUN RISES IN THE EAST.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THEREFORE THE CONDOMINIUMS
- 18 THAT ARE ALONG DEL, THE BACK OF THOSE CONDOMINIUMS FACE THE
- 19 EAST, DO THEY NOT?

20

21 **DALE GOLDSMITH:** THEY DO.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE SUN RISE RISES IN THE EAST, AS
- 24 YOU JUST ATTESTED.



1 DALE GOLDSMITH: THAT'S CORRECT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEREFORE, HOW CAN YOU MAKE THE
- 4 STATEMENT THAT IT WILL NOT-- THAT A 75 FOOT HIGH BUILDING THAT
- 5 IS 25 FEET AWAY FROM THEIR WINDOWS WON'T AFFECT THEIR ACCESS
- 6 TO LIGHT?

7

- 8 DALE GOLDSMITH: WE ACTUALLY HAVE THE-- FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT
- 9 MAINTAINING IT. THAT'S THE COUNTY'S E.I.R. THAT'S SAYING IT
- 10 BUT I HAPPEN TO AGREE WITH THAT CONCLUSION. THE ANALYSIS
- 11 SHOWS-- AND REMEMBER, SUPERVISOR, THAT, IN THE WINTER, THE SUN
- 12 IS MUCH FURTHER TO THE SOUTH SO IT'S NOT A DIRECT...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I KNOW BUT, IN THE SUMMER AND IN
- 15 THE SPRING AND IN THE FALL, IT RISES IN THE DUE EAST OR IN THE
- 16 NORTHEAST.

17

- 18 DALE GOLDSMITH: THAT'S CORRECT. SO, DURING MOST OF THE TIME OF
- 19 THE YEAR, THERE'S NO SHADOWS CAST AT ALL DUE TO THE ANGLE OF
- 20 THE SUN. THE COUNTY'S ANALYSIS...

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, I DON'T BUY THAT. HOW DO YOU--
- 23 I MEAN, MAYBE IT DOESN'T CAST A SHADOW IN THE WINTER WHEN IT
- 24 RISES IN THE SOUTHEAST BUT WHEN IT RISES IN THE EAST IN MARCH
- 25 AND SEPTEMBER-- I WILL PERMIT YOU...



1

- 2 DALE GOLDSMITH: SO I JUST WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE WINTER FIRST.
- 3 REFERRING TO THE SUMMER, WHEN THE SUN IS AT ITS HIGHEST POINT
- 4 IN THE SKY, THE ANALYSIS SHOWS THAT, ALTHOUGH THERE WILL BE
- 5 SHADOWS IN THE VERY EARLY MORNING, THOSE SHADOWS WILL BE GONE
- 6 BY 7:40 A.M., SO THERE'S ONLY ABOUT 90 OR SO MINUTES OF SHADOW
- 7 AND THAT'S ONLY DURING THE SUMMER SOLSTICE. AS THE SUN MOVES
- 8 ACROSS THE SKY, THE SHADOW INCREMENTS GET SHORTER AND SHORTER,
- 9 BASED ON CRITERIA, BOTH CITY AND COUNTY CRITERIA, BOTH WERE
- 10 APPLIED BECAUSE THE CONDOMINIUMS ARE ACTUALLY LOCATED IN THE
- 11 CITY OF LOS ANGELES. THE ANALYSIS CONCLUDED THAT, UNDER EITHER
- 12 CRITERIA, THIS DID NOT CONSTITUTE A SIGNIFICANT SHADE AND
- 13 SHADOW IMPACT.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO WHEN YOU SAY THE SHADOWS WILL--
- 16 IN THE WINTER SOLSTICE WILL EXPIRE AT 7:45?

17

18 DALE GOLDSMITH: 7:40, ACCORDING TO THE...

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 7:40. WHATEVER.

21

22 DALE GOLDSMITH: SUMMER SOLSTICE.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I THINK YOU SAID WINTER. SO
- 25 SUMMER. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SUMMER.



1

- 2 DALE GOLDSMITH: I APOLOGIZE. DURING THE SUMMER SOLSTICE AND,
- 3 INDEED, THIS EXHIBIT IS FROM THE E.I.R. WHICH-- AND I
- 4 APOLOGIZE IF IT'S DIFFICULT TO SEE, WHICH DEPICTS WHERE THE
- 5 SHADE AND SHADOWS ARE.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I GUESS THE QUESTION I WOULD ASK
- 8 IS, WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SHADOW THAT'S BEING
- 9 CAST CURRENTLY AND THE SHADOW THAT WILL BE CAST BY THIS
- 10 BUILDING DURING THE VARIOUS TIMES OF THE YEAR?

11

- 12 DALE GOLDSMITH: I BELIEVE THAT, AT LEAST DURING THE SUMMER
- 13 SOLSTICE, THIS WILL REPRESENT THE NET NEW SHADOW BUT,
- 14 CURRENTLY, I DON'T THINK...

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HOW MANY MORE MINUTES OF SHADOW
- 17 WILL THESE...

18

19 DALE GOLDSMITH: I THINK IT'S APPROXIMATELY 90 MINUTES.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 90 MINUTES. WHAT ARE THE...

22

23 DALE GOLDSMITH: BUT ONLY IN THE EARLY MORNING.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE THOSE 90 MINUTES? FROM
- 2 SUNRISE TO 7:40?

3

4 DALE GOLDSMITH: I BELIEVE IT'S 5:30-- YEAH, SUNRISE TO 7:40.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE REST OF THE DAY? IN THE
- 7 AFTERNOON, THEY'RE IN THE SHADOW ANYWAY, AREN'T THEY, BECAUSE
- 8 THE SUN IS SETTING ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE BUILDING?

9

- 10 DALE GOLDSMITH: YEAH, BUT THE SHADOWS ARE CAST BY THE OWNED
- 11 BUILDING, BY THE-- BUILDINGS TO THE WEST OR THE BUILDINGS
- 12 THEMSELVES WILL CAST SHADOWS TO THE EAST.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO HOW MUCH SUNLIGHT WILL REACH
- 15 THESE-- THE BACKS OF THESE CONDOS DURING THE DAY, FROM 7:40
- 16 A.M. IN THE SUMMER SOLSTICE, 7:40 A.M. UNTIL WHEN WILL THERE
- 17 BE SUNLIGHT? AT WHAT POINT DOES IT CEASE TO BE IN THE
- 18 SUNLIGHT?

- 20 DALE GOLDSMITH: YEAH, I CAN'T ANSWER THAT BECAUSE, AGAIN, I'M
- 21 RELYING ON THE E.I.R. THOUGH I BELIEVE THE E.I.R. CONSULTANT'S
- 22 HERE BUT THE INCREMENTAL SHADOW IS ONLY IN THE VERY EARLY
- 23 HOURS OF THE MORNING. AND THEN-- IT'S GONE BY 7:40 AND, AS
- 24 SHOWN ON HERE WITHOUT SHADOW, THAT WOULD I THINK-- I THINK IT
- 25 MEANS SUN. OBVIOUSLY, AS THE SUN GOES OVER AT A CERTAIN POINT,



- 1 THERE WILL BE SHADOWS CAST BUT, IN TERMS OF PROJECT
- 2 INCREMENTAL SHADOWS, THEY'RE ONLY UP TO 90 MINUTES IN THE VERY
- 3 EARLY HOURS OF THE MORNING.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HOW ABOUT THE OTHER PARTS OF THE
- 6 CALENDAR? HOW ABOUT THE EQUINOX AND THE WINTER SOLSTICE?

7

- 8 DALE GOLDSMITH: NO SHADOWS CAST AT ALL, AGAIN, ACCORDING TO
- 9 THE COUNTY'S FINAL E.I.R. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF SHADE AND
- 10 SHADOW EXHIBITS THAT VERY CLEARLY DEPICT THIS AT VARIOUS TIMES
- 11 OF THE YEAR.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THERE IS NO DIMINUTION OF
- 14 ACCESS TO LIGHT AT ANY OTHER TIME OF THE YEAR OTHER THAN ON
- 15 DECEMBER 21ST?

16

- 17 DALE GOLDSMITH: NO, AGAIN, IT'S ONLY DURING THE SUMMER
- 18 SOLSTICE WHEN THE SUN IS AT ITS HIGHEST POINT IN THE SKY...

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I MEANT JUNE 21ST.

- 22 DALE GOLDSMITH: YEAH, JUNE 21ST. THE MAXIMUM DURATION IS 90
- 23 MINUTES THEN, ON EITHER SIDE OF JUNE 21ST, THE SHADOWS
- 24 DIMINISH EARLIER AND EARLIER TO THE POINT OF ZERO AT A CERTAIN



- 1 POINT, WHICH I THINK THE E.I.R. CONSULTANT WILL PROBABLY TELL
- 2 YOU THE PRECISE DATE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS THE POINT AT WHICH THERE'S
- 5 NO NET IMPACT ON SHADOW? IS THERE ANYBODY HERE WHO KNOWS THE
- 6 ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION?

7

- 8 RUSSELL FRICANO: MR. SUPERVISOR, MAY I SUGGEST WE HAVE ERIC
- 9 SAKOWITZ SPEAK TO THAT. THE COMMISSION RELIED ON HIS
- 10 EXPERTISE...

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SURE. WHOEVER HAS THE ANSWER.

13

- 14 RUSSELL FRICANO: ...WHEN THIS ISSUE CAME UP AT THE COMMISSION
- 15 HEARING.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHILE HE COMES UP HERE, I'LL LET
- 18 YOU GO AHEAD AND FINISH YOUR TESTIMONY.

- 20 DALE GOLDSMITH: OKAY. WELL, ONE OF THE THINGS I WAS GOING TO
- 21 TALK ABOUT WITH SHADE AND SHADOW BUT, AGAIN, ADDRESSING THE
- 22 CODE REQUIRED SETBACK, IT'S 10 FEET, THE PROJECT WILL PROVIDE
- 23 33 FEET, WHICH IS OVER THREE TIMES THE REQUIRED MINIMUM. THIS
- 24 SETBACK DOES INCLUDE DELL AVENUE. DELL AVENUE IS A PRIVATE
- 25 ACCESS ROAD, IT'S NOT A PUBLIC STREET, IT'S LOCATED ENTIRELY



- 1 WITHIN THE PROPERTY SO IT'S APPROPRIATE THAT THAT BE INCLUDED
- 2 IN THE SETBACK. THE SETBACK DOES NOT INCLUDE, HOWEVER, PARCEL
- 3 104 WHICH IS THE DEL REY SHORES PERSONAL WAREHOUSES, WHICH IS
- 4 LOCATED BETWEEN THE CONDOMINIUMS AND DELL AVENUE TO THE WEST
- 5 AND, AS A RESULT, THE NEAREST FACES OF THE SHORES APARTMENTS,
- 6 THE PROPOSED APARTMENTS WILL BE 70 FEET SIX INCHES DISTANCE
- 7 FROM THE CONDOMINIUMS. IF YOU'D LIKE-- SHOULD I KEEP GOING?

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, NO. FINISH IT UP AND I'LL ASK

10 HIM AFTERWARDS.

11

- 12 DALE GOLDSMITH: IN ADDITION, AT THE TOP OF THE PROJECT'S
- 13 PARKING PODIUM, WHICH IS MORE THAN TWO-- WHICH IS ABOUT TWO
- 14 STORIES TALL, THE FACES OF THE RESIDENTIAL UNITS WILL BE
- 15 STEPPED BACK AN ADDITIONAL UP TO 24 FEET WITH AN AVERAGE STEP-
- 16 BACK OF 12 FEET. THUS THE PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT ALONG DELL
- 17 AVENUE THAT WOULD MATERIALLY EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF THE EXISTING
- 18 BUILDINGS WOULD BE ABOUT 57 FEET FROM THE EXISTING PROPERTY
- 19 LINE. FIGURE ONE TO THE FINAL E.I.R., AND I'LL PASS OUT COPIES
- 20 HERE SHOWING THESE DISTANCES, WHICH SHOWS THAT, TAKING INTO
- 21 ACCOUNT THE SETBACK, THE EXISTING STORAGE UNITS AND THE
- 22 EXISTING SETBACKS ON THE CONDOMINIUM PROPERTY, THE PROJECT
- 23 WILL BE LOCATED BETWEEN 70 FEET, 6 INCHES AND 87 FEET 5 INCHES
- 24 FROM THE CONDOMINIUM UNITS. I WENT OUT OF ORDER BUT I WAS
- 25 GOING TO TALK ABOUT SHADE AND SHADOW FIRST BUT I'LL TALK A



- 1 LITTLE BIT ABOUT VIEWS AND WE'RE GOING TO BE PASSING OUT SOME
- 2 PHOTOGRAPHS AS WELL THAT I'LL SUGGEST YOU REFER TO. THE FIRST
- 3 FLOOR OF THE CONDOMINIUMS LOOK OUT THE BRICK WALL ALONG THE
- 4 PERSONAL WAREHOUSE UNITS, SO THERE REALLY IS NO VIEW NOW. THAT
- 5 WILL NOT CHANGE. WITH RESPECT TO THE SECOND FLOOR, THOSE UNITS
- 6 LOOK OUT AT THE REAR OF AN AGING AND UNSIGHTLY EXISTING
- 7 APARTMENT BUILDING. THESE APARTMENT WILL BE REPLACED WITH A
- 8 NEW, WELL DESIGNED PROJECT AND THE REAR ELEVATION OF THE
- 9 PROJECT WILL BE ARTICULATED TO HELP BREAK UP ADDITIONAL
- 10 MASSING. FURTHERMORE, THERE WILL BE AN ADDED LANDSCAPE PLANTED
- 11 TO TRY TO SOFTEN THE EDGE. WITH RESPECT TO AIR CIRCULATION
- 12 THAT WAS ANOTHER CONCERN, THE PROJECT WILL NOT DISRUPT AIR
- 13 CIRCULATION. ON MOST DAYS, THE WIND BLOWS FROM THE WEST SO
- 14 THERE WON'T BE ANY IMPACT ON THE CONDOMINIUMS TO THE WEST. ON
- 15 THE DAYS THAT IT BLOWS FROM THE EAST, THE E.I.R. CONCLUDED
- 16 THAT THE AIR CIRCULATION AT THE CONDOMINIUMS WOULD BE SIMILAR
- 17 TO EXISTING CONDITIONS. IN RESPONSE TO THE CONCERNS OF THE
- 18 CONDOMINIUM OWNERS, WE DID LOOK AT TRYING TO BRING BACK THE
- 19 BUILDING, PUSHING THE ENTIRE MASS BACK AN ADDITIONAL 10 OR 15
- 20 FEET. THE PROBLEM IS THAT THAT WOULD RESULT IN A LOSS OF
- 21 APPROXIMATELY 20 UNITS, IT WOULD RESULT IN THE LOSS OF PARKING
- 22 AND RESULT IN SOME OF THE TWO BEDROOM UNITS BEING REPLACED
- 23 WITH ONE BEDROOM UNIT AND, GIVEN THE HEAVY BURDEN THAT THE
- 24 AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS PLACING ON THIS PROJECT, WE SIMPLY CAN'T
- 25 AFFORD TO LOSE THOSE UNITS. IN ADDITION, IN ORDER TO AVOID A



- 1 LOSS OF FURTHER UNITS, WE COULD TRY TO PUSH THE PROJECT ALONG
- 2 THE MARINA. THAT WOULD ELIMINATE THE CURRENT STAGGERING AND
- 3 THE LANDSCAPE POCKETS AND THE STAGGERING IS, WE BELIEVE,
- 4 CONSISTENT WITH THE SPECIFIC PLAN WHICH DESIGNATES VIA MARINA
- 5 AS A SCENIC HIGHWAY MERITING FIRST PRIORITY STATUS. AND WE
- 6 UNDERSTAND THAT THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD MUST STILL APPROVE
- 7 THE FINAL LANDSCAPING PLANS. THE BOARD, IF IT'S STILL
- 8 CONCERNED, CAN DIRECT THE DCB TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO
- 9 ENHANCE LANDSCAPING AT THE PROJECT'S WESTERN EDGE TO SOFTEN
- 10 THE APPEARANCE AND FURTHER REDUCE THE ALREADY INSIGNIFICANT
- 11 IMPACTS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PLEASE. GO AHEAD.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: ON THIS PHOTO, THIS IS DELL, THESE ARE THE STORAGE
- 16 UNITS. THE STORAGE UNITS ARE REMOVED, IS THAT CORRECT?

17

- 18 DALE GOLDSMITH: NO. THE STORAGE UNITS, SUPERVISOR BURKE, WILL
- 19 REMAIN. THE PERSONAL WAREHOUSES, YES.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: THEY'LL REMAIN?

22

23 DALE GOLDSMITH: YES.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: THE STORAGE UNITS REMAIN.



1

2 DALE GOLDSMITH: AND WILL BUFFER THE CONDOMINIUMS. THEY'LL ACT

3 AS A BUFFER.

4

5 SUP. BURKE: I SEE. PRESENTLY, DEL RAY SHORES IS, WHAT, THREE

6 STORY?

7

- 8 DAVID LEVINE: THIS IS DAVID LEVINE, I'M CHIEF OF STAFF TO
- 9 JERRY EPSTEIN, THE LESSEE OF DEL REY SHORES. PRESENT, DEL RAY
- 10 SHORES' 202 UNITS, TWO STOREY, GARDEN STYLE WALK UP UNITS;
- 11 HOWEVER, THERE'S A 16-FOOT DIFFERENCE IN GRADE OVER THE COURSE
- 12 OF PARCELS 100 AND 101, SO YOU'LL SEE IN THE CASE OF AT LEAST
- ONE OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS, YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE'S A 17-FOOT HIGH
- 14 WALL THAT CONSTITUTE STORAGES ALONG THE PRIVATE ROAD, DELL
- 15 ROAD. ON TOP OF THAT IS A CARPORT FOR DEL REY SHORES NORTH AND
- 16 THEN THERE IS A THREE-STORY BUILDING.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: IS THAT THE RIGHT ONE?

19

20 DAVID LEVINE: NO, THAT'S A DIFFERENT PHOTO.

21

22 SUP. BURKE: IT'S THIS ONE. RIGHT?

- 24 DAVID LEVINE: THIS ONE RIGHT HERE. SO YOU SEE, THESE ARE THE
- 25 PERSONAL WAREHOUSES. THEY REMAIN. THAT'S PARCEL 104. THIS IS--



- 1 WE'RE LOOKING AT PHOTO NUMBER 6. THIS IS THE DEL REY SHORES
- 2 PERSONAL WAREHOUSES, PARCEL NUMBER 104, AND THEN THERE'S THE
- 3 PRIVATE ROAD, DELL AVENUE, AND THEN YOU SEE THIS STRUCTURE
- 4 HERE, THIS IS A 17-FOOT HIGH WALL THAT IS CURRENTLY WHAT THE
- 5 CONDOMINIUM OWNERS LOOK AT WHEN THEY LOOK TO THE EAST. YOU CAN
- 6 SEE THAT THERE ARE STORAGES THAT ARE HERE THAT CAN BE ACCESSED
- 7 FROM DELL AVENUE AND THEN, ON THE OTHER SIDE, HERE, ARE
- 8 CARPORTS THAT SERVICE THESE APARTMENTS HERE. SO THE ACTUAL DEL
- 9 REY SHORES APARTMENTS ARE TWO STORY APARTMENTS THAT ARE ABOVE
- 10 A CARPORT. SO, ACTUALLY, WHERE DEL REY SHORES NORTH IS HERE IS
- 11 ACTUALLY THREE STORIES HIGH AND THEN YOU'VE GOT THIS 17-FOOT
- 12 HIGH WALL THAT IS ALSO PRESENT ON THE SITE WITH A DIFFERENT
- 13 STRUCTURE FOR THOSE USES I'VE OUTLINED.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO GET CLEAR BECAUSE IT
- 16 APPEARS THAT WAY FROM THIS PHOTO.

- 18 DAVID LEVINE: CORRECT. NOW, FRANKLY, ALSO, IF YOU APPROACH DEL
- 19 REY SHORES FROM MARCASES WAY, FROM THE SOUTH, YOU HAVE DEL REY
- 20 SHORES SOUTH, WHICH IS PARCEL 100 AND THERE WE HAVE THIS VIEW
- 21 RIGHT HERE, PHOTO NUMBER 5. OKAY? SO THESE CARPORT SPACES ARE
- 22 IMMEDIATELY ACCESSIBLE OFF OF DELL AVENUE AND THEN YOU HAVE A
- 23 TWO-STORY APARTMENT BUILDING RIGHT ABOVE THAT. AND THAT'S
- 24 DIRECTLY ON DELL AVENUE, IF YOU WILL. IT IS NOT SET BACK LIKE



- 1 THOSE APARTMENTS ARE. SO THERE ARE TWO PARCELS HERE AND THERE
- 2 ARE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THEM.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: I SEE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. LEVINE, ON THE TWO PARCEL
- 7 DIFFERENCES, THE TWO PARCELS THAT YOU JUST DISCUSSED, IS THE
- 8 NEW PROJECT GOING TO BE SET BACK ANY MORE IN ONE PART-- IN ONE
- 9 PARCEL THAN IN THE OTHER?

10

- 11 DAVID LEVINE: NO. THE NEW PROJECT WILL BE SET BACK A
- 12 CONSISTENT DISTANCE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND HOW FAR IS THAT SET BACK? IS
- 15 THAT DEPICTED THERE?

16

- 17 DALE GOLDSMITH: I THINK IT'S APPROXIMATELY 34 FEET BUT DO
- 18 KEEP...

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 34 FEET FROM WHERE?

- 22 DAVID LEVINE: FROM THE PROPERTY LINE AND THAT DOESN'T INCLUDE
- 23 THE PERSONAL WAREHOUSES, WHICH IS PARCEL 104. BUT I DID WANT
- 24 TO REITERATE THAT THE APARTMENTS THEMSELVES ABOVE THE PARKING
- 25 PODIUM, WHICH IS TWO STORIES, WILL BE SET BACK UP TO AN



- 1 ADDITIONAL 24 FEET, SO IT WON'T BE A COMPLETELY MONOLITHIC
- 2 WALL.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: EXPLAIN THAT AGAIN.

5

- 6 DAVID LEVINE: THE PROJECT IS, I GUESS, STAIR STEPPED, AS IT
- 7 WERE. THERE'S THE PARKING PODIUM THAT WILL BE 33 FEET FROM THE
- 8 PROPERTY LINE AND THAT WILL GO UP TO ABOUT TWO STORIES BUT THE
- 9 UNITS THEMSELVES WILL BE SET BACK UP TO AN ADDITIONAL 24 FEET
- 10 FROM THE FACE OF THE PODIUM, WITH AN AVERAGE SETBACK OF 12
- 11 FEET. THERE'S SOME MODULATION OR ARTICULATION THAT WAS
- 12 DESIGNED IN IN AN EFFORT TO TRY TO BREAK UP SOME OF THE
- 13 MASSING.

14

- 15 DAVID LEVINE: THERE ARE VERY LARGE PATIOS AS WELL, SO YOU HAVE
- 16 THE PODIUM, WHICH IS 33 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE AND THEN
- 17 THE ACTUAL APARTMENTS ACTUALLY BEGIN UP TO 24 FEET AFTER THAT
- 18 33 FEET AND THAT NUMBER...

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE A RENDERING OF THE
- 21 BUILDING? I KNOW THAT THERE WAS A PICTURE-- I DON'T HAVE IT
- 22 HANDY, A RENDERING OF THE BUILDING. I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT
- 23 YOU'RE DESCRIBING BECAUSE I DIDN'T SEE IT THAT WAY. I SAW IT
- 24 PRETTY VERTICAL BUT I MAY BE WRONG.



1 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE).

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, BUT THAT'S-- I WANT A GROUND
- 4 LEVEL LOOK AT IT, NOT THE SCHEMATIC. I SAW IT LAST-- I LOOKED
- 5 AT IT LAST NIGHT.

6

- 7 DAVID LEVINE: I APOLOGIZE, SUPERVISOR, FOR THE SCALE BUT-- AND
- 8 WE DID PASS OUT, I BELIEVE...

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M LOOKING AT THIS. DO YOU HAVE
- 11 THAT? I CAN'T SEE WHERE IT'S TERRACED BACK.

12

- 13 DALE GOLDSMITH: YEAH, UNFORTUNATELY, SUPERVISOR, THAT'S A FLAT
- 14 PERSPECTIVE. AS PART OF OUR DESIGN CONTROL BOARD SUBMITTAL,
- 15 YOU CAN ON THIS EXHIBIT THAT SHOWS THE DISTANCES, YOU CAN SEE
- 16 AND I BELIEVE WE PASSED OUT...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE A COPY OF THAT HERE, TOO,
- 19 SO...

- 21 DALE GOLDSMITH: ...GET COPIES OF THIS, BUT-- AND IT'S A LITTLE
- 22 HARD TO SEE AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT BUT THERE'S A HARD LINE
- 23 HERE, A VERY STRAIGHT LINE THAT GOES ALL THE WAY ALONG BUT THE
- 24 FACES OF THE APARTMENTS UNDULATE AN ADDITIONAL DISTANCE SO



- 1 THAT THE DARKER ABOVE THE FINER LINE SHOWS THE ARTICULATION.
- 2 UNFORTUNATELY, GIVEN THE SCALE OF THIS, IT'S HARD...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND. HOW MANY FEET
- 5 DIFFERENCE IS IT IN THE ARTICULATION? GOES FROM HOW FAR-- HOW
- 6 FAR BACK DOES IT GO?

7

8 DAVID LEVINE: IT'S AS MUCH AS 24 FEET WITH AN AVERAGE OF 12.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AS MUCH AS 24 FEET DIFFERENCE
- 11 BETWEEN ONE PART OF THE BUILDING AND ANOTHER PART OF THE
- 12 BUILDING?

13

14 DAVID LEVINE: FROM THE FACE OF THE APARTMENT...

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT GOES IN 24, AND THEN 24, IN
- 17 12, OUT 12, IN 24...

18

19 DAVID LEVINE: IT UNDULATES, THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHY-- THAT'S A LOT OF FEET, BY ANY
- 22 STANDARD.

- 24 DAVID LEVINE: YEAH, THE PATIO IS ON TOP OF THE PODIUM. AND, IN
- 25 ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THOSE PATIOS, AND, AGAIN, BREAK UP THE



- 1 MASSING, THE FACE OF THE APARTMENTS THEMSELVES UNDULATE AND
- 2 THAT'S PROBABLY NOT THE BEST PICTURE AND THE DESIGN MAY HAVE
- 3 EVOLVED A LOT SINCE THEN ANYWAY.

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, MS. MOLINA ASKED A VERY
- 6 LEGITIMATE QUESTION, WHY DOES IT MATTER? AND IT'S A LEGITIMATE
- 7 QUESTION. AND THE REASON, IN MY JUDGMENT AND I THINK A LOT OF
- 8 THE REASON THAT THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE ON-- THAT YOU HEARD FROM
- 9 IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, THE ONE APPELLATE FROM THE CONDO
- 10 ASSOCIATION, IS-- THESE ARE MY WORDS, I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK
- 11 FOR THEM, IS THE SHOCK OF LOOKING AT A 2-1/2-STORY BUILDING,
- 12 WHERE MR. LEVINE DESCRIBED TWO STORIES ABOVE A CARPORT, THAT'S
- 13 PROBABLY 35 OR 40 FEET HIGH, TO WHAT'S GOING TO BE 75 FEET
- 14 HIGH. AND, BY THE WAY, IT'S NOT A HUNDRED FEET, IT'S 75 FEET.
- 15 IT'S BAD ENOUGH THAT IT'S 75 FEET, YOU DON'T HAVE TO
- 16 EXAGGERATE IT. 75 FOOT HIGH THING. SO ON A-- THAT BACKS UP ON
- 17 A STREET THIS IS ESSENTIALLY THE WIDTH OF AN ALLEY, A WIDE
- 18 ALLEY. DELL STREET OR DEL DRIVE IS ESSENTIALLY A WIDE
- 19 ALLEYWAY. AND SO I THINK THEIR CONCERN AND MY CONCERN, TOO, IS
- 20 IT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE PLAN, WE UNDERSTAND THAT AND THAT'S
- 21 WHY I ASKED THAT QUESTION BEFORE AND EVEN MR. FINE HAS TO
- 22 CONCEDE THAT IT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE PLAN. THAT DOESN'T MEAN
- 23 THAT IT'S THE BEST DESIGN. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT YOU TOOK ALL
- 24 THE-- YOU TOOK STEPS ON THE OTHER SIDE TO MAKE SURE THAT-- IS
- 25 IT VIA MARINA, THAT THE SCENIC HIGHWAY, THAT YOU TOOK CARE OF



- 1 THEM BUT TO THE PEOPLE IN THE BACK OF YOU WHO HAPPEN TO BE IN
- 2 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, WHO ALSO HAPPEN TO BE MY
- 3 CONSTITUENTS, THERE WAS A LITTLE LESS OF AN ATTENTION. SO THE
- 4 ARTICULATION, BOTH IN TERMS OF VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL
- 5 ARTICULATION, TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, SOMETIMES, IF IT'S DONE
- 6 RIGHT, CAN CREATE THE -- I DON'T WANT THIS TO BE
- 7 MISINTERPRETED, CREATE THE ILLUSION IN THE BEST SENSE OF
- 8 OPENNESS AND IT'S-- I MEAN, THERE ARE PLENTY OF APARTMENT AND
- 9 CONDO PROJECTS AROUND LOS ANGELES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE RIGHT.
- 10 THERE ARE MANY MORE THAT HAVE BEEN DONE WRONG BUT THERE ARE
- 11 THOSE THAT-- THEY ARTICULATE SO THAT YOU DON'T GET THE FEELING
- 12 THAT IT'S THE GREAT WALL THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT.

14 DALE GOLDSMITH: AND, INDEED, THAT WAS THE DESIGN INTENT,

15 STEPPING BACK.

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND, DALE, ALL I WOULD ASK YOU IS

- 18 WHY, IF THAT'S THE CASE, WHY DOESN'T THE RENDERING-- OF 24
- 19 FEET, THAT WAS WHAT I WAS GOING TO SAY BEFORE, 24 FEET
- 20 ARTICULATION IS A LOT, AND AT LEAST IN THE HORIZONTAL
- 21 DIRECTION BACK AND FORTH, I CAN'T EVEN IMAGINE THAT BASED ON
- 22 THE RENDERING I'M LOOKING AT. SO I DON'T THINK THIS RENDERING
- 23 REPRESENTS THAT AT ALL.

24

13



- 1 DAVID LEVINE: NO, IT'S A FLAT, STRAIGHT-ON PERSPECTIVE WHICH
- 2 SHOWS NO DEPTH WHATSOEVER.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO HOW DO WE-- HOW CAN YOU-- I
- 5 MEAN, DO YOU HAVE ANY RENDERING THAT-- SOMEWHERE THAT SHOWS
- 6 OTHER THAN THIS AERIAL SCHEMATIC?

7

- 8 DALE GOLDSMITH: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE IT WITH US. I THINK THAT
- 9 MAY BE THE BEST WE HAVE BUT I THINK IT DOES DEMONSTRATE THERE
- 10 IS SOME UNDULATION THERE AND IS SOME MODULATION.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HOW ABOUT VERTICAL? IS THERE ANY
- 13 DIFFERENTIAL IN THE VERTICAL, VERTICALITY? SOME HIGHER AND
- 14 SOME LOWER?

15

16 DALE GOLDSMITH: THERE ARE SOME ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ASIDE FROM THE ARCHITECTURAL
- 19 ELEMENTS. THAT'S WHERE THE 100 FEET COMES FROM.

20

- 21 DAVID LEVINE: NO, IT'S FIVE STORIES OF APARTMENTS ABOVE THE
- 22 TWO-STORY PODIUM, SO THE ANSWER IS THAT THERE IS NO VERTICAL
- 23 VARIABILITY TO THE UNITS.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT SOMETHING THAT, AT THIS
- 2 POINT IN TIME, IF YOU WERE ASKED TO DO IT, YOU COULD STILL
- 3 CONSIDER DOING IT? SOME TREATMENT AT THE TOP THAT-- AND I'M
- 4 NOT SURE IT'S A GOOD IDEA, BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE...

5

- 6 DAVID LEVINE: WE'VE PROPOSED ARCHITECTURAL TREATMENTS THAT
- 7 WERE APPLAUDED BY THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD AND THE REGIONAL
- 8 PLANNING COMMISSION AND THOSE ARE THE ARCHITECTURAL TREATMENTS
- 9 THAT GO ABOVE THE 75-FOOT HEIGHT, SO WE'RE IN A DIFFICULT SPOT
- 10 HERE. WE DID WHAT WE BELIEVE IS A SENSITIVE AND BALANCED
- 11 APPROACH TO MEETING THE NEEDS TO PROVIDE 544 UNITS IN THE MOST
- 12 EFFICIENT STRUCTURE POSSIBLE, AGAIN UNDERSTANDING THAT WE
- 13 COULD HAVE GONE MUCH HIGHER AND WE DIDN'T. SO WE HAVE TRIED TO
- 14 BE SENSITIVE AND TO IMPLEMENT A BALANCED APPROACH TO THE
- 15 DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD BE IN KEEPING WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE
- 16 COMMUNITY, UNDERSTANDING FULL WELL THAT THERE IS A TOWER IN
- 17 THE PARCEL DIRECTLY TO THE NORTH OF US THAT IS 14 STORIES
- 18 HIGH.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT IT'S NOT THIS CLOSE AND IT'S
- 21 NOT THIS WIDE. BUT ANYWAY, THAT'S OKAY, I UNDERSTAND YOUR
- 22 POINT. CAN I JUST GET BACK TO THE LAST THING ON THE SHADOW
- 23 THAT CAME UP DURING MR. GOLDSMITH'S TESTIMONY.

24

25 ERIC SAKOWITZ: ERIC SAKOWITZ FROM IMPACT SCIENCES.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHEN DO WE REACH THE NO HARM, NO
- 3 FOUL POINT? THAT IS, WHERE THERE'S NOT-- WE STIPULATE THAT, ON
- 4 JUNE THE 21ST, IN THE SUMMER SOLSTICE, THERE IS AN HOUR AND 50
- 5 MINUTES, AN HOUR AND 40 MINUTES OF ADDITIONAL SHADOW BEYOND
- 6 WHAT'S THERE NOW. WHEN DO WE GET TO THE ZERO POINT, WHAT
- 7 DATES?

8

- 9 ERIC SAKOWITZ: WE DIDN'T CALCULATE THE SPECIFIC DATE FOR THAT,
- 10 SUPERVISOR. WHAT WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO DO IN THE E.I.R. IS TO
- 11 DEFINE HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL TIME THE STRUCTURES TO THE WEST ON-
- 12 TO THE WEST OF DELL AVENUE WOULD BE SHADED BY THE NEW...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW, IS HOW
- 15 MUCH ADDITIONAL TIME...

16

17 ERIC SAKOWITZ: IT'S 90 MINUTES.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 90 MINUTES ON JUNE THE 21ST.

20

21 ERIC SAKOWITZ: ON JUNE THE 21ST, THAT'S CORRECT.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WHAT IS IT ON MAY 21ST?



- 1 ERIC SAKOWITZ: WE DIDN'T CALCULATE THAT SPECIFICALLY. IT WOULD
- 2 GET LESS AND LESS WITH TIME BUT, WHEN THE SPECIFIC TIME IS, WE
- 3 DID NOT SPECIFICALLY CALCULATE THAT SPECIFIC DAY WHEN IT WAS
- 4 NO HARM, NO FOUL. AT THE WINTER SOLSTICE, IN DECEMBER, THEY'RE
- 5 NOT SHADED, BUT I DON'T KNOW THE SPECIFIC DATE IN THE MEAN
- 6 TIME, WE DIDN'T CALCULATE THAT. IT'S EASY ENOUGH TO DO, WE
- 7 COULD GET THAT TO YOU UPON YOUR REQUEST.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THE TROUBLE IS, YOU'RE
- 10 ASKING US TO MAKE A DECISION. I JUST-- OKAY. DID YOU DO THAT
- 11 CALCULATION AS PART OF YOUR SHADE/SHADOW ANALYSIS OR DID YOU
- 12 JUST TAKE THE JUNE 21ST DATE?

13

- 14 ERIC SAKOWITZ: NO, WE DID THE JUNE 21ST DATE, WE DID THE
- 15 WINTER SOLSTICE, WE DID THE SUMMER SOLSTICE DATES BUT IT WAS
- 16 DESIGNED AROUND THE SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA THAT WERE DEFINED BY
- 17 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND, IN THIS CASE, THE CITY OF LOS
- 18 ANGELES, WHICH FOCUSED ON ADDITIONAL SHADING THAT OCCURRED
- 19 BETWEEN 9:00 A.M. AND 4:00 P.M. IN THE AMOUNT OF ADDITIONAL
- 20 SHADING. SO OUR FOCUS WAS ON THAT SIGNIFICANT-- THAT SEQUA OF
- 21 SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLD THAT WAS DEFINED EITHER "A" BY THE
- 22 COUNTY OR BY THE CITY IN THIS CASE.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND, AS FAR AS THE 9:00 A.M. TO
- 25 4:00...



1

2 ERIC SAKOWITZ: THERE'S NO EFFECT.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS HE CORRECT, 7:40 A.M. IS WHEN
- 5 THE WORST-CASE SCENARIO...

6

- 7 ERIC SAKOWITZ: THAT'S CORRECT. SO THE E.I.R. CONCLUDED THAT,
- 8 YES, THERE WOULD BE ADDITIONAL SHADING ON THE SUMMER SOLSTICE
- 9 FOR ABOUT 90 MINUTES AND IT WOULD BECOME REDUCED EACH DAY
- 10 BEFORE THAT OR AFTER THAT BUT-- AND WITH RESPECT TO-- BUT WITH
- 11 RESPECT TO THE SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS THAT WERE DEFINED BY
- 12 THE COUNTY AND BY THE CITY WITH REGARD TO SHADING AND THERE
- 13 ARE THOSE SPECIFIC THRESHOLDS, THE E.I.R. CONCLUDED THAT THE
- 14 PROJECT WOULD NOT-- THE SHADING CAUSED BY THE PROJECT WOULD
- 15 NOT EXCEED THOSE THRESHOLDS.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WAS THERE TESTIMONY AT THE DESIGN
- 18 REVIEW BOARD ON THIS ISSUE? I MEAN, WAS THERE TESTIMONY FROM
- 19 THE PUBLIC? FROM THE PEOPLE WHO LIVED ON THE...

20

- 21 ERIC SAKOWITZ: I DID NOT ATTEND THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
- 22 HEARING SO I DON'T KNOW.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHO DID? ANYBODY FROM THE STAFF
- 25 ATTEND IT? STAN, ARE YOU HERE?



1

- 2 DALE GOLDSMITH: SUPERVISOR, APPARENTLY, THERE WAS NO TESTIMONY
- 3 BY DESIGN CONTROL BOARD BUT SOME TESTIMONY AS PART OF THE
- 4 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION DELIBERATIONS AND THE FINAL
- 5 E.I.R. CONTAINS A LENGTHY SECTION ADDRESSING SHADE AND SHADOW
- 6 ISSUES IN RESPONSE TO THOSE COMMENTS.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THERE WERE PEOPLE FROM THE
- 9 CONDO ASSOCIATION. STAN, DO YOU HAVE THE -- HE CAN'T RECALL?

10

- 11 DALE GOLDSMITH: MR. FINE SUBMITTED SOMETHING LIKE SIX OR SEVEN
- 12 LETTERS.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AT THE COMMISSION OR AT THE...

15

16 DALE GOLDSMITH: OH, AT THE COMMISSION.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WAS THERE ANY TESTIMONY AT THE
- 19 DRB?

20

21 DAVID LEVINE: AT THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD?

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD.



- 1 DAVID LEVINE: I DON'T RECALL IF THERE WAS SPECIFIC TESTIMONY
- 2 ON THE SUN AND SHADE ISSUES OR THE LIKE. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
- 3 PRIOR TO THE SUBMITTAL OF OUR APPLICATION FOR THE COASTAL
- 4 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AND PRIOR TO WHEN THE WORK THAT WOULD NEED
- 5 TO BE DONE AND REFLECTED IN THE E.I.R. WOULD HAVE BEEN
- 6 UNDERTAKEN BUT THE DRAFT E.I.R. HAD BEEN AVAILABLE AND THERE
- 7 HAD BEEN NUMEROUS, AS YOU'VE HEARD, FIVE HEARINGS SUBSEQUENT
- 8 TO THAT AND WE PREPARED THE STUDIES AND THE FINAL E.I.R. WAS
- 9 PREPARED TO REFLECT THE QUESTIONS THAT WERE ASKED AND THE
- 10 RESPONSES.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. LEVINE.

13

14 SUP. KNABE: COUNTY COUNSEL SHOULD KNOW. THEY WERE THERE.

15

- 16 RICK WEISS, COUNSEL: AS MR. LEVINE SAID, BECAUSE THE CURRENT
- 17 PROCEDURES REGARDING THE DCB'S INITIAL CONCEPTUAL REVIEW HAVE
- 18 TO TAKE PLACE BEFORE THE APPLICATION IS FILED WITH REGIONAL
- 19 PLANNING, THE DESIGN DETAILS WERE DONE TO A LESS EXTENSIVE AND
- 20 ACTUALLY I ASKED A MEMBER OF OUR OFFICE WHO WAS THERE, HE DOES
- 21 NOT RECALL.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WAS THE VOTE OF THE DESIGN CONTROL
- 24 BOARD UNANIMOUS?



1 DAVID LEVINE: YES.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WAS THE VOTE OF THE REGIONAL
- 4 PLANNING COMMISSION UNANIMOUS?

5

6 DAVID LEVINE: YES.

7

8 SPEAKER: CORRECTION, IT WASN'T. IT WAS 4-TO-1.

9

- 10 DAVID LEVINE: OH, EXCUSE ME. I APOLOGIZE. THE VOTE OF THE
- 11 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION WAS 4 TO 1. YOUR APPOINTEE
- 12 OPPOSED THE PROJECT BECAUSE OF CONCERNS ABOUT THE AFFORDABLE
- 13 HOUSING QUESTION AND HE HAD ONLY COMPLIMENTS ABOUT THE DESIGN
- 14 ISSUES.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MR. WEISS, LAST QUESTION I
- 17 HAVE IS, WHAT-- HOW ARE WE ASSURED THAT THE ARTICULATION THAT
- 18 HAS BEEN DESCRIBED HERE, SKIMPY AS THE DESCRIPTION IS, BUT THE
- 19 VERBAL AND THIS DESCRIPTION, WHAT IN THE ACTION THAT THE
- 20 COMMISSION TOOK AND THAT WE ARE BEING ASKED TO TAKE WOULD
- 21 ENSURE THAT THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE ADHERED TO, THAT THIS
- 22 PROFILE WOULD HAVE TO BE ADHERED TO.

- 24 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: THE PROFILE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS
- 25 REFLECTED IN EXHIBIT "A" TO THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AND



- 1 BECOMES PART OF THE PERMIT WHEN IT'S ISSUED AND IT MUST BE
- 2 COMPLIED WITH WHEN BUILDING PLANS AND BUILDING PERMITS ARE
- 3 PULLED, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS COORDINATES WITH OUR
- 4 DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PERMIT
- 5 PLANS ARE CONSISTENT WITH EXHIBIT "A" AS APPROVED BY THE
- 6 COMMISSION OR, IN THIS CASE, YOUR BOARD, ASSUMING YOU APPROVE
- 7 IT.

8

- 9 DAVID LEVINE: IF I COULD ALSO ADD, AS MR. GOLDSMITH TESTIFIED,
- 10 THE FINAL PLANS FOR THIS PROJECT NEED TO GO BACK BEFORE THE
- 11 DESIGN CONTROL BOARD IN MARINA DEL REY AND, AS MR. GOLDSMITH
- 12 SUGGESTED, YOU KNOW, WE LOOK FORWARD TO GOING BACK TO THE
- 13 DESIGN CONTROL BOARD AND THEY'LL MAKE SURE THAT WE'LL DO
- 14 EXACTLY WHAT WE'VE PROMISED TO DO.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DOES THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD HAVE
- 17 THE -- THE PROJECT CAN'T GO FORWARD WITHOUT THE DESIGN CONTROL
- 18 BOARD'S FURTHER APPROVAL?

19

- 20 RICHARD WEISS: THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD HAS FURTHER APPROVAL
- 21 WITH RESPECT TO FINAL ARCHITECTURAL COLOR AND OTHER ELEMENTS.
- 22 MR. LEVINE IS CORRECT. HOWEVER, THEIR AUTHORITY WOULD NOT
- 23 INCLUDE MAKING CHANGES TO THE ARTICULATION OR THE STRUCTURES
- 24 AND SO FORTH.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO HOW DETAILED IS THE EXHIBIT 1
- 2 THAT YOU REFERRED TO?

3

- 4 RICHARD WEISS: IS THAT EXHIBIT "A" ON THE BOARD BEHIND? I'M
- 5 ASKING THE PLANNERS.

6

- 7 RUSSELL FRICANO: WHAT YOU HAVE ON THE BOARD BEHIND YOU IS A
- 8 COLOR RENDERING OF THE EXHIBIT "A." THE APPLICANT THIS MORNING
- 9 SUBMITTED A REVISION OF ANOTHER EXHIBIT "A" WITH THE
- 10 LANDSCAPING REMOVED. I CAN PUT UP FOR YOU THAT I THINK MIGHT
- 11 SHOW THE ARTICULATION BETTER BUT THAT IS BASICALLY THE PROJECT
- 12 AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS THERE BEFORE YOU IN THE COLOR
- 13 RENDERING.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHERE DOES IT SAY THAT YOU HAVE A
- 16 24-FOOT-- WHERE WOULD I-- OR THE NEIGHBORHOOD BE ABLE TO GO
- 17 AND SAY YOU'RE REQUIRED TO SET IT BACK, ARTICULATE IT 24 FEET
- 18 AT THIS LOCATION?

- 20 DALE GOLDSMITH: I'M NOT SURE IF IT'S AN EXPRESS CONDITION.
- 21 AND, AGAIN, 24 IS THE MAXIMUM. OTHERS ARE LESS. WHAT WE COULD
- 22 DO IS BRING BACK FOR THE FINAL ACTION, IF YOU'RE INCLINED TO
- 23 GO THIS WAY, A FULLY DIMENSIONED DIAGRAM SHOWING THE
- 24 ARTICULATIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE GOING TO DELIVER WHAT WE
- 25 PROMISE.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S A FINAL ACTION THAT WOULD

3 HAVE TO COME TO US?

4

- 5 RICHARD WEISS: IF YOUR BOARD INDICATES ITS INTENT TO APPROVE
- 6 THE PROJECT, IT WOULD BE COMING BACK WITH FINAL FINDINGS AND
- 7 CONDITIONS FOR YOUR APPROVAL AT A LATER DATE.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE YOU TO PRODUCE SUCH A
- 10 DOCUMENT, AS DETAILED AS YOU CAN, WITHIN REASON, SO THAT
- 11 ANYBODY WHO LIVES THERE OR ANYBODY WHO IS INTERESTED CAN SAY
- 12 YOU PROMISED TO DO THIS AND WE EXPECT YOU TO DO THIS AND
- 13 YOU'RE NOT DOING THIS OR WE'RE SATISFIED THAT YOU'RE DOING
- 14 THIS BUT SO THAT THEY HAVE SOMETHING TO COMPARE WITH IN AN
- 15 ACTION. THIS IS JUST NOT GOOD ENOUGH, BUT IF THAT'S SOMETHING
- 16 YOU'RE PREPARED TO DO, I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. IT'S NOT
- 17 GOING TO MAKE ANYBODY HAPPY. EVEN IF THIS PROJECT GOES
- 18 FORWARD, EVERYONE IS OWED AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE SOMETHING
- 19 THAT THEY CAN GET THEIR HANDS ON AND SAY THEY'RE IN COMPLIANCE
- 20 OR THEY'RE NOT IN COMPLIANCE.

21

- 22 DAVID LEVINE: THAT'S A VERY FAIR AND REASONABLE REQUEST AND WE
- 23 WOULD DEFINITELY HONOR IT.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING 1 ELSE. ANYBODY ELSE? 2 3 SUP. KNABE: THE ITEM IS BEFORE US, THEN, I WOULD MOVE THE 4 5 RECOMMENDED ACTION BY THE... 6 7 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE). 8 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'LL GIVE YOU THREE MINUTES OF 10 REBUTTAL. 11 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, AGAIN, COULD I ASK SOME QUESTIONS ON THE 12 13 AFFORDABLE ISSUE? 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, ABSOLUTELY. 15 16 SUP. MOLINA: LET'S UNDERSTAND WHERE WE ARE, BECAUSE YOU DID GO 17 18 OVER IT AT THE VERY BEGINNING. ON THE ISSUE OF AFFORDABILITY, 19 THIS IS ALSO KNOWN AS THE REPLACEMENT UNITS I GUESS THEY'RE 20 CALLED, FOR THE MOST PART?

21

22 DALE GOLDSMITH: THEY'RE BOTH REPLACEMENT AND INCLUSIONARY UNIT

23 REQUIREMENTS.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT NOW IS WE ARE AT 20--
- 2 CORRECT ME-- 22 REPLACEMENT UNITS?

3

- 4 DALE GOLDSMITH: IT'S ACTUALLY 37 MODERATE INCOME REPLACEMENT
- 5 UNITS AND 17 VERY LOW INCOME INCLUSIONARY UNITS. TOTAL OF 54.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. AND THAT IS IN-- AND THE SUBSIDY THERE
- 8 IS THAT WE'RE DOING NOW IS WHAT?

9

10 DALE GOLDSMITH: 11.05 MILLION DOLLARS.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: AND TO ADD ANY ADDITIONAL -- I GUESS A REQUEST WAS
- 13 MADE WOULD COST AND YOU MENTIONED IT, 4.15. IS THAT CORRECT?

14

- 15 DALE GOLDSMITH: IN ORDER TO DO THE LIKE-FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT
- 16 SO THAT THE REPLACEMENT UNITS WOULD INCLUDE LOW INCOME AND
- 17 MODERATE INCOME, THOSE 22 LOW INCOME UNITS WOULD BE A COST OF
- 18 4.15 MILLION DOLLARS.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: I WANTED TO CLARIFY THOSE NUMBERS BEFORE THEY
- 21 CAME BACK UP.

22

23 DALE GOLDSMITH: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WILL THE REPRESENTATIVES OF
- 2 POWER WHO WANTED A LITTLE REBUTTAL TIME. I'LL GIVE YOU THREE
- 3 MINUTES OF REBUTTAL TIME.

4

- 5 SUSANNE BROWNE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR OFFERING US THE
- 6 REBUTTAL TIME.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE DIDN'T OFFER IT, WE RESPONDED.

9

- 10 SUSANNE BROWNE: THANK YOU FOR GRANTING IT. SUSANNE BROWNE WITH
- 11 THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES. JUST A FEW BRIEF
- 12 POINTS. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY FOR THE RECORD THAT WE HAVE NOT
- 13 HAD ONE WEEK TO REVIEW THE NEW FEASIBILITY STUDIES. WE HAVE
- 14 HAD TWO BUSINESS DAYS TO REVIEW THE KMA ANALYSIS...

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I'M GOING TO TELL YOU
- 17 SOMETHING AND I DIDN'T WANT TO BRING IT UP. I ASKED MY STAFF
- 18 WHEN THEY FORWARDED THIS TO YOU. THEY FORWARDED IT YOU LAST
- 19 WEDNESDAY, AS SOON AS THEY GOT IT, AS WAS THE TESTIMONY SO
- 20 YOU'VE HAD SIX DAYS, ALMOST A WEEK, SO IT'S NOT THE IMPRESSION
- 21 THAT YOU GAVE EARLIER THAT YOU GOT IT YESTERDAY AND THEN YOU
- 22 GOT WAYLAID BECAUSE YOU HAD TO MEET WITH THE C.A.O. AND YOU
- 23 DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW IT. YOU'VE HAD ALMOST A WEEK,
- 24 SO IT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE IMPRESSION YOU CREATED.



1 SUSANNE BROWNE: I WAS CITING BUSINESS DAYS, NOT CALENDAR DAYS.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND.

- 5 SUSANNE BROWNE: I WASN'T TRYING TO BE MISLEADING. IF YOU TAKE
- 6 A LOOK AT THE ANALYSIS, THE MAXIMA STUDY IS OVER 50 PAGES OF
- 7 DENSE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS. THE KMA STUDY IS APPROXIMATELY 11
- 8 PAGES, SO WE'VE HAD A LITTLE TIME BUT IT HAS NOT BEEN
- 9 SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE AN ADEQUATE ANALYSIS OF THOSE DOCUMENTS.
- 10 A MISREPRESENTATION WAS MADE REGARDING THE CITY'S MELLO POLICY
- 11 THAT I JUST WANT TO BRIEFLY CLEAR UP. THE CITY'S PROPOSED
- 12 MELLO ORDINANCE, WHICH WE HAVE OUR NEXT HEARING ON THIS WEEK,
- 13 THE CURRENT LANGUAGE IN THAT ORDINANCE IS TO REQUIRE LIKE-FOR-
- 14 LIKE AFFORDABILITY FOR REPLACEMENT UNITS, NOT TO ALLOW ALL
- 15 AFFORDABLE UNITS, EXISTING AFFORDABLE UNITS TO BE REPLACED BY
- 16 MODERATE INCOME UNITS. SO IF THE COUNTY WERE TO ALLOW ALL
- 17 MODERATE INCOME UNITS, IT WOULD BE A DEPARTURE FROM WHERE THE
- 18 CITY'S ORDINANCE IS HEADED. THIRD, WE ARE NOT ASKING FOR THE
- 19 MAXIMUM AMOUNT FEASIBLE AFFORDABLE UNITS AND THIS IS A VERY
- 20 IMPORTANT POINT. THIS WAS A MISREPRESENTATION BY THE
- 21 DEVELOPER. WITH AN 11-MILLION-DOLLAR RENT CREDIT, WHICH IS
- 22 WHAT THE COUNTY IS CURRENTLY OFFERING, OUR EXPERT'S ANALYSIS
- 23 HAS REVEALED THAT THE DEVELOPER COULD PROVIDE 90 VERY LOW
- 24 INCOME INCLUSIONARY UNITS AND 37 REPLACEMENT UNITS. THIS IS
- 25 NOT WHAT WE ARE ASKING FOR. WE ARE ASKING FOR 54 VERY LOW



- 1 INCOME UNITS, WHICH IS 10% OF THE PROJECT'S SIZE, AND 37 LIKE-
- 2 FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT UNITS.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: ARE YOU DOING THAT BY ASKING THAT YOU INCREASE
- 5 THE DENSITY? IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE DOING?

6

- 7 SUSANNE BROWNE: NO. BASED ON OUR EXPERT'S ANALYSIS, THIS IS
- 8 WHAT CAN BE PROVIDED WITHIN THE CURRENT PROJECT ENVELOPE.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: AND-- WITH NO ADDITIONAL SUBSIDY?

11

12 SUSANNE BROWNE: WITH NO ADDITIONAL SUBSIDY.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU HAVE THAT EXPERT'S ANALYSIS?

15

- 16 SUSANNE BROWNE: IT'S IN OUR SUBMISSION THAT WAS GIVEN TO YOU
- 17 TODAY AND WE WOULD HAVE HANDED IT IN SOONER BUT, AGAIN, WE'VE
- 18 BEEN SCRAMBLING TO RESPOND...

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU HAVE IT TODAY?

21

- 22 SUSANNE BROWNE: ...WHAT WE GAVE. YES. IT SHOULD BE ON LEGAL
- 23 AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES LETTERHEAD.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: OH, THE LETTER?



1

- 2 SUSANNE BROWNE: WHICH, AGAIN, WE'D LIKE YOU TO HAVE AMPLE TIME
- 3 TO REVIEW THAT AND WE KNOW THAT'S DIFFICULT SINCE YOU RECEIVED
- 4 THAT THIS MORNING. BUT YOU RAISE A GOOD QUESTION, WHICH IS,
- 5 UNDER OUR ANALYSIS, THE APPLICANT CAN PROVIDE 54 VERY LOW
- 6 INCOME UNITS FOR THE INCLUSIONARY COMPONENT AND 37 LIKE-FOR-
- 7 LIKE REPLACEMENT UNITS AND THE COUNTY DOES NOT NEED TO GIVE
- 8 THEM AN 11-MILLION-DOLLAR RENT SUBSIDY, YOU COULD GET MONEY
- 9 BACK. WE DON'T THINK THAT THAT AMOUNT IS NECESSARY. I WANT TO
- 10 MAKE SURE TO ALLOW TIME FOR...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD. I'LL GIVE YOU AN EXTRA
- 13 MINUTE BECAUSE WE INTERRUPTED YOU.

14

- 15 SUSANNE BROWNE: SO JUST-- FINALLY, I WANT TO RESTATE OUR
- 16 REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE AT THIS TIME. OUR SUBMISSION,
- 17 ANALYSIS AND TESTIMONY TODAY IS VERY PRELIMINARY. WE DO NOT
- 18 FEEL THAT WE'VE HAD ADEOUATE TIME TO RESPOND TO THE NEW
- 19 ANALYSIS AND WE HOPE THAT WE CAN HAVE MORE TIME TO DO THAT.
- 20 THANK YOU.

- 22 YASMIN TONG: MY NAME IS YASMINE TONG AND I JUST WANT TO VERY
- 23 OUICKLY REBUT THE APPLICANT'S TESTIMONY THAT THE APPELLANTS'
- 24 CONSULTANTS WERE OVERLY AGGRESSIVE OR CONSERVATIVE IN THEIR
- 25 FINANCIAL ASSUMPTIONS. ESSENTIALLY, WE USED ALL THE SAME



- 1 FINANCIAL ASSUMPTIONS THAT THE DEVELOPER USED IN TERMS OF
- 2 MARKET RENTS, EXPENSES, TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS AND VACANCY.
- 3 THE ONLY AREA WHERE WE REALLY DIFFER HAS TO DO WITH THE RETURN
- 4 ON COST AND THE DEVELOPER IS ASSUMING A 7% RETURN ON COST. WE
- 5 USED A 6% RETURN ON COST, AND, WITH THAT 6% RETURN ON COST,
- 6 THAT TRANSLATES TO A 20% INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN, WHICH IS A
- 7 VERY HEALTHY MARKET RETURN FOR RENTAL PROJECTS. AND, WITH THAT
- 8 6% RETURN ON COSTS, WE COULD HAVE 54 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS, 37
- 9 REPLACEMENT UNITS, WHICH WOULD BE MODERATE INCOME, AND, AGAIN,
- 10 REDUCE THE GROUND LEASE CREDIT THAT THE COUNTY IS OFFERING TO
- 11 THE DEVELOPER BY \$4.2 MILLION. THANK YOU.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU.

14

15 RICHARD FINE: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, MAY I HAVE TWO MINUTES?

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. YOU'RE THE OTHER
- 18 APPELLANT AND THAT WILL BE IT.

- 20 RICHARD FINE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M GOING TO LIMIT MY
- 21 REMARKS STRICTLY TO THE SETBACK ISSUE. AS THE LAWYER FOR THE
- 22 DEVELOPER STATED, THE SETBACK STARTS FROM THE PROPERTY LINE,
- 23 WHICH MEANS THAT YOU HAVE THE STORAGE UNIT AND THEN YOU HAVE
- 24 DELL AVENUE AND THEN YOU HAVE THE APARTMENT BUILDING, THE
- 25 GARAGES. NOW, WHAT YOU -- THE APARTMENT BUILDING IS HIGHER IN



- 1 LAND THAN THE CONDOMINIUMS AND THAT'S HOW WE REALLY GOT TO
- 2 THAT HUNDRED FOOT, BECAUSE WE WERE TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE
- 3 DIFFERENCE IN THE LAND BECAUSE THEY'RE ABOUT 15 TO 20 FEET
- 4 ABOVE THE CONDOMINIUM LAND, SO THAT'S HOW YOU GET THAT HIGH.
- 5 ONE THING WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS SO-CALLED 12 TO 24 FEET OF A
- 6 SETBACK ON THE BUILDINGS, REMEMBER, THAT IS STARTING TWO
- 7 STORIES ABOVE THE, BASICALLY, YOU SAY 15 FEET PLUS TWO
- 8 STORIES, SO YOU'RE REALLY LOOKING AT ABOUT 2-1/2 STORIES UP.
- 9 SO, WHEN YOU'RE SITTING IN THE CONDOMINIUM AND YOU'RE LOOKING
- 10 UP, THAT 12 TO 24 FEET ISN'T GOING TO MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE
- 11 BECAUSE YOU'RE LOOKING UP AT AN ANGLE SO YOU DON'T REALLY JUST
- 12 SEE IT. YOU'RE STILL JUST GOING TO SEE A MASSIVE BUILDING IN
- 13 FRONT OF YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT IT FROM
- 16 AN ANGLE, DEPENDING WHAT THE ANGLE IS, YOU STILL WOULD SEE THE
- 17 ARTICULATION. NO MATTER HOW YOU SLICE IT, IT'S GOING TO BE A
- 18 BIG BUILDING COMPARED TO WHAT'S THERE NOW BUT IT'S ZONED FOR
- 19 IT.

- 21 RICHARD FINE: YEAH. I CAN'T ARGUE ZONING WITH YOU. WHAT I AM
- 22 DEALING WITH ARE EXACTLY THE ISSUES THAT YOU RAISED. YOU'RE
- 23 GOING TO BE SEEING A MASSIVE BUILDING AND THE QUOTE
- 24 ARTICULATION OF 12 TO 24 FEET ISN'T GOING TO MAKE ANY
- 25 DIFFERENCE IN THE MASS BECAUSE IT'S LIKE A PERSON STANDING ON



- 1 THE STREET LOOKING UP AT AN APARTMENT BUILDING. IT DOESN'T
- 2 REALLY MATTER IF, 35 FEET UP, HOW FAR BACK THAT BUILDING IS
- 3 GOING TO BE BECAUSE, FROM THE PERSON STANDING ON THE STREET,
- 4 IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE
- 7 SAYING, I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING BUT, IF YOU HAVE TO CHOOSE
- 8 BETWEEN ONE OR THE OTHER, THE ARTICULATION DOES MAKE A
- 9 DIFFERENCE.

- 11 RICHARD FINE: MY VIEWPOINT WOULD BE THAT ESSENTIALLY YOU
- 12 REALLY DON'T HAVE A CHOICE. I THINK THE INTERESTING THING IS
- 13 THE ISSUE WHERE THE DEVELOPER SAID THAT THEY ELECTED TO NOT GO
- 14 BACK BECAUSE IT WOULD COST THEM MONEY. THEY COULD HAVE BUILT
- 15 ANOTHER BUILDING, WHICH WAS HIGHER UP AND THAT WOULD COST THEM
- 16 MONEY BECAUSE GOING ABOVE THESE FIVE STORIES, YOU'RE GOING TO
- 17 BE GOING INTO STEEL AND SOME OTHER THINGS. SO THE CHOICE THAT
- 18 THEY'RE MAKING HERE IS THEY'RE MAKING A CHOICE OF PUTTING IN
- 19 SHALL WE SAY A HIGHER BUILDING DEEPER INTO THE LOT WHICH WOULD
- 20 COST THEM MORE MONEY IN THE STEEL VERSUS THE INCONVENIENCING
- 21 AND DESTROYING THE VIEWS AND PROPERTY VALUES OF MY CLIENTS BY
- 22 GOING CLOSER TO THE LOT LINE. IT'S A VERY TYPICAL DEVELOPER'S
- 23 DECISION: DO YOU WANT TO STICK IT TO THE OTHER GUY AND SAVE
- 24 OURSELVES MONEY OR DO WE WANT TO BUILD A BUILDING THAT CAN GO
- 25 HIGHER UP THAT'S GOING TO COST US MORE MONEY? THEIR CHOICE...



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THERE'S ONLY ONE FLAW IN
- 3 EVERYTHING YOU SAY. EVERYTHING YOU SAY IS RIGHT EXCEPT FOR ONE
- 4 PROBLEM. THE DEVELOPER HAD THE RIGHT TO DO THAT BY THE ZONING
- 5 CODE, SO IT'S DISINGENUOUS -- OR IT'S NEITHER HERE NOR THERE.
- 6 HE HAS THE RIGHT TO GO THAT HIGH OR TO GO HIGHER AND NARROWER
- 7 IF HE WANTED TO SPEND THE MONEY, EITHER WAY, HE HAD THE RIGHT
- 8 TO DO IT SO IT'S NOT LIKE HE'S SOME KIND OF AN OGRE HAVING
- 9 DONE IT THAT WAY. YOU CAN GO ABOVE TWO STORIES OR THREE
- 10 STORIES, WHICH IS WHAT HE HAS NOW. THE ZONING ALLOWS, THE
- 11 COASTAL COMMISSION ALLOWS , THE LOCAL COASTAL PLAN ALLOWS,
- 12 EVERYBODY ALLOWS. NOW, WHETHER THAT'S INTELLIGENT ZONING OR
- 13 NOT, THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE. BUT THAT'S THE ZONING AND
- 14 THEY'VE PLAYED BY THOSE RULES.

15

- 16 RICHARD FINE: I AGREE WITH YOU. HE HAS THE RIGHT TO DO IT BY
- 17 THE ZONING. BUT, WHEN YOU LOOK AT ALL THE OTHER THINGS, THE 90
- 18 MINUTES A DAY OF THE SUN BEING GONE, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE
- 19 EFFECT OF THE BUILDING ON THE AIR FLOW, WHEN YOU LOOK AT ALL
- 20 THE OTHER STUFF THAT I'VE RAISED WHICH, BY THE WAY, THEY
- 21 DIDN'T ADDRESS AND THEN ALL THE TRAFFIC AND EVERYTHING ELSE,
- 22 THAT'S WHERE WE COME INTO WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE. THAT'S WHERE
- 23 WE COME INTO THE C.E.Q.A., THAT'S WHERE WE COME INTO WHETHER
- 24 THERE'S ENOUGH HAS REALLY BEEN PUT FORWARD TO MAKE A DECISION.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND EVERYTHING. I
- 2 UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE DRIVING AT.

3

4 RICHARD FINE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BY THE WAY, IF YOU HAD A TALLER
- 7 BUILDING, YOU MIGHT HAVE MORE OF A SHADOW IMPACT ON SOME OF
- 8 THE UNITS.

9

10 RICHARD FINE: FARTHER BACK. REMEMBER, THIS IS 8 ACRES.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I KNOW, BUT 15 STORIES IS TALL.
- 13 THAT SUN ISN'T THAT HIGH IN THE SUMMER SOLSTICE. I'M SORRY TO
- 14 SAY I'VE BECOME AN AMATEUR ASTRONOMER, ALL THESE HIGH RISES
- 15 AROUND THE CITY. THE SHADE/SHADOW STUDIES, I KNOW MORE ABOUT
- 16 THEM THAN I CARE TO KNOW AND THERE IS AN IMPACT. AND, ONE WAY
- 17 OR ANOTHER, THERE'S AN IMPACT, UNLESS YOU LEAVE IT THE WAY IT
- 18 IS. AND I KNOW THAT'S WHAT EVERYBODY WOULD LIKE BUT THAT'S NOT
- 19 GOING TO HAPPEN, NO MATTER WHAT.

20

- 21 RICHARD FINE: I RESPECTFULLY SUGGEST THAT PROBABLY A TALLER
- 22 BUILDING AT THE NORTHERN END AND CLOSER INTO THE MARINA WOULD
- 23 PROBABLY HAVE SOLVED A LOT OF THE PROBLEMS.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND IT MIGHT CREATE A SHADOW FOR
- 2 SOMEBODY ELSE.

3

- 4 RICHARD FINE: ACTUALLY, THERE YOU'RE LOOKING AT A LOT OF SPACE
- 5 GOING TOWARDS THE EAST. YOU PUT IT INTO THAT NORTHEAST CORNER
- 6 AND YOUR SHADOWS WOULD BE LITERALLY NONE BECAUSE YOU'D BE
- 7 GOING OFF INTO KINGSWOOD, WHICH IS FAR AWAY AND YOU'D BE FAR
- 8 ENOUGH AWAY FROM OUR PEOPLE THAT IT REALLY WOULDN'T CREATE A
- 9 PROBLEM. IT'S SOMETHING TO LOOK AT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ONE DAY YOU AND I WILL DEVELOP
- 12 SOME HIGH-RISE PROJECT AND WE'LL BE IN CONTROL OF OUR OWN
- 13 DESTINY.

14

- 15 RICHARD FINE: I WOULD LOVE TO DO THAT WITH YOU. THANK YOU VERY
- 16 MUCH.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, DON'T WISH. YOU MAY GET YOUR
- 19 WISH. THANK YOU, MR. FINE. ALL RIGHT. MR. KNABE?

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: MOVE IT. MOVE THE RECOMMENDED ACTION ON APPROVAL
- 22 OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING RECOMMENDATIONS.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. KNABE: WITH THE CAVEAT, I GUESS, THAT YOU DIRECTED PART
- 2 OF THIS TO THE DEVELOPER...

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEY COME BACK WITH A DETAILED...

5

6 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT, AS PART OF THE...

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HOW WOULD YOU SAY IT, RICK, SO
- 9 THAT WE GET WHAT WE WANT?

10

- 11 RICHARD WEISS: I THINK THE MOTION WOULD BE TO CLOSE THE
- 12 HEARING, CERTIFY THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND INDICATE
- 13 AN INTENT TO APPROVE THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT VARIANCE
- 14 AND PARKING PERMIT AND INSTRUCT STAFF TO COME BACK WITH THE
- 15 APPROPRIATE FINAL DOCUMENTS FOR APPROVAL, INCLUDING A FULLY
- 16 DIMENSIONED EXHIBIT A THAT DEMONSTRATES THE SETBACKS AND THE
- 17 ARTICULATION, AS SPECIFIED DURING THE HEARING.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ON THE DELL DRIVE SIDE AND...

20

21 RICHARD WEISS: ON THE DELL AVENUE SIDE.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DELL AVENUE SIDE IN PARTICULAR. IS
- 24 THERE A SECOND? MR. ANTONOVICH SECONDS. IS THERE ANY



- 1 OBJECTION? IF NOT, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER AND IT WILL COME
- 2 BACK. WHEN WILL IT COME BACK?

3

- 4 RICHARD WEISS: AS OUICKLY AS WE CAN. IT'S NOT A PUBLIC
- 5 HEARING, SO WE'LL BRING IT BACK ON ONE OF YOUR REGULAR TUESDAY
- 6 AGENDAS.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NO SOONER
- 9 THAN A MONTH?

10

- 11 RICHARD WEISS: IT ORDINARILY TAKES US A MONTH TO SIX WEEKS,
- 12 YES, AND, DEPENDING HOW LONG IT TAKES TO DO THE FULLY
- 13 DIMENSIONAL PLAN, IT MAY BE A LITTLE LONGER.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM?

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE ARE GOING INTO CLOSED SESSION. IN
- 18 ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN
- 19 THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION
- 20 TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-1 AND CS-3, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
- 21 REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION AND ITEM CS-4, CONFERENCE WITH
- 22 LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION,
- 23 ONE CASE AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA AND SUPPLEMENTAL
- 24 AGENDA. THANK YOU.



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION ON MARCH 6, 2007
2	
3	
4	CS-1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION
5	(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9) Susan L.
6	Bouman v. Leroy D. Baca, U.S. District Court Case No. CV 80-
7	1341 RMT
8	
9	This is a class action lawsuit regarding past gender
10	discrimination practices and the procedures for investigating
11	harassment complaints within the Sheriff's Department.
12	
13	No reportable action was taken.
14	
15	CS-2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION
16	(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9) County of
17	Los Angeles v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., Inc. et al.,
18	Orange County Superior Court Case No. 785435
19	
20	This lawsuit seeks payment of insurance proceeds for damages
21	to insured County buildings caused by the Northridge
22	Earthquake. During Open Session the Board continued this item
23	to May 8, 2007
24	

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



CS-3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION 1 (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9) Midtowne 2 3 Spa, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 348587 4 5 This is a lawsuit challenging the legality of County 6 ordinances pertaining to commercial sex venues. 7 8 Action Taken: The Board of Supervisors approved settlement of 9 the above case. The substance of the settlement will be 10 11 disclosed upon inquiry by any person as soon as the settlement becomes final following approval by all parties. 12 13 The vote of the Board of Supervisors was unanimous with all 14 15 Supervisors being present. 16 CS-4. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION 17 18 (Subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9) 19 Significant exposure to litigation (one case) 20 No reportable action was taken. 21 22 23 24



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors March 6, 2007,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 8th
16	day of March 2007 for the County records to be used only for
17	authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	